

Cambridge Assessment International Education

Cambridge International General Certificate of Secondary Education

GLOBAL PERSPECTIVES

0457/32

Paper 3 Written Paper

October/November 2017

MARK SCHEME
Maximum Mark: 60

Published

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge International will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge International is publishing the mark schemes for the October/November 2017 series for most Cambridge IGCSE[®], Cambridge International A and AS Level components and some Cambridge O Level components.

® IGCSE is a registered trademark.



October/Nover	nbe	
2	2017	7

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance	
1(a)	Candidates identify any two of the following from Source 1: Production of electricity from coal fired power stations. Transportation of people by cars, airplanes and trains. Methane gasses produced by animals. Transportation of goods. Deforestation for wood and paper. 1 mark for each correct answer, up to a maximum of 2 marks.	2	 The only acceptable answers are located in Source 1. Candidates may use their own words and the answers can be in any order. Accept transportation of people and transportation of goods as two separate causes and give each one mark. Do not accept transportation of people by cars; transportation of people by cars; and transportation of people by trains as separate causes. 	
1(b)	Indicative content Candidates are likely to discuss the following consequences, drawing upon the information in Source 1: • Extreme weather. • Rising sea levels. • Frequent crop failures. • Extinction of species. • Loss of coral reefs Candidates are likely to give the following reasons to justify their choice: • Possible further consequences or effects • Degree of impact/seriousness for individuals/groups • How many people/groups/countries/world are affected • Increasing cycle of disaster • Other reasonable response	4	 Candidates must discuss one of the consequences from Source 1 as listed; the assessment is focussed upon their reasoning/justification. A list-like use of consequences from the Source 1 table should be credited at Level 2. 	

© UCLES 2017 Page 2 of 14

Cambridge IGCSE – Mark Scheme **PUBLISHED**

	. 0210.112		=0.
Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
1(b)	The following levels of response should be used to award marks:		
	Level 4 (4 marks) Strong response Clearly reasoned, credible and structured explanation; usually two (or more) developed arguments clearly linked to the issue; or a range of undeveloped reasons.		
	Level 3 (3 marks) Reasonable response Some reasoned explanation of why one consequence is the most serious; usually one (or more) developed argument(s) suggested with some link to the issue, but may be implicit at times; or several undeveloped reasons.		
	Level 2 (2 marks) Basic response Identifies a consequence as serious but argument is weak or not linked to the issue explicitly. Listed implications without explanation, probably taken from the Source.		
	Level 1 (1 mark) Limited response Simple identification of a consequence but no attempt to justify or the reasoning is not related to the issue.		
	Level 0 (0 marks) No relevant response or creditworthy material.		

© UCLES 2017 Page 3 of 14

Cambridge IGCSE – Mark Scheme **PUBLISHED**

	PODLIGITED		2017
Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
1(c)	Indicative content	6	
	Candidates are likely to discuss the following reasons drawing upon the information in Sources 1 and 2: • The causes of global warming at a local/personal level • The consequences of global warming at a local level • Possible solutions to global warming which require local action/organisation • Issues of value and beliefs about the environment and environmental protection • Other reasonable responses The following levels of response should be used to award marks: Level 3 (5–6 marks) Strong response Clearly reasoned, credible and structured explanation of importance; usually two (or more) developed arguments clearly linked to the issue; or three (or more) undeveloped reasons. The local dimension is explicit. Level 2 (3–4 marks) Reasonable response Some reasoned explanation of importance; usually one (or more) developed argument(s) with some link to the issue, but may be implicit at times; or two (or more) undeveloped reasons. The local dimension is mainly implicit. Level 1 (1–2 marks) Basic response Basic reasoning and explanation; the response is likely to contain simple, undeveloped and asserted points without explanation. Arguments are partial, generalised and lack clarity. The local dimension may not be apparent. Level 0 (0 marks)		
	No relevant or creditworthy material		

© UCLES 2017 Page 4 of 14

0401102	PUBLISHED		2017
Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
2(a)	Indicative content	6	
	Possible types of information		

© UCLES 2017 Page 5 of 14

PUBLISHED			2017
Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
2(a)	The following levels of response should be used to award marks.		
	Level 3 (5–6 marks) Strong response Clearly reasoned, credible and structured explanation of ways to test the claim. The response is likely to contain two (or more) developed points, and may contain some undeveloped points. The response is clearly and explicitly related to testing the claim.		
	Level 2 (3–4 marks) Reasonable response Reasoned and mainly credible explanation of ways to test the claim. The response is likely to contain one (or more) developed point(s), and/or a range of undeveloped points. The response is implicitly related to testing the claim.		
	Level 1 (1–2 marks) Basic response Basic explanation of ways to test the claim. The response is likely to contain one or two simple, undeveloped and asserted points. There is little relevance in the response to testing the claim – the methods, sources and types of information are generally not appropriate for the claim being tested or are simply listed and not explicitly linked to the claim.		
	Level 0 (0 marks) No relevant response or creditworthy material.		

© UCLES 2017 Page 6 of 14

Cambridge IGCSE – Mark Scheme **PUBLISHED**

	FUDLIGITED		2017
Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
2(b)	Indicative content	6	
	 People you would need to persuade; businesses, parents, young people, local council, etc. These people have the power to do something about the situation. 		
	Reasons for the need		
	Advertising, interviews, posters, etc.		
	The following levels of response should be used to award marks:		
	Level 3 (5–6 marks) Strong Response Clearly reasoned, credible and structured explanation of how you could persuade people. The response is likely to have developed a response for two (or more) points, and some undeveloped points. The response is clearly and explicitly related to the issue. Lower in the band a greater proportion of the points will be left undeveloped.		
	Level 2 (3–4 marks) Reasonable Response Some supported reasoning and explanation. The response is likely to have a developed response for one (or more) point(s), and some undeveloped points. The relevance to the issue is apparent but may be implicit at times. Lower in the band points may begin to lack clarity, and/or be partial and generalised.		
	Level 1 (1–2 marks) Basic Response Basic reasoning and explanation. The response is likely to contain simple, undeveloped and asserted suggestions, with only one (or more) undeveloped point(s). Explanations are partial and lack clarity. There is little relevance to the issue. Lower in the band the points are likely to be generalised, lack relevance to the issue and/or simply recycle/copy material from the source without any explanation or development.		
	Level 0 (0 marks) No relevant or creditworthy material		

© UCLES 2017 Page 7 of 14

October/Nover	nbe	
2	201	7

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
3(a)	Indicative Content A fact is information or data that can be verified/proven; OR is true/accurate/correct. The following are examples of facts from Source 3: 'Many scientists have concluded that human activity, mainly the burning of fossil fuels, is the main cause of global warming.' 'the average home actually contributes more to global warming than the average car' Much of the energy we use comes from power plants Some power plants burn fossil fuels Vegetarian food needs less energy to produce Locally produced foods do not have to be transported long distances Locally produced foods help reduce global warming Level 3 (3 marks) Strong response The response demonstrates clear understanding of the nature of facts and applies this accurately to a correct example identified from the source. Level 2 (2 marks) Reasonable response The response demonstrates some understanding of the nature of facts and attempts to apply this to a correct example identified from the source. The explanation lacks some clarity and accuracy. Level 1 (1 mark) Basic response The candidate identifies one fact from the source correctly but does not explain the reason; the response demonstrates very little or no understanding of the nature of values. Level 0 (0 marks) No relevant response or creditworthy material.	3	 If the example is incorrect the candidate must score 0 even if the reasoning suggests some understanding of the nature of facts. If the candidate says that a statement is a fact because it has been 'researched' then award at L2.

© UCLES 2017 Page 8 of 14

	October/November 2017	
	Guidance	
1	Award 1 mark for any of prediction, opinion or both.	
2	Award L2 for a partial explanation of their	

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
Question 3(b)	Indicative content It's a prediction as it focuses on the future, but it could also be an opinion as she is giving advice and she has not backed up what she says with any verification or evidence. The following levels of response should be used to award marks. Level 3 (3 marks) Strong response The response demonstrates clear understanding of the nature of this part of an argument.	Marks 3	 Guidance Award 1 mark for any of prediction, opinion or both. Award L2 for a partial explanation of their judgement with some understanding. Award L3 for clear explanation and understanding of their judgement.
	Level 2 (2 marks) Reasonable response The response demonstrates some understanding of the nature of this part of an argument. The explanation lacks some clarity and accuracy.		juugement.
	Level 1 (1 mark) Basic response The candidate identifies the part of the argument but does not explain the nature of the part; the response demonstrates very little or no understanding.		
	Level 0 (0 marks) No relevant response or creditworthy material.		

© UCLES 2017 Page 9 of 14

October/Nover	nber
2	2017

3(c) Indicative content		
Candidates are expected to evaluate the reasoning in the two statements and compare their effectiveness. They should make a supported judgement with some explanation about which person has the most effective reasoning. Candidates may consider the following types of issue: • Quality of the argument - clarity - tone - emotive; exaggerated; precise - language - balance • Quality of the evidence - relevance - sufficiency - sample - source - media; radio - date - how recent - factual, opinion, value, anecdote - testimony - from experience and expert • Knowledge claims • Ability to see • Sources of bias - gender	12	If only one statement is discussed explicitly, then maximum of Level 3.

© UCLES 2017 Page 10 of 14

Cambridge IGCSE – Mark Scheme **PUBLISHED**

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
3(c) The following levels of response should be used to award marks. Level 5 (11–12 marks) Very good response Clear, credible and well supported points about which reasoning works better. Coherent, structured evaluation of both arguments with clear comparison. The response is likely to contain three (or more) developed evaluative points, and no include some undeveloped points.	The following levels of response should be used to award marks.		
	Clear, credible and well supported points about which reasoning works better.		
	The response is likely to contain three (or more) developed evaluative points, and may include some undeveloped points.		
	A clear judgement is reached.		
	Level 4 (8–10 marks) Strong response Clear, supported points about which reasoning works better. Evaluation of how well the reasoning works for both arguments with some comparison.		
developed explanation and may include some undeveloped points. A wide range (four or more) of undeveloped but clearly appropriate points may sufficient to enter this band at the lower level. A judgement is reached. Level 3 (5–7 marks) Reasonable response Reasonable points about which reasoning works better. Some evaluation of his the reasoning works for one or both arguments with an attempt at comparison Judgements and evaluative points are likely to be partially supported or explain the companion of the partially supported or explain the companion of the co	The response is likely to contain two (or more) developed evaluative points with clear, developed explanation and may include some undeveloped points.		
	A wide range (four or more) of undeveloped but clearly appropriate points may be sufficient to enter this band at the lower level.		
	A judgement is reached.		
	Level 3 (5–7 marks) Reasonable response Reasonable points about which reasoning works better. Some evaluation of how well the reasoning works for one or both arguments with an attempt at comparison. Judgements and evaluative points are likely to be partially supported or explained.		
	One (or more) developed evaluative point(s), possibly with some undeveloped points with an attempt to explain but may lack clarity; a range (three or more) of undeveloped points may be sufficient to enter this band at the lower level.		
	An attempt is made to give an overall judgement.		

© UCLES 2017 Page 11 of 14

Cambridge IGCSE – Mark Scheme **PUBLISHED**

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
3(c)	Level 2 (3–4 marks) Basic response Basic points about which reasoning works better. There may be only one argument considered in any detail, with little attempt at comparison. Judgements and evaluative points are likely to be partially supported, asserted and lack clarity/relevance at times.		
	The response is likely to contain two (or more) undeveloped points.		
	A basic judgement may be reached.		
	Level 1 (1–2 marks) Limited response Limited and unsupported points about which reasoning works better. The response is likely to consider the arguments briefly and/or tangentially. There is little clarity. Answers at this level may repeat source material with little understanding or simply agree/disagree with the arguments presented.		
	The response may not contain any clear evaluative points.		
	Level 0 (0 marks) No relevant response or creditworthy material.		

© UCLES 2017 Page 12 of 14

October/Nove	
	2017

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
4	Indicative content	12	
	Candidates are expected to argue using reasons and evidence to justify their opinion and judgement about the issue.		
	Candidates are expected to use and develop the material found in the sources, but should go beyond simply repeating or recycling without adaptation. Other material may be introduced but it is not necessary to gain full marks.		
	Candidates are likely to consider the following arguments:		
	 reference to scale of impact on individual/group/governmental behaviour/actions how long it takes to make a difference the effects of cultural differences and beliefs barriers to change the power of collective action the difficulties of changing individual behaviour the influence of individuals and groups acting locally the role of vested interests and power differences potential conflict difficulties in coordinating globally and across different countries with 		
	 independence cost and access to resources to implement change governmental responses and action other reasonable response 		

© UCLES 2017 Page 13 of 14

Cambridge IGCSE – Mark Scheme **PUBLISHED**

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
4	The following levels of response should be used to award marks:		
	Level 5 (16–18 marks) Very good response Clear, well supported and structured reasoning about the issue. Different arguments and perspectives are clearly considered. The response is likely to contain a range of clearly reasoned points and/or evidence to support the views expressed, with three (or more) developed points, and some undeveloped points. A clear judgement is reached.		
	Level 4 (12–15 marks) Strong response Clear, supported reasoning with some structure about the issue. Different arguments and perspectives are considered. The response is likely to contain some reasoned points and/or evidence to support the views expressed, with two (or more) developed points, and some undeveloped points. A judgement is reached.		
included. The response is likely to contain points and/or evidence to support	Some supported reasoning about the issue. Different arguments and perspectives are included. The response is likely to contain points and/or evidence to support the views expressed, with one (or more) developed point(s), and some undeveloped points.		
	Level 2 (4–7 marks) Basic response Basic reasoning about the issue. Different arguments are included; perspectives, if present, are unclear. The response is likely to rely on assertion rather than evidence and contain some undeveloped points. A basic judgement may be attempted.		
	Level 1 (1–3 marks) Limited response Limited and unsupported reasoning about the issue in general. Different arguments may be included.		
	Level 0 (0 marks) No relevant response or creditworthy material.		

© UCLES 2017 Page 14 of 14