UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE INTERNATIONAL EXAMINATIONS International General Certificate of Secondary Education

## Wany, Papa Cambridge, com MARK SCHEME for the October/November 2011 question paper

## for the guidance of teachers

## 0470 HISTORY

0470/22

Paper 2, maximum raw mark 50

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes must be read in conjunction with the question papers and the report on the examination.

Cambridge will not enter into discussions or correspondence in connection with these mark schemes.

Cambridge is publishing the mark schemes for the October/November 2011 question papers for most IGCSE, GCE Advanced Level and Advanced Subsidiary Level syllabuses and some Ordinary Level syllabuses.

			www.xt	rapapers.com
	Page 2	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	Y I
		IGCSE – October/November 2011	0470	20
		19th Century option		annu.
1	Study Sources A and B. How far do these two sources agree? Explain your answer a details of the sources.		rapapers.com	
	Level 0 No	evidence submitted or response does not address the	question.	[0]
	Level 1 Des	scribes sources, no valid comparison.		[1]
	OR Cor OR Cor	mparison of provenance	does not	[2]
	e.g.	eement OR disagreement of detail . They disagree because A says diplomatic humiliatior ays Prussia was forced into war.	n forced France to war,	[3–4] and in B
		reement AND disagreement of detail . [Level 3 plus] But they agree that France was hostile	to Prussia.	[5–6]
		mpares the big messages for disagreement. e big message is on planning: in A Bismarck is opportu	nistic, in B he is the pla	[7] anner.
2		rces C and D. Does Source C prove that Bismarc bassador in Source D? Explain your answer usin edge.		
	Level 0 No	evidence submitted or response does not address the	question.	[0]
	Level 1 Des	scribes sources, no question focus.		[1]
	Level 2 Und	developed provenance.		[2–3]
	Level 3 Cor trut	mpares Bismarck's attitude in both sources, uses simi h.	larities to say he was t	elling the [4–5]
	Level 4 Eva	aluates D with no reference to C to say whether he's te	lling the truth.	[6]
	Level 5 Cor	mpares sources, evaluates one to decide whether he's	telling the truth in D.	[7]
	Level 6 Cor	mpares sources, evaluates both to decide whether he?	s telling the truth in D.	[8]

Page 3	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	N.
	IGCSE – October/November 2011	0470 23	
	ources E and F. How far does Source E make s using details of the sources and your knowledge		ambrid
Level 0	No evidence submitted or response does not addres	ss the question.	
Level 1	Describes sources, no surprise.		[1]
Level 2	Surprised/not surprised: undeveloped provenance.		[2]
	Uses contextual knowledge/cross-reference to judge i.e. no use of Source E.	e whether or not F is surprising	[3-4]
	Compares content to judge surprised/not surprised. Note: sources include the provenance.		[5–7]
(	e.g. Not surprised because Bismarck/William consi Bismarck persists after the King has shown disappro	•	ed that
	Surprised/not surprised by F (Bismarck persisti	ing), explained by cross-refe	
	contextual knowledge. Must include comparison with E, or will be Level 3.		[8–9]

Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question.	[0]
Level 1 Unsupported assertions.	[1]
Level 2 Answers based on provenance.	[2]
Level 3 Answers based on context.	[3]
Level 4 Answers based on message.	[4–5]
Level 5 Answers based on purpose.	[6]
Level 6 Answers based on purpose and specific context of July 1870 i.e. it's just a week before the Ems Telegram.	[7]

Page 4	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	a. Y
	IGCSE – October/November 2011	0470	Pan
Study Sour	ce H. How useful is this source as evidence ab	out the unification o	f C Phy
Explain you	ur answer using details of the source and your <b>b</b>	nowledge.	orig
_evel 0 No	evidence submitted or response does not address	the question.	aba Cambridg
_evel 1 De	scribes picture, no utility.		[1]
Level 2 Us	eful for surface information.		[2–3]
Level 3 No	t useful because of provenance OR purpose.		[3–4]
_evel 4 No	t useful because of provenance AND purpose – dev	veloped.	[4–5]
	eful because of inferences that can be made from it . about the power of Germany, Bismarck etc.		[6]
	eful because of what we can tell from it about the po about how Bismarck/Germany wished to be percei	•	[7]

## 6 Study all the sources. How far do these sources provide convincing evidence that Bismarck planned the Franco-Prussian War? Use the sources to explain your answer.

Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question.	[0]
Level 1 No valid source use.	[1–3]
Level 2 Uses sources to support OR reject the statement.	[4–6]
Level 3 Uses sources to support AND reject the statement.	[7–10]

Up to 2 bonus marks for any evaluation of sources (no more than 1 per source).

Source use must be reference to a source by letter, provenance, or direct quote, and use examples of source content. There must be an explanation of how this does/does not support the statement.

Use 'Y' in the margin for each source in support of the statement, and 'N' for each source that rejects it.

YES	NO
(A) B E F	ACDG

Page \$	5 Mark Scheme: Teachers' version Syllabus	No. Y
	IGCSE – October/November 2011 0470	280
	20th Century option	STAB.
	Sources A and B. How far do these two sources agree? Explain your of the sources.	Answer b [0
Level 0	No evidence submitted or response does not address the question.	[0
Level 1	Describes sources, no valid comparison.	[1
Level 2	2 Disagree: one source contains information that the other does not OR	[2
	Comparison of provenance	
	OR Comparison of topic i.e. of what the sources are about.	
Level 3	Agreement OR disagreement of detail e.g. In both sources they agree that the peacemakers had little in commo	[3–4 1.
Level 4	Agreement AND disagreement of detail e.g. [Level 3 plus] But they disagree because in A it's the international situ problem, and in B it's disputes between the peacemakers.	[5–6] Jation that's the
	problem, and in Dires disputes between the peddemakers.	
-	Sources C and D. How would Wilson (Source D) have reacted to Sour nswer using details of the sources and your knowledge.	
your a	Sources C and D. How would Wilson (Source D) have reacted to Sour	
<b>your</b> an	Sources C and D. How would Wilson (Source D) have reacted to Soun nswer using details of the sources and your knowledge.	ce C? Explair
your an Level 0 Level 1	Sources C and D. How would Wilson (Source D) have reacted to Sour nswer using details of the sources and your knowledge. No evidence submitted or response does not address the question.	r <b>ce C? Explair</b> [0
your an Level 0 Level 1 Level 2	<ul> <li>Sources C and D. How would Wilson (Source D) have reacted to Sources and your knowledge.</li> <li>No evidence submitted or response does not address the question.</li> <li>Describes sources OR unsupported assertions.</li> <li>Everyday empathy <ul> <li>i.e. the reason given is commonsensical and would apply to anyone.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Undeveloped provenance <ul> <li>i.e. the answer makes sense but there's no use of source content</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	r <b>ce C? Explair</b> [0 [1
your al Level 0 Level 1 Level 2	<ul> <li>Sources C and D. How would Wilson (Source D) have reacted to Sournswer using details of the sources and your knowledge.</li> <li>No evidence submitted or response does not address the question.</li> <li>Describes sources OR unsupported assertions.</li> <li>Everyday empathy <ul> <li>i.e. the reason given is commonsensical and would apply to anyone.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Undeveloped provenance</li> </ul>	r <b>ce C? Explair</b> [0 [1 [2
your al Level 0 Level 1 Level 2 Level 3	<ul> <li>Sources C and D. How would Wilson (Source D) have reacted to Sources of the sources and your knowledge.</li> <li>No evidence submitted or response does not address the question.</li> <li>Describes sources OR unsupported assertions.</li> <li>Everyday empathy <ul> <li>i.e. the reason given is commonsensical and would apply to anyone.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Undeveloped provenance <ul> <li>i.e. the answer makes sense but there's no use of source content OR</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	r <b>ce C? Explair</b> [0 [1 [2 [3

		www.xtrapape
Page 6		s Par r
	IGCSE – October/November 2011 0470	120
	Sources E and F. How similar are the messages of these two nswer using details of the sources and your knowledge.	cartoons
Level 0	No evidence submitted or response does not address the question.	
Level 1	Comparison of surface details e.g. Different: Wilson is wearing a hat in F but not in E.	[1]
Level 2	Comparison of undeveloped provenance.	[2]
Level 3	Similar: based on the misinterpretation that Wilson is in charge in bo	oth. [3]
Level 4	Valid interpretations of sub-messages, but no comparison.	[4]
Level 5	Valid interpretation of the Big Message, but no comparison. The Big Message of both cartoons is the cartoonists' opinion that V thinks he's in charge but he's not.	[5] Wilson is deluded; he
Level 6	Valid comparisons of sub-message(s).	[6–7]
Level 7	Comparisons of the Big Message.	[8]
	Source G. Are you surprised by this source? Explain your answ Irce and your knowledge.	wer using details of
Level 0	No evidence submitted or response does not address the question.	[0]
Level 1	Describes sources, no surprise.	[1]
Level 2	Valid analysis of the source, but no surprise OR Identifies what is/is not surprising, but no reason given for why.	[2]
Level 3	Surprised: identifies something surprising in the source, w explanation.	rith common sense [3]
Level 4	Not surprised: explanation internal to the source i.e. only using source content from Source G.	[4]

Level 5 Not surprised: uses provenance to explain [5] i.e. not surprised by what the Big Three do in Source G because of what the provenance tells us.

Level 6 Surprised: explained by cross-reference to knowledge/other source(s) on the relationship between the Big Three. [6–8]

Page 7	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version IGCSE – October/November 2011	Syllabus 0470
	purce H. Why was this cartoon published in 1919 the source and your knowledge.	S
Level 0 N	lo evidence submitted or response does not address th	e question.
Level 1 D	Describes source, no reason given.	[1
i. C	Reasons based on misinterpretations of the cartoon e. thinks cartoon is anti-German DR nterprets the cartoon, but no reason given.	[2
Level 3 C	Context only.	[3
i.	Explains sub-messages e. to say something, e.g. To tell people the treaty wa lot contained within the answer.	[4–5] as harsh, but cartoonist's opinior
	Explains the Big Message e. because <i>the cartoonist</i> wanted to criticise the Treaty	[6//the Allies.
Level 6 F i.	Purpose e. to have an impact on the German people/peacemak	ers etc.
i.	Purpose in context e. must show how purpose relates to the terms being l o e.g. War Guilt, Diktat, reparations etc.	8] harsh/unfair by specific reference
were fun	the sources. How far do these sources provide of damental differences between the Allies during the to explain your answer.	
Level 0 N	lo evidence submitted or response does not address th	e question. [0

Level 1	No valid source use.	[1–3]
Level 2	Uses sources to support OR reject the statement.	[4–6]

Level 3 Uses sources to support AND reject the statement. [7–10]

Up to 2 bonus marks for any evaluation of sources (no more than 1 per source).

Source use must be reference to a source by letter, provenance, or direct quote, and use examples of source content. There must be an explanation of how this does/does not support the statement.

Use 'Y' in the margin for each source in support of the statement, and 'N' for each source that rejects it.

YES	NO
A B C E* F*	(A) (B) G H

Note: \* means do not allow 'No' based on misinterpretation of the source.