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## AS-Level Chemistry <br> Mark Scheme Instructions for Examiners

## 1. General

The mark scheme for each question shows:

- the marks available for each part of the question
- the total marks available for the question
- the typical answer or answers which are expected
- extra information to help the examiner make his or her judgement and help to delineate what is acceptable or not worthy of credit or, in discursive answers, to give an overview of the area in which a mark or marks may be awarded.
The extra information in the 'Comments' column is aligned to the appropriate answer in the left-hand part of the mark scheme and should only be applied to that item in the mark scheme.

You should mark according to the contents of the mark scheme. If you are in any doubt about applying the mark scheme to a particular response, consult with your Team Leader.

At the beginning of a part of a question a reminder may be given, for example: where consequential marking needs to be considered in a calculation; or the answer may be on the diagram or at a different place on the script.

In general the right-hand side of the mark scheme is there to provide those extra details which confuse the main part of the mark scheme yet may be helpful in ensuring that marking is straightforward and consistent.

The use of M1, M2, M3 etc in the right-hand column refers to the marking points in the order in which they appear in the mark scheme. So, M1 refers to the first marking point, M2 the second marking point etc.

## 2. Emboldening

2.1 In a list of acceptable answers where more than one mark is available 'any two from' is used, with the number of marks emboldened. Each of the following bullet points is a potential mark.
2.2 A bold and is used to indicate that both parts of the answer are required to award the mark.
2.3 Alternative answers acceptable for a mark are indicated by the use of OR. Different terms in the mark scheme are shown by a / ; eg allow smooth / free movement.
3. Marking points

### 3.1 Marking of lists

This applies to questions requiring a set number of responses, but for which students have provided extra responses. The general principle to be followed in such a situation is that 'right + wrong = wrong'.
Each error / contradiction negates each correct response. So, if the number of error / contradictions equals or exceeds the number of marks available for the question, no marks can be awarded.

However, responses considered to be neutral (often prefaced by 'Ignore' in the mark scheme) are not penalised.

For example, in a question requiring 2 answers for 2 marks:

| Correct <br> answers | Incorrect <br> answers (i.e. <br> incorrect rather <br> than neutral) | Mark (2) | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 | 1 |  |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | They have not exceeded the maximum <br> number of responses so there is no penalty. |
| 1 | 2 | 0 | They have exceeded the maximum number <br> of responses so the extra incorrect response <br> cancels the correct one. |
| 2 | 0 | 2 |  |
| 2 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 2 | 2 | 0 |  |
| 3 | 0 | 2 | The maximum mark is 2 |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | The incorrect response cancels out one of <br> the two correct responses that gained credit. |
| 3 | 2 | 0 | Two incorrect responses cancel out the two |
| marks gained. |  |  |  |

### 3.2 Marking procedure for calculations

Full marks should be awarded for a correct numerical answer, without any working shown, unless the question states 'Show your working' or 'justify your answer'. In this case, the mark scheme will clearly indicate what is required to gain full credit.
If an answer to a calculation is incorrect and working is shown, process mark(s) can usually be gained by correct substitution / working and this is shown in the 'Comments' column or by each stage of a longer calculation.

### 3.3 Errors carried forward, consequential marking and arithmetic errors

Allowances for errors carried forward are most likely to be restricted to calculation questions and should be shown by the abbreviation ECF or consequential in the marking scheme.

An arithmetic error should be penalised for one mark only unless otherwise amplified in the marking scheme. Arithmetic errors may arise from a slip in a calculation or from an incorrect transfer of a numerical value from data given in a question.

### 3.4 Extended responses

Where a mark scheme includes linkage words (such as 'therefore', 'so', 'because' etc), these are optional. However, a student's marks for the question may be limited if they do not demonstrate the ability to construct and develop a sustained line of reasoning which is coherent, relevant, substantiated and logically structured. In particular answers in the form of bullet pointed lists may not be awarded full marks if there is no indication of logical flow between each point or if points are in an illogical order.

### 3.5 Equations

In questions requiring students to write equations, state symbols are generally ignored unless otherwise stated in the 'Comments' column.
Examiners should also credit correct equations using multiples and fractions unless otherwise stated in the 'Comments' column.

### 3.6 Oxidation states

In general, the sign for an oxidation state will be assumed to be positive unless specifically shown to be negative.

### 3.7 Interpretation of 'it'

Answers using the word 'it' should be given credit only if it is clear that the 'it' refers to the correct subject.

### 3.8 Phonetic spelling

The phonetic spelling of correct scientific terminology should be credited unless there is a possible confusion with another technical term or if the question requires correct IUPAC nomenclature.

### 3.9 Brackets

(.....) are used to indicate information which is not essential for the mark to be awarded but is included to help the examiner identify the sense of the answer required.

### 3.10 Ignore / Insufficient / Do not allow

Ignore or insufficient is used when the information given is irrelevant to the question or not enough to gain the marking point. Any further correct amplification could gain the marking point.
Do not allow means that this is a wrong answer which, even if the correct answer is given, will still mean that the mark is not awarded.

### 3.11 Marking crossed out work

Crossed out work that has not been replaced should be marked as if it were not crossed out, if possible. Where crossed out work has been replaced, mark the replacement work and not the crossed out work.

### 3.12 Reagents

The command word "Identify", allows the student to choose to use either the name or the formula of a reagent in their answer. In some circumstances, the list principle may apply when both the name and the formula are used. Specific details will be given in mark schemes.

The guiding principle is that a reagent is a chemical which can be taken out of a bottle or container. Failure to identify complete reagents will be penalised, but follow-on marks (e.g. for a subsequent equation or observation) can be scored from an incorrect attempt (possibly an incomplete reagent) at the correct reagent.
Specific details will be given in mark schemes.
For example, no credit would be given for

- the cyanide ion or $\mathrm{CN}^{-}$when the reagent should be potassium cyanide or KCN ;
- the hydroxide ion or $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$when the reagent should be sodium hydroxide or NaOH ;
- the $\mathrm{Ag}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}{ }^{+}$ion when the reagent should be Tollens' reagent (or ammoniacal silver nitrate). In this example, no credit is given for the ion, but credit could be given for a correct observation following on from the use of the ion. Specific details will be given in mark schemes.

In the event that a student provides, for example, both KCN and cyanide ion, it would be usual to ignore the reference to the cyanide ion (because this is not contradictory) and credit the KCN. Specific details will be given in mark schemes.

### 3.13 Organic structures

Where students are asked to draw organic structures, unless a specific type is required in the question and stated in the mark scheme, these may be given as displayed, structural or skeletal formulas or a combination of all three as long as the result unambiguous.
In general

- Displayed formulae must show all of the bonds and all of the atoms in the molecule, but need not show correct bond angles.
- Skeletal formulae must show carbon atoms by an angle or suitable intersection in the skeleton chain. Functional groups must be shown and it is essential that all atoms other than C atoms are shown in these (except H atoms in the functional groups of aldehydes, secondary amines and N -substituted amides which do not need to be shown).
- Structures must not be ambiguous, e.g. 1-bromopropane should be shown as $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Br}$ and not as the molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{Br}$ which could also represent the isomeric 2-bromopropane.
- Bonds should be drawn correctly between the relevant atoms. This principle applies in all cases where the attached functional group contains a carbon atom, e.g nitrile, carboxylic acid, aldehyde and acid chloride. The carbon-carbon bond should be clearly shown. Wrongly bonded atoms will be penalised on every occasion. (see the examples below)
- The same principle should also be applied to the structure of alcohols. For example, if students show the alcohol functional group as $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{HO}$, they should be penalised on every occasion.
- Latitude should be given to the representation of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds in alkyl groups, given that $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-$ is considered to be interchangeable with $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}-$ even though the latter would be preferred.
- Similar latitude should be given to the representation of amines where $\mathrm{NH}_{2}-\mathrm{C}$ will be allowed, although $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{C}$ would be preferred.
- Poor presentation of vertical $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ bonds or vertical $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ bonds should not be penalised. For other functional groups, such as -OH and -CN , the limit of tolerance is the half-way position between the vertical bond and the relevant atoms in the attached group.
By way of illustration, the following would apply.
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- Representation of $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ by $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}_{2}$ will be penalised
- Some examples are given here of structures for specific compounds that should not gain credit (but, exceptions may be made in the context of balancing equations)

| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COH}$ | for | ethanal |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{HO}$ | for | ethanol |
| $\mathrm{OHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | for | ethanol |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}$ | for | ethanol |
|  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | for | ethene |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} . \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | for | ethene |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2}: \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | for | ethene |

- Each of the following should gain credit as alternatives to correct representations of the structures.

| $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | for | ethene, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHOHCH}_{3}$ | for | propan-2-ol, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ |

- In most cases, the use of "sticks" to represent $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds in a structure should not be penalised. The exceptions to this when "sticks" will be penalised include
- structures in mechanisms where the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond is essential (e.g. elimination reactions in halogenoalkanes and alcohols)
- when a displayed formula is required
- when a skeletal structure is required or has been drawn by the candidate


### 3.14 Organic names

As a general principle, non-IUPAC names or incorrect spelling or incomplete names should not gain credit. Some illustrations are given here.
but-2-ol
2-hydroxybutane
butane-2-ol
2-butanol
ethan-1,2-diol
2-methpropan-2-ol
2-methylbutan-3-ol
3-methylpentan
3-mythylpentane
3-methypentane
propanitrile
aminethane

2-methyl-3-bromobutane
3-bromo-2-methylbutane
3-methyl-2-bromobutane
2-methylbut-3-ene
difluorodichloromethane
should be butan-2-ol
should be butan-2-ol
should be butan-2-ol
should be butan-2-ol
should be ethane-1,2-diol
should be 2-methylpropan-2-ol
should be 3-methylbutan-2-ol
should be 3-methylpentane
should be 3-methylpentane
should be 3-methylpentane
should be propanenitrile
should be ethylamine (although aminoethane can gain
credit)
should be 2-bromo-3-methylbutane
should be 2-bromo-3-methylbutane
should be 2-bromo-3-methylbutane
should be 3-methylbut-1-ene should be dichlorodifluoromethane

### 3.15 Organic reaction mechanisms

Curly arrows should originate either from a lone pair of electrons or from a bond.
The following representations should not gain credit and will be penalised each time within a clip.


For example, the following would score zero marks


When the curly arrow is showing the formation of a bond to an atom, the arrow can go directly to the relevant atom, alongside the relevant atom or more than half-way towards the relevant atom.

In free-radical substitution

- the absence of a radical dot should be penalised once only within a clip.
- the use of half-headed arrows is not required, but the use of double-headed arrows or the incorrect use of half-headed arrows in free-radical mechanisms should be penalised once only within a clip

The correct use of skeletal formulae in mechanisms is acceptable, but where a $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond breaks both the bond and the H must be drawn to gain credit.

| Question | Marking Guidance | Mark | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01.1 | energy | 1 | Ignore reference to <br> - any units (e.g. $\mathrm{J}, \mathrm{kJ}, \mathrm{J} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}, \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ ) <br> - particles <br> - molecules <br> - kinetic <br> NOT mean energy or average energy NOT E |


| 01.2 | $\mathbf{M 1}$maximum peak height is lower and displaced to the right of <br> the original | 1 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Question \& Marking Guidance \& Mark \& Comments \\
\hline 01.3 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
M1 an increase in the number/amount/proportion/fraction of molecules with \(E \geq E_{\mathrm{a}}\) / with activation energy \\
or more molecules have \(E \geq E_{\mathrm{a}}\) / with activation energy \\
or more molecules have enough / sufficient energy (to react) \\
M2 more successful / effective / productive collisions in a given time / period \\
or higher rate of successful / effective / productive collisions \\
or higher frequency of successful / effective / productive collisions
\end{tabular} \& 1

1 \& | M1 |
| :--- |
| Ignore |
| - Molecules have more energy |
| - More energetic collisions |
| - More collisions |
| Allow $E>E_{a}$ in place of $E \geq E_{a}$ |
| Credit particles for molecules (but not atoms) |
| Penalise for M1 reference to increased activation energy |
| M2 |
| Must refer to both idea of successful/effective/productive collisions and the rate/frequency of collisions |
| Ignore 'chance of collision' | <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}

| Question | Marking Guidance | Mark | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 02.1 | Stage 1 $\begin{aligned} & \text { M1 } \quad n=\frac{P V}{R T} \\ & \text { M2 } \quad=\frac{102 \times 10^{3} \times 72 \times 10^{-6}}{8.31 \times 373} \\ & \text { M3 } \\ & \text { M3 }=0.0024 / 0.00237 / 0.002369 / 0.0023693 \end{aligned}$ <br> Stage 2 $\begin{array}{ll} \text { M4 } & M_{r}\left(=\frac{\text { mass }}{\text { moles }}\right)=\frac{0.194}{\text { M3 }} \\ \text { M5 } & =82(2 \text { sf only }) \end{array}$ | 1 <br> 1 <br> 1 <br> 1 <br> 1 | As this is an extended response question, each separate step of correct working is required in M1-M5 <br> Correct answer with no working scores 2 marks <br> M1 - If expression not written out, M1 could score from a correct expression for M2 (even if unit conversions are not correct for M2) <br> M2 - allow an expression that gives correct value for M3 <br> M3 should be at least 2 sf (do not allow 0.0023 but do allow 0.00236) <br> M4 must show 0.194 or $194 \times 10^{-3}$ in working to score <br> M5 must be 2sf <br> ECF: <br> - No ECF within either stage 1 or stage 2 (except for transcription errors) <br> - Allow ECF from stage 1 into stage 2, i.e for M4 and M5 based on incorrect M3, (but if expression for M4 is inverted, cannot score M5) <br> - (Note that if $72 \times 10^{-3}$ used in M2, then $\mathbf{M} 3=2.4, \mathbf{M} 5=0.082$ ) <br> Ignore units for M3 and M5 <br> Note that if $\mathrm{T}=273+373=646, \mathbf{M 5}=140(2 \mathrm{sf})$ |


| 02.2 | M1 | dividing \%s by relative atomic masses | 1 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | M2 | converting (C:H $6.975: 16.3$ ) to $3: 7$ | 1 |
|  | M3 | empirical formula $=\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7}$ |  |
| M4 | molecular formula $=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ | $\mathrm{H}=16.3 / 1(.0)$ |  |

M1 \& M2 are for working
M3 for $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7}$ only, marked independently
M4 for $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ only, marked independently (ignore additional correct structures)

Formulae with no working cannot score M1 or M2

Alternative method:
M1 working that shows $83.7 \%$ of 86 is 72
M2 idea of $72 / 12$ gives 6 C atoms

Alternative method:
working that shows that $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14}\left(\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7}\right)$ contains $83.7 \% \mathrm{C}$ scores M1 \& M2

| Ques | Marking Guidance |  | Mark | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 03 | This question is marked using levels of response. Refer to the Mark Scheme Instructions for Examiners for guidance on how to mark this question. |  | 6 | Indicative chemistry content <br> Stage 1 - deduces which compounds are saturated/unsaturated <br> 1a states that A \& B are unsaturated / do contain $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C} /$ alkenes (this can be obtained from the structures) <br> 1 b as they decolourise bromine water <br> 1c states that $C$ is saturated / does not contain $C=C /$ is (cyclo)alkane (this can be obtained from the structures) <br> 1d as it does not decolourise bromine water <br> Stage 2 - deduces the structures <br> 2a suggests suitable name/structure for $\mathbf{A}$ <br> - pent-1-ene, <br> - 2-methylbut-1-ene, <br> - 3-methylbut-1-ene, <br> - 2-methylbut-2-ene <br> 2b $\quad B=$ pent-2-ene (name/structure) <br> 2c suggests a suitable name/structure of $\mathbf{C}$ (cyclopentane, methylcyclobutane, any dimethylcyclopropane) <br> Stage 3 - can explain the stereoisomerism <br> 3a explains what stereoisomerism is in terms of molecules with the same structural formula but a different arrangement of atoms/bonds/groups in space <br> 3b explains how it arises by discussing that $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ cannot rotate, explains how it arises by discussing that each C in $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ has two different groups (ignore reference to $M_{r}$ in this context) or by drawing the $E$ and $Z$ isomers of $\mathbf{B}$ <br> Note <br> - compounds may be identified by name or structure (but if both given and there is error in one, then award lower mark in whichever level the answer fits, i.e. it penalises the mark within a level, but not the overall level itself) |
|  | Level 3 (56 marks) | All stages are covered, three correct structures are given and each stage is generally correct and virtually complete. Answer communicates reasoning coherently and shows a logical progression through the identification of structures including explaining about stereoisomerism. |  |  |
|  | Level 2 (34 marks) | Two stages are covered or parts of three stages (if two stages are covered, they must be complete for 4 marks) |  |  |
|  | Level 1 (12 marks) | One stage covered or parts of two stages (if one stage is covered, it must be complete for 2 marks) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Level 0 (0 marks) | No relevant correct chemistry to warrant a mark. |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| Question | Marking Guidance | Mark | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04.1 | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}+5 \mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{CO}_{2}+4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 1 | allow fractions / multiples allow any correct structural representation of molecules ignore state symbols |
| 04.2 | M1 working that leads to $n=13$ $\text { M2 } \quad \mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{28}$ | 1 <br> 1 | e.g. $-6650=-(496 n+202)$ <br> and/or $496 n=6650-202$ <br> and/or $496 n=6448$ $(\mathbf{n}=13)$ <br> $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{28}$ scores $\mathbf{M} \mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{M} \mathbf{2}$ if some correct working shown $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{28}$ with no working scores M2 only <br> allow error carried forward for M2 for a correct formula of an alkane from the value of $\mathbf{n}$ worked out for M1 (but there must be some working shown leading to this incorrect value of $\mathbf{n}$ ); for example, allow $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{30}$ if error in $\mathbf{M 1}$ stemming from error in rearranging equation |
| 04.3 | Idea that <br> - alkane is not gaseous or <br> - equation relates to gaseous alkanes or <br> - it takes energy to convert it into a gas or <br> - that water/alkane/substances are gaseous in calculations using bond enthalpies | 1 | ignore references to heat loss, incomplete combustion, loss of evaporation, not being in standard conditions or that it is not standard state |


| Question | Marking Guidance | Mark | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04.4 | M1 plotting the four values correctly (allow one error where point is $\pm 1$ square out) <br> M2 smooth best fit curve <br> M3 value from their best fit line for 3 C atoms (allow $\pm 1$ square) | 1 <br> 1 | If plotted points for wrong number of $C$ atoms for two or more compounds, cannot score M1 or M2, but could score M3 if read value off for 3 C atoms <br> M2 best fit curve for their four points for the correct number of C atoms <br> M3 need - sign (but ignore units); <br> cannot score M3 unless there is a line on the graph |


| 04.5 |  | mass of isooctane $=692(\mathrm{~g})$ | 1 | correct answer scores M1 and M2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M2 | $3.31 \times 10^{4}$ or 33100 (kJ) (3sf only) | 1 | M2 correct value to incorrect number of sig figs is 1 mark; ignore sign ; <br> no error carried forward for M2 |


| Question | Marking Guidance | Mark | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05.1 | M1 Amount $\mathrm{NaOH}=0.02530 \times 0.500=0.01265 \mathrm{~mol}$ <br> M2 Amount acid $=0.006325 \mathrm{~mol}$ (i.e. $\mathrm{M} 1 \div 2$ ) <br> M3 $\quad M_{r}=90(.0)$ <br> M4 mass acid = 569 (mg) (allow 567 to 576) (i.e. M2 $\times$ M3 in mg) | 1 <br> 1 <br> 1 <br> 1 | 567-590 = 4 marks <br> $0.567-0.590=3$ marks <br> Allow ECF at each stage <br> M3 can be scored from use of value of $90(.0)$ within working <br> M4 should be to at least 2sf. Any individual marks for M1/2/3 should be to at least 2sf (or 90 for M3) <br> 1134-1180 $=3$ marks (due to not dividing moles of NaOH by 2 ) <br> $1.134-1.180=2$ marks (due to not dividing moles of NaOH by 2 and not converting to mg ) |

05.2 Idea that it ensures all ethanedioic acid / acid / sodium hydroxide / alkali / reactants are in the mixture / solution / reaction or the idea that some of the ethanedioic acid / acid / sodium hydroxide / alkali / reactants would be on the sides of the flask

Units are needed
Allow $0.05-0.15 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$
Do not allow idea of identical results
Allow answers that refer to titres that are within the uncertainty of the burette/apparatus of each other

| 06.1 | M1 nucleophilic substitution <br> M2 curly arrow from lone pair on O of $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$to C of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Br}$ <br> M3 curly arrow from $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Br}$ bond to the Br | 1 | Penalise M3 for formal charge on C and/or Br of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Br}$ or incorrect partial charges on $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Br}$ <br> Max 1 out of 2 for M2 \& M3 for incorrect reactant or product (ignore poorly drawn bond from C to OH group in product if shown) <br> For SN2 <br> penalise M2 for any additional arrow(s) on NaOH <br> penalise M3 for any additional arrow(s) to/from the Br to/from anything else <br> If SN 1 mechanism given (loss of Br first followed by attack by $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$) then: <br> M2 curly arrow from $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Br}$ bond to the Br <br> M3 curly arrow from lone pair on O of $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$to positive C atom of correct carbocation <br> penalise M2 for any additional arrow(s) to/from the Br to/from anything else <br> penalise M3 for any additional arrow(s) on NaOH <br> If curly arrows represent an attempt at an elimination mechanism, cannot score M2 or M3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



| 06.3 | M1 methylpropene <br> M2 elimination | 1 1 | M1 Do not allow any names with numbers for the position of the double bond. Allow 2-methylpropene but no other answer <br> Ignore any drawn mechanism <br> M2 allow base (or basic) elimination but no other answer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Question | Marking Guidance | Mark | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07.1 |  | 1 <br> 1 <br> 1 <br> 1 | Ignore other species that are drawn, but penalise any curly arrows to/from other species for M1/3/4 as relevant (but allow attack by an anion of phosphoric acid on the H that is lost in $\mathbf{M 4}$ in addition to the arrow specified) <br> for M2, the O of the ${ }^{+} \mathrm{OH}_{2}$ group must be bonded to the ring |


| 07.2 | Any correct structural representation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 07.3 | M1 $\quad$ more stable (carbocation formed) | 1 | For M1 penalise more stable product |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | M2 $\quad$ changes from secondary to tertiary (carbocation) | 1 | For M2 allow explanation via inductive effect with more alkyl / C <br> groups attached or inductive effect from methyl group as <br> alternatives <br> Allow $2^{\circ}$ or $2^{y}$ for secondary and $3^{\circ}$ or $3^{y}$ for tertiary |


| 07.4 | Any correct structural representation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

M1 cyclohexene : van der Waals' forces (between molecules)

M2 cyclohexanol : hydrogen bonds (between molecules)
M3 phosphoric acid: hydrogen bonds (between molecules)

M4 idea that cyclohexene has weakest forces

M5 separated by (simple / fractional) distillation

M6 cyclohexene has lowest boiling point / boils off first

## Extended response

Maximum of 5 marks for answers which do not refer to the van der Waals forces or hydrogen bonds being between molecules in some way

M1 penalise reference to presence of other intermolecular forces M1 allow vdW forces (on this occasion)

M1/2/3 penalise reference to breaking covalent bonds

M2 \& M3 ignore reference to van der Waals and/or (permanent) dipole-dipole forces
M2 allow use of term H bonds (on this occasion)

M4 allow converse argument
M4 \& M6 - allow correct comparison of cyclohexene forces and boiling point to one of the other two compounds if only one of cyclohexanol or phosphoric acid discussed

Mark
1
Must be a skeletal structure
or



Must be a displayed structure
08.3

## Any correct structural representation of alkene with 4 C atoms,

 either:1
allow butadiene
but-1-ene or
but-2-ene or
methylpropene

| Question | Marking Guidance | Mark | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 09.1 | M1 it / PVC is bigger/longer molecule / has more electrons / has bigger surface area / greater $M_{r}$ <br> M2 it / PVC has stronger (van der Waals' / dipole-dipole) forces between molecules / intermolecular forces | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | M1 and M2 independent of each other <br> $\mathrm{CE}=0$ if reference to hydrogen bonds or breaking of covalent bonds when substances are melted <br> Comparison must be implied in M1 or M2 to score 2 marks <br> If there is no comparison at all, then 1 mark could score either for explaining that PVC has strong intermolecular forces due to being a big/long molecule / having many electrons / large surface area / large $\mathrm{M}_{r}$, or, for explaining that chloroethene has weak intermolecular forces due to being a small/short molecule / having few electrons / low surface area / low $M_{r}$, |
| 09.2 | 38 | 1 | ignore additional words |
| 09.3 | Need both ideas that <br> - it is present AND <br> - because PVC needs to be flexible / bendy | 1 | penalise incorrect properties |
| 09.4 | Displayed structure required <br> or | 1 | ignore any bracket or n |


| Question | Marking Guidance |
| :---: | :---: |
| 10 | B |
| 11 | C |
| 12 | A |
| 13 | A |
| 14 | C |
| 15 | D |
| 16 | D |
| 17 | B |
| 18 | B |
| 19 | A |

$\square$
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A
$\square$

