www.xtrapapers.com

UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE INTERNATIONAL EXAMINATIONS

International General Certificate of Secondary Education

MARK SCHEME for the May/June 2012 question paper for the guidance of teachers

0470 HISTORY

0470/21

Paper 2, maximum raw mark 50

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes must be read in conjunction with the question papers and the report on the examination.

• Cambridge will not enter into discussions or correspondence in connection with these mark schemes.

Cambridge is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2012 question papers for most IGCSE, GCE Advanced Level and Advanced Subsidiary Level syllabuses and some Ordinary Level syllabuses.

www.xtrapapers.con

Page 2	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	.0	1
	IGCSE – May/June 2012	0470	No.	

OPTION A: 19TH CENTURY OPTION

1	Study Sources A and B. How similar are the accounts in these two sources? Explain answer using details of the sources.		Sign
	Level 0	No evidence submitted or does not address the question	[0]
	Level 1	Writes about the sources, no valid comparison	[1]
	Level 2	Different: information that is in one source but not in the other OR Similar: they are on the same topic	[2]
	Level 3	Similarities and/or differences of detail e.g. The bomb misses in A, hits the car in B. Gun fired in A, fired twice in B. The car went the wrong way in both, etc.	3–5]
	Level 4	Different on who was to blame for the war i.e. Germany in A, Austria in B.	[6]
	Level 5	L3 plus L4	[7]
2	•	Sources C and D. How far does Source C explain why Berchtold issued ent in Source D? Explain your answer using details of the sources and ydge.	
	Level 0	No evidence submitted or does not address the question	[0]
	Level 1	Writes about the sources, no valid conclusion	[1]
	Level 2	Answers explaining why he issued the statement, but no use of C	[2]
	Level 3	Comparison of content of C and D to explain yes OR no e.g. It does explain it because in Source C the Germans give the green light to Aust and in Source D they are going ahead in dealing with Serbia. It does not fully explain it. In Source C it urges Austria to go to war, but in Source Berchtold is taking a fairly moderate line and not humiliating Serbia.	
	Level 4	As L3, but yes AND no	5–6]
	Level 5	No: Source C is insufficient because there are reasons other than those in C and D i.e. Gives reason(s) from C but shows there are others too, e.g. the assassination of Archduke.	[7] f the
	Level 6	Yes: uses contextual knowledge to explain the critical importance of Germa guarantee in Source C in Austria's action against Serbia	any's [8]

Syllabus 0470

			1
3		Sources E and F. Does Source E make you surprised by Source F? Expusing the sources and your knowledge.	ambridge
	Level 0	No evidence submitted or does not address the question	36
	Level 1	Writes about the sources, no surprised/not surprised OR	[1]
		Identifies something surprising/not surprising in F, no explanation of why	
	Level 2	Explains whether or not F is surprising, no use of E	[2]
	Level 3	Compares source content to decide surprised OR not e.g. Not surprised because in both sources they agree that Serbia has more accepted the Austrian demands. e.g. Surprised because in E he says it will be evidence of ill-will if Austria rejects Serbly, but in F he suggests that they should seek further guarantees.	
	Level 4	Compares source content to decide surprised AND not surprised	[5–6]
	Level 5	L3 OR L4, plus evaluates either/both of E and F to decide whether surprised [Evaluation of E could be of Grey, the Serbian ambassador or the German ambassador.]	[7–8] sador.]
4		Sources G and H. Does Source H prove that Grey was lying in Source G? Easwer using details of the sources and your knowledge.	Explain [8]
	Level 0	No evidence submitted or does not address the question	[0]
	Level 1	Writes about sources, no valid conclusion	[1]
	Level 2	Yes/No: explained using undeveloped provenance of G/H	[2]
	Level 3	Answers explaining whether content of G can be believed, no use of H	[3–4]
	Level 4	Yes/No: compares content of G and H – they differ so someone's lying	[5]
	Level 5	Compares content for difference: evaluates G to conclude Grey is lying/not lying	[6]
	Level 6	No: the difference explained by evaluation of H as an attempt to justify of actions/shift blame to Britain	German [7]
	Level 7	No: the difference explained by Bethmann-Hollweg's purpose of influencing E actions e.g. To try and stop Britain from declaring war.	Britain's [8]

Mark Scheme: Teachers' version IGCSE – May/June 2012

Page 3

www.xtrapapers.com

Page 4	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	.0	V
	IGCSE – May/June 2012	0470	100	0.0

Study Source I. What is the message of this source? Explain your answer using the source and your knowledge.

Level 0 No evidence submitted or does not address the question

Level 1 Surface description of cartoon

Level 2 Misinterpretations [2–3]

e.g. That Serbia will get what it deserves.

Level 3 Sub-messages [4–5]

[watch out for points that are no more than description] e.g. Serbia is plucky, Austria is more powerful than Serbia etc.

Level 4 The Big Message [6–7]

This must encompass Austria and Serbia, and Austria's retaliation against Serbia.

i.e. That Austria will not be justified in retaliation against Serbia.

OR

The cartoonist's opinion

e.g. The cartoonist is expressing disapproval of Austria retaliating against Serbia.

Reserve 7 marks for answers that include the idea that Austria's reaction will be disproportionate.

6 Study all the sources. How far do these sources provide convincing evidence that Austria was responsible for the start of the First World War? Use the sources to explain your answer. [12]

Level 0 No evidence submitted or does not address the question [0]

Level 1 No valid source use [1–3]

Level 2 Uses sources to support OR reject the statement [4–6]

Level 3 Uses sources to support AND reject the statement [7–10]

- Up to 2 bonus marks for proper source evaluation (max.1 per source)
- Source use must include identification of a source by letter, provenance or direct quote. It
 must use examples from source content and explanations of how these support/do not
 support the statement.
- Indicate valid source use in the margin by writing 'Y' for 'Austria responsible' and 'N' for 'Austria not responsible/someone else responsible'.
- Where the candidate groups sources, award only one Y/N for the whole group and only if the explanation is valid for all the sources grouped.

Yes	No
BCEI	ABCDEFGHI

www.xtrapapers.com

Page 5	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	.0
	IGCSE – May/June 2012	0470	100-

OPTION B: 20TH CENTURY OPTION

1	-	Sources A and B. How far do these two sources agree? Explain your answer u of the sources.	103
	Level 0	No evidence submitted or does not address the question	[0]
	Level 1	Writes about the sources, no valid comparison [[1]
	Level 2	Disagreement: information that is in one source but not in the other OR	2]
		Agree: they are on the same topic	
	Level 3	Agreements of detail [3–e.g. That there was an explosion on the railway, that the Japanese invaded Manchuri that the Lytton Commission was set up etc.	-
	Level 4	Disagreement on the consequences of the crisis for the League [6–i.e. not too damaging in A, first great defeat/League lost commitment/ confidence in B.	7]
	Level 5	L3 plus L4	[8]
2		Sources C and D. How would Chiang Kai-shek have reacted to Source D? Explaswer using details of the sources and your knowledge.	in 7]
	Level 0	No evidence submitted or does not address the question	[0]
	Level 1	Answers that give no reaction from Chiang	[1]
	Level 2	Explains Chiang's reaction based on a misinterpretation of Source D e.g. He would be pleased because the League is dealing with Japan in D.	[2]
	Level 3	Reaction based on everyday empathy i.e. How anyone would have reacted to what is happening in Source D, no use of Source C or contextual knowledge to explain how Chiang would have reacted.	[3] ce
	Level 4	Judges Chiang's reaction based on comparison of content between C and D [4–e.g. He would have been shocked because in Source C he expects the League to de with the matter, but in Source D the League is just giving in to Japan.	-
	Level 5	Explains Chiang's reaction to the message of Source D using the context of 1932–3[6–e.g. The cartoon shows the League using the Lytton Commission report as a way saving face. Chiang would have been appalled by the way the League was prepared abandon him and allow the Japanese to take over the whole of Manchuria.	of

trapapers.com

	Page 6		Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	8
			IGCSE – May/June 2012	0470	Tage
3			ce E. Are you surprised by this source? Explain and your knowledge.	n your answer usi	Papa Cambridge
	Level 0	No e	evidence submitted or does not address the question	n	100
	Level 1	Write OR	es about the source, no mention of surprised/not su	rprised	[1]
		lden	tifies something in the source as surprising/not surp	orising, but no expla	nation
	Level 2		orised/not surprised, explained through undeveloped He's a British government minister so…	d provenance	[2]
	Level 3	sour	orised OR not surprised: explained by checking co rce(s)/contextual knowledge But no use of the provenance.	ntent of the source	against other [3–4]
		isola	Not surprised that you will get only words out ationist at this time/Surprised that Japan could seized a powerful navy and would stop them.		•
	Level 4	As L	.3, but surprised AND not surprised		[5]
	Level 5		surprised: explained using cross-reference/conte sh government in 1931 to Manchurian crisis	extual knowledge o	on attitude of [6–7]
4	-		ces F and G. Does Source F prove that Sour g details of the sources and your knowledge.	rce G is wrong?	Explain your [8]
	Level 0	No e	evidence submitted or does not address the question	n	[0]
	Level 1	Write	es about the sources, no valid explanation of right/w	vrong	[1]
	Level 2		No: explained by face value comparison of content No, because in both sources it says that Japan is g		[2–3]
	Level 3	Ansv OR	wers on whether or not G is wrong, no use of F		[4]
		Ansv	wers on whether or not F is wrong, no use of G Explained by cross-reference to sources/ contextual	knowledge.	
	Level 4		both sources have the same attitude They both approve of Japan's actions.		[5]
	Level 5	Com	npares F and G, uses evaluation of Source G to dec	ide right/wrong	[6]
	Level 6	e.g. you	npares F and G, uses evaluation of Source F to deci The two sources seem to agree that Japan was rig can't say that F proves G is right about this as F is ted into the newspaper by the Chinese to make the	ght to expand into Nobviously untrustwo	orthy. It's been

papers.com

Page 7	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	.0	ľ
	IGCSE – May/June 2012	0470	100	

5 Study Sources H and I. How far do these two sources have the same message your answer using details of the sources and your knowledge.

Level 0 No evidence submitted or does not address the question

Level 1 Surface description

i.e. No interpretation of either source.

Level 2 No valid comparison, but some interpretation

i.e. Of one or both cartoons.

Level 3 Comparison of sub-messages

e.g. They both say the League is failing, they both see Japan as an aggressor etc.

Level 4 Comparison of the Big Message

[7]

[2-3]

[4–6]

i.e. That the League is useless both now and in the future.

e.g. They have the same message because in Source H the League cannot resolve the Manchurian crisis, but will be useless next time too, and in Source I it shows that the League is going to regret its failure to act effectively in Manchuria, but is doing nothing to resolve the situation.

Level 5 Comparison of the cartoonists' attitudes/points of view i.e. Both are condemning the League's inaction.

[8]

Study all the sources. How far do these sources provide convincing evidence that the League's response to Japan can be defended? Use the sources to explain your answer. [12]

i.e. Was the League genuinely trying or not? Is there a valid excuse for its failure? Do NOT allow responses which simply deal with the League failing.

Level 0 No evidence submitted or does not address the question

[0]

Level 1 No valid source use

[1-3]

Level 2 Uses sources to support OR reject the statement

[4–6]

Level 3 Uses sources to support AND reject the statement

[7–10]

- Up to 2 bonus marks for proper source evaluation (max.1 per source)
- Source use must include identification of a source by letter, provenance or direct quote. It must use examples from source content and explanations of how these support/do not support the statement.
- Indicate valid source use in the margin by writing 'Y' for 'can be defended' and 'N' for 'cannot be defended'.
- Where the candidate groups sources, award only one Y/N for the whole group and only if the explanation is valid for all the sources grouped.

Yes	No
ABCE	ABDEGHI