

Wany, Papa Cambridge, com MARK SCHEME for the May/June 2012 guestion paper

for the guidance of teachers

0470 HISTORY

0470/42

Paper 4 (Alternative to Coursework), maximum raw mark 40

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes must be read in conjunction with the question papers and the report on the examination.

Cambridge will not enter into discussions or correspondence in connection with these mark schemes.

Cambridge is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2012 question papers for most IGCSE, GCE Advanced Level and Advanced Subsidiary Level syllabuses and some Ordinary Level syllabuses.

Page	2	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	2
		IGCSE – May/June 2012	0470	No.
Depth Stu	ıdy A: Ger	many, 1918–1945		Canny.
1 (a) (i) Level 0	 No evidence submitted or response does r 	ot address the question	1 130
	Level 1	 Repeats material stated in the source, no in 	nference made.	(1-2) Com
		Makes valid informas unsupported from	a tha source a a Anti	

Depth Study A: Germany, 1918–1945

- 1 (a) (i) Level 0 - No evidence submitted or response does not address the question
 - Level 1 Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made.
 - Level 2 Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the source e.g. Anti-Left; loyal to the government sympathisers of Freikorps; links with the wealthy; financed espionage and, secretly, the Nazis etc. (3 - 4)

apapers.com

- Level 3 Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e.g. 'Massacred communists'; restored Right-wing; used 'secret army funds'; money from capitalists' etc. (5 - 6)
- (ii) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question (0)

Level 1 – Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source. (1 - 2)

- Level 2 Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g.
- Yes Support of Ludendorff; publicity; ban brief; SA growing; switch to legal means etc.
- No Putsch failed; seats falling and only gained 3% of the popular vote; Hitler imprisoned; Rohm went to South America etc. (3 - 5)
- Level 3 Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How far?' (6 - 7)
- (iii) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question (0)
 - Level 1 Useful/not useful Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information. (1)
 - Level 2 Useful/not useful One is history of the German Army, the other is by a German so they could both be biased/unreliable. (2)
 - Level 3 Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must state what information. (3 - 5)
 - Level 4 Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context. Include at this Level answers that cross-reference between A and B to show reliability. 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both. (6 - 7)
- (b) (i) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question (0)
 - Level 1 One mark for each valid aspect to a maximum of two e.g. All over 20 could vote; secret ballot; proportional representation; election every 4 years; separate election for Presidency etc. (1 - 2)

V.	Syllabus Syllabus	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	age 3
20	0470	IGCSE – May/June 2012	
amp	address the question	0 - No evidence submitted or response does no	(ii) Level
19	etings.	1 – Identifies aspects e.g. violence; broke up me	Level
et fights, (2 – 4)		2 – Develops aspects e.g. Targeted communes especially in Berlin; murders; parades; collection	Level
(0)	address the question	0 – No evidence submitted or response does no	(iii) Level
(1 – 2)	e explanation.	1 – Single reason. One for the reason, one for the	Level
extreme;	- 'Golden Years'; too	2 – Multiple reasons. One for each reason, or Economy doing well; Stresemann effective suspicions of its 'socialism'; divisions – contents.	Level
(0)	address the question	0 – No evidence submitted or response does no	(iv) Level
increased (1)	factor like Depression i	 Simple assertions. Yes, appointed by Hindenburg. No, anothe the Nazi vote. 	Level
	, single factor given e.g.	2 – Explanation of weaknesses OR other factors	Level
	runing role; Presidential	Grand coalition; could not agree on polic under Article 48; Hindenburg; Schleicher; I Von Papen; Nazis had only 33 per cent of th	Weał
		Catch-all appeal of Nazi policies; propagand links to industrialists; unemployment at 30 1930/32; Hitler's intransigence etc.	Other
en. Allow	ors, multiple factors give	3 – Explanation of weaknesses OR other fac single factors with multiple reasons.	Level
te BBB – (3 – 5)	of the argument (annota	Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides Balanced but Brief).	OR
(6 – 8)	rs must be addressed.	 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of weaknesses AND other factorial 	Level

Page	e 4	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	9 V
U		IGCSE – May/June 2012	0470	No.
Depth Stu	udy B: Ru	ssia 1905–1941		Camb
2 (a) (i	i) Level 0	 No evidence submitted or response does n 	ot address the question	1 196
	Level 1	- Repeats material stated in the source, no ir	nference made.	(1-2) · COM
		Makaa valid informana unaurnartad fra	the equiper of the	

Depth Study B: Russia 1905–1941

- Level 2 Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the source e.g. Curious about changes; conservative and thought the changes would not work etc. (3 - 4)
- Level 3 Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e.g. Curious and amazed at the strength of the tractor; felt the smell would poison the ground; crops would not grow and the changes would fail; changes are Party driven etc.

(5 - 6)

apapers.com

- (ii) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question (0)
 - Level 1 Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source. (1 - 2)
 - Level 2 Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g.
 - Yes Stalin said it was; no more famines after the great famine; state farms produced more than private ones; peasants driving tractors; countryside transformed etc.
 - No Huge cost in human life and misery; great famine deaths; inefficiencies of collective farms etc. (3 - 5)
 - Level 3 Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How far?' (6 - 7)
- (iii) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question (0)
 - Level 1 Useful/not useful Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information. (1)
 - Level 2 Useful/not useful One source is from a Russian peasant, the other is from a British historian so they could both be biased/unreliable. (2)
 - Level 3 Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information. (3 - 5)
 - Level 4 Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context. Include at this Level answers that cross-reference between A and B to show reliability. 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both. (6 - 7)
- (b) (i) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question (0)
 - Level 1 One mark for each valid aspect to a maximum of two e.g. A Kolkhoz was a collective farm. A Sovkhoz was a state farm where workers drew wages as (1 - 2)per in industry.

Y.	Syllabus 0470	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version IGCSE – May/June 2012	5	Page 5
C.	0470			
mbr.	address the question	lo evidence submitted or response does not	Level	(ii)
1.3	or reward.	dentifies methods e.g. Persuasion by threat	Level	
ven extra Gulags, (2 – 4)		Develops methods e.g. Most by fear but s Dewards and responsibilities. As per kulaks York camps, death etc.	Level 2	
(0)	address the question	lo evidence submitted or response does not	Level	(iii)
(1 – 2)	e explanation.	ingle reason. One for the reason, one for the	Level	
and rival capitalist	ing USSR up to date SR; to get away from	Aultiple reasons. One for each reason, one leeded vast increases in production to br Vestern powers; for defence; to enrich US IEP; to put his own stamp on the developm preign technology etc.	Level 2	
(0)	address the question	lo evidence submitted or response does not	Level	(iv)
(1)		imple assertions. es, lots more was produced.	Level	
ven e.g.	iveness, single factor giv	xplanation of effectiveness OR lack of effec	Level	
•	allowed USSR to survive	fter a slow start, and after training the US roducer of heavy industry behind the USA; nslaught; increasing amounts of grown corn	Eff	
950s; any	id not catch up till the 19	Breat famine killed millions; Second Five Yonany animals killed that animal production d nprovement based on cruel targets, working tc.	Ineff	
en. Allow	ess, multiple factors giv	Explanation of effectiveness OR ineffectiven ingle factors with multiple reasons.	Level	
te BBB – (3 – 5)	f the argument (annota	Indeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of alanced but Brief).	OR	
. (6 – 8)	ness must be addressed	nswers that offer a balanced argument. OTH sides of effectiveness AND ineffective	Level	

	Page	6	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	P. Y
			IGCSE – May/June 2012	0470	No.
De	pth Stuc	ly C: The	USA, 1919–1941		Canny.
3	(a) (i)	Level 0	 No evidence submitted or response does n 	ot address the question	on its
		Level 1	 Repeats material stated in the source, no in 	nference made.	(1-2) Com
			Makaa valid informana, unaunnartad from	the course of Did n	at understand

Depth Study C: The USA, 1919–1941

- 3 (a) (i) Level 0 - No evidence submitted or response does not address the question
 - Level 1 Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made.
 - Level 2 Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the source e.g. Did not understand the system; small investors were ruined; banks and major players did well, but not to be trusted; people disillusioned; future unclear etc. (3 - 4)

apapers.com

- Level 3 Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e.g. People were puzzled; they had lost all their money; banks had already made their profits by secret selling ahead of the crash etc. (5 - 6)
- (ii) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question (0)

Level 1 – Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source. (1 - 2)

- Level 2 Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g.
- Yes Wages had been rising steadily for thirty years and demand for consumer goods had been high in the 1920s; at his death Rockefeller still had a fortune of well over 1 percent of the total national wealth, despite losses in the Depression etc.
- No Inequalities of wealth; 350 000 owned 12 per cent of total national income; robber barons owned too much and could exploit their power and the workers; Rockefeller lost 80 per cent of his fortune, so what about the poor? (3 - 5)
- Level 3 Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How far?' (6 - 7)
- (iii) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question (0)
 - Level 1 Useful/not useful Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information. (1)
 - Level 2 Useful/not useful One source is from a newspaper, the other is from a history book so they could both be biased/unreliable. (2)
 - Level 3 Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information. (3 - 5)
 - Level 4 Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context. Include at this Level answers that cross-reference between A and B to show reliability. 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both. (6 - 7)
- (b) (i) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question (0)
 - Level 1 One mark for each valid aspect to a maximum of two e.g. Queues of unemployed waiting for food; distributed by charities; not state help; usually insufficient but the choice was to scavenge or starve so breadline looked attractive. (1 - 2)

, r	Syllabus Syllabus	e: Teachers' version		Page 7
30	0470	- May/June 2012	IGCSE -	
annb.	address the question	bmitted or response does not	evel 0 – No evidence su	(ii)
19	plently dispersed.	cts e.g. Democrats support; vic	evel 1 – Identifies aspec	
voted for ly, Hoover d weapons (2 – 4)	an Senate refused; July r's army with tanks and	ects e.g. Set up camps in M ment in June 1932; Republica to be demolished; MacArthur urned tents; riots; two marcher	immediate pay ordered camps	
(0)	address the question	bmitted or response does not	evel 0 – No evidence su	(iii)
(1 – 2)	e explanation.	One for the reason, one for the	evel 1 – Single reason.	
ard spiral	bankruptcies; downwa provision; drought/dust	es. One for each reason, one ; long standing problems; ack of confidence; no welfare in towns; black people usually	Overproduction worsened by la	
(0)	address the question	bmitted or response does not	evel 0 – No evidence su	(iv)
(1)		ns. ct. Yes, appeal of FDR.	evel 1 – Simple assertio Yes, failed to a	
given e.g.	er factors, single factor g	Hoover's unpopularity OR othe	evel 2 – Explanation of l	
s; cutting er incomes	nitially lowering taxes ing banks; tax on highe	around the corner'; blocked f res taken inadequate – ir penditure; new tariff; not tackli 2 angered some supporters npaign ineffective etc.	Army; measur government ex raised in 193	
•		s; success as Governor of Ne nocrats united for once; Depre e etc.	-	
tors given.	er factors, multiple fact	Hoover's unpopularity OR oth tors with multiple reasons.		
ate BBB – (3 – 5)	f the argument (annota	uggestions on BOTH sides o rief).	DR Undeveloped s Balanced but B	
dressed. (6 – 8)	her factors must be add	ffer a balanced argument. Hoover's unpopularity AND otl		

		2
Page 8	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus
	IGCSE – May/June 2012	0470
Depth Study [D: China 1945–c.1990	and.
4 (a) (i) Le	evel 0 – No evidence submitted or response does no	address the question
Le	evel 1 – Repeats material stated in the source, no inf	ference made. (1 – 2)

wxtrapapers.com

- Level 2 Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the source e.g. The new economy had problems in balancing the books and keeping the economy under control; the government tried to take some action etc. (3-4)
- Level 3 Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e.g. Market socialism had side effects; exports went up but imports went up more; government put tariffs on imports; inflation up by 22 percent etc. (5-6)
- (ii) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question (0)

Level 1 – Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source. (1-2)

- Level 2 Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g.
- Yes Mao's revolution and process had made progress in literacy; shattered the old imperial system of government; left foundations for Deng's developments etc.
- No Mass murders; excesses of the revolution; destroyed ancient culture; Deng was the great pro-market reformer etc. (3-5)
- Level 3 Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How far?' (6-7)
- (iii) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question (0)
 - Level 1 Useful/not useful Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information. (1)
 - Level 2 Useful/not useful One is from an historian and the other is from a journalist so they could both be biased/unreliable. (2)
 - Level 3 Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information. (3-5)
 - Level 4 Choice made on the grounds of reliability.
 Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context.
 Include at this Level answers that cross-reference between A and B to show reliability.
 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.
- (b) (i) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question (0)

Level 1 – One mark for each valid area to a maximum of two e.g. Hong Kong, Macao. (1-2)

		Syllabus
Page 9	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus Syllabus
	IGCSE – May/June 2012	0470
(ii)	_evel 0 – No evidence submitted or response does n	Syllabus 0470 ot address the question e reins of power. Hua Guofeng (Mao's choice), Deng, of Four, led by Mao's widow Jiang
I	_evel 1 – Identifies aspects e.g. Squabble to take the	e reins of power.
I	Level 2 – Develops aspects e.g. Three candidates: H sacked during Cultural Revolution, Gang o Qing. Hua initially held power, kept Deng ir four; Deng reasserted himself, shared lea Hua to resign as Party Chairman; Deng in sentenced to death, later commuted to life i	n the background, arrested Gang of adership with Hua but then forced a sole control; Gang of four on trial,
(iii)	_evel 0 – No evidence submitted or response does n	ot address the question (0)
I	_evel 1 – Single reason. One for the reason, one for t	the explanation. $(1-2)$

- Level 2 Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for each reason explained e.g. Frustration with economic problems – inflation at 30 per cent and state employees wages lagging behind prices in 1988/9; April – demonstration at slow pace of political reform towards democracy; example of Gorbachev's reforms in USSR; Chinese Govt (Zhao Ziyang) promises student demands will be met; Deng outraged; Gorbachev's visit (15–18 May); June, often as many as 250 000 in Square; internal disagreements in govt; Deng won. Thousands of troops brought into dispel protesters on 3–4 June. Basically, frustration at the slow pace of economic and political change. (2 – 6)
- (iv) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question (0)
 - Level 1 Simple assertions. Yes, many Chinese were becoming richer.

(1)

- Level 2 Explanation of change OR lack of change, single factor given e.g.
- Cha More contact and trade with West; investment from West meant huge increase in commercial enterprise; UNO and World Bank contact also helped; incentives in pay increased production; demand and use of consumer goods from Western fashions, TVs etc; more travel; special economic areas etc.
- Lack CCP remained fully in control; peasants barely saw any change except that some land was taken for building; largest economic and social developments took place from mid-1990s onwards etc. (2)
- Level 3 Explanation of change OR lack of change, multiple factors given. Allow single factors with multiple reasons.
- OR Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of the argument (annotate BBB Balanced but Brief). (3 5)
- Level 4 Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of change AND lack of change must be addressed. (6-8)

Page 10	0	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version Syllabus	K
		IGCSE – May/June 2012 0470	°C.
epth Stud	y E: Soutl	nern Africa in the Twentieth Century	mb
(a) (i)	Level 0 –	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version Syllabus IGCSE – May/June 2012 0470 hern Africa in the Twentieth Century No evidence submitted or response does not address the question Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made. Makes velid inferences, unsupported from the source of a New does	1
	Level 1 –	Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made.	(1 – 2
	Level 2 –	Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the source e.g. New; d between theory and practice; complex; impractical; divisive; to ens hierarchy etc.	merences
	Level 3 –	Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e.g. Clashes reality of life in Cape Town and history of relationships; rainbow of mixed racial origins; to control etc.	
(ii)	Level 0 –	No evidence submitted or response does not address the question	(0)
	Level 1 –	Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source.	(1 – 2)
	Level 2 –	Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g.	
	Yes	To lead to salvation; to secure a fair future; justice for all; independence; government aid; popular with Afrikaners etc.	lead to
	No	Afrikaner focus; integration impossible; government decision of timin areas exclusively white – blacks can only be visitors etc.	igs; urbar (3 – 5
	Level 3 –	Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the 'How far?'	e issue o (6 – 7)
(iii)	Level 0 –	No evidence submitted or response does not address the question	(0)
	Level 1 –	Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis that one is more deta more information, but does not specify what information.	iled/gives (1)
	Level 2 –	Useful/not useful – One is from an Afrikaner and the other is from a c so they could both be biased/unreliable.	ommunis (2)
	Level 3 –	Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specific information.	ecify wha (3 – 5
	Level 4 –	Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) i Include at this Level answers that cross-reference between A and B reliability.	
		6 marks for one source. 7 marks for both.	(6 – 7)
(b) (i)	Level 0 –	No evidence submitted or response does not address the question	(0)
		One mark for each valid aspect to a maximum of two e.g. To p	rovert e

Level 1 – One mark for each valid aspect to a maximum of two e.g. To prevent an individual or group from attending meetings, writing or broadcasting; not allowed to move without police permission; no appeal etc. (1-2)

N_	Syllabus	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	e 11	age 1
30	0470	IGCSE – May/June 2012		
ambri	address the question	No evidence submitted or response does not	ii) Level 0	(ii)
('9	partheid; failed.	dentifies aspects e.g. Trial of opponents of a	Level 1	
Congress ims; huge 9, final 30 (2 – 4)	of communist/violent a	Develops aspects e.g. About 150 leaders nvolved in 1955 Freedom Charter, accused publicity; government failed – 60 released in found not guilty in 1961.	Level 2	
(0)	address the question	No evidence submitted or response does not	ii) Level 0	(iii)
(1 – 2)	explanation.	single reason. One for the reason, one for the	Level 1	
trusted by	and; chiefs not always d black labour outsi	Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one Too small for their large populations; poor la government or subjects; industry neede independence' not recognised outside South	Level 2	
(0)	address the question	No evidence submitted or response does not	v) Level 0	(iv)
(1)	s now gone.	Simple assertions. Yes, kept opposition down. No, apartheid has	Level 1	
ctor given	other factors. Single fa	Explanation of impact of security forces OR e.g.	Level 2	
eral whites	o forced it on some libe	The security forces used physical action and force apartheid on the native population – als as well; expect examples of suppression, to events like Sharpeville etc.	SF	
tinued the tion could	political movements con ty forces shows opposi	Most Afrikaners approved of apartheid so it la areas more relaxed; the very fact that black p struggle against apartheid despite the secur not be stopped; neighbouring states; internat	Other	
le factors		Explanation of impact of security forces C given. Allow single factors with multiple reaso	Level 3	
ate BBB – (3 – 5)	f the argument (annota	Jndeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of Balanced but Brief).	OR	
must be (6 – 8)	ces AND other factors	Answers that offer a balanced argument. 3OTH sides of the impact of security for addressed.	Level 4	

Page 1	2	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version Syllabus	r
Ŭ		IGCSE – May/June 2012 0470	in the second
oth Stud	y F: Israe	elis and Palestinians, 1945–c.1994	anh.
(a) (i)	Level 0 -	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version Syllabus IGCSE – May/June 2012 0470 elis and Palestinians, 1945–c.1994 - - No evidence submitted or response does not address the question	10
	Level 1 -	- Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made.	(1 – 2)
	Level 2 -	 Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the source e.g. They had money in preparation; leaders appeared to quarrel easily; seemed personality thing etc. 	•
	Level 3 -	 Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e.g. Had not for an Israeli attack on their aircraft; money had been diverted for oth Nasser not prepared to accept genuine and objective suggestions/ob etc. 	er things;
(ii)	Level 0 -	 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question 	(0)
	Level 1 -	 Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source. 	(1 – 2)
	Level 2 -	 Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g. 	
	Yes	Nasser publicised troop movement to Sinai; massed Egyptian for tanks on south-western border of Israel etc.	orces and
	No	Hysteria in the Arab world; prediction of long peace by Israeli Chie celebration of Independence Day; Israelis believed predictions were r	
		 Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the 'How far?' 	e issue of (6 – 7)
(iii)	Level 0 -	- No evidence submitted or response does not address the question	(0)
	Level 1 -	 Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis that one is more deta more information, but does not specify what information. 	iled/gives (1)
	Level 2 -	 Useful/not useful – One is from a British person, the other is from an they could both be biased/unreliable. 	Israeli so (2)
	Level 3 -	 Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specified information. 	ecify what (3 – 5)
	Level 4 -	 Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in Include at this Level answers that cross-reference between A and E reliability. 	
		6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.	(6 – 7)
(b) (i)	Level 0 -	 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question 	(0)
	Level 1 -	- One mark for each valid aspect to a maximum of two e.g. / Palestinian organisation set up by Arafat in Kuwait in 1958 to fig	

Level 1 – One mark for each valid aspect to a maximum of two e.g. A militant Palestinian organisation set up by Arafat in Kuwait in 1958 to fight for the restoration of Palestine to the Arabs. Began paramilitary operations in 1964 and assumed the leadership of the PLO in 1969 etc. (1-2)

age 13		Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	Y
		IGCSE – May/June 2012	0470	2
				an
(ii)	Level 0 –	No evidence submitted or response does not a	Syllabus 0470 address the question vs vehicle used by	"Brie
I	Level 1 –	Identifies part played e.g. Egyptian new government.	vs vehicle used by	Egyptia (1 – 2)
I	Level 2 –	Develops part played e.g. Official mouthp propaganda vehicle; whipped up Egyptian/Ar Israel and frighten its population etc.		
(iii)	Level 0 –	No evidence submitted or response does not a	address the question	(0)
	Level 1 –	Single reason. One for the reason, one for the	explanation.	(1 – 2)
I	Level 2 –	Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one Egypt not really recovered from previous loss criticism of Syria and Jordan; unplanned, un Arab states; false Soviet intelligence; Israel leaders, more organised, air power etc.	es but needed to save prepared, different age	face after endas for
(iv)	Level 0 –	No evidence submitted or response does not a	address the question	(0)
I	Level 1 –	Simple assertions. Yes, they grabbed a lot of land.		(1)
	Level 2 –	Explanation of total OR limited victory, single f	actor given e.g.	
	Total	Complete military victory, inflicting severe los forces; captured equipment meant enemie expensive and time consuming; now had d support – admiration around the world etc.	es would take time to	re-arm
I	Limit	Still had to defend enlarged borders; be completely; Israel still not recognised; mor Khartoum Accord where Arab states agreed ' negotiation'; UNO Resolution 242 demanded etc.	e refugees in occupie no peace, no recognitic	ed lands on and no
I	Level 3 –	Explanation of total OR limited victory with me factors with multiple reasons.	ultiple factors given. All	ow single
1	OR	Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of	the argument (annota	te BBB -

Level 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of total AND limited victory must be addressed. (6-8)

Page 1	4	Mark Scheme: Teachers' versionSyllabusIGCSE – May/June 20120470	K
epth Stud	y G: The	Creation of Modern Industrial Society	Can
	-	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version Syllabus IGCSE – May/June 2012 0470 Creation of Modern Industrial Society 0470 - No evidence submitted or response does not address the question	on
	Level 1 -	- Repeats material seen in the source, no inference made.	(1 – 2
	Level 2 -	 Makes valid inferences unsupported from the source e.g. Serious tr the stocking industry; things have been damaged; a lot of money offered to get a conviction etc 	
	Level 3 -	 Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e.g. Stocking machinery has been damaged and the owners are offering the huge su Guineas to secure a conviction; asking conspirators to turn on one with information and attempts to get a pardon etc. 	um of 50
(ii)	Level 0 -	- No evidence submitted or response does not address the question	(0
	Level 1 -	- Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source.	(1 – 2
	Level 2 -	- Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g.	
	Yes	There is a large crowd present and they appear to be listening to the s the very fact it is recognisable and fun is being poked at it, mus evidence of popularity and someone feels threatened etc.	•
	No	Not all the audience is concentrating; a fine hotchpotch of strange chather poor English and outrageous principles make the tone of the mocking etc.	
	Level 3 -	- Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the 'How far?'	issue o (6 – 7
(iii)	Level 0 -	- No evidence submitted or response does not address the question	(0
	Level 1 -	 Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis that one is more detail more information, but does not specify what information. 	ed/gives (1
	Level 2 -	 Useful/not useful – One is a Reward poster, and the other is a cartoon could both be biased/unreliable. 	so they (2
	Level 3 -	- Choice made on the nature and amount of information given. Must what information.	specify (3 – 5
	Level 4 -	 Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in Include at this Level answers that cross-reference between A and B reliability. 	
		6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.	(6 – 7

- (b) (i) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question (0)

Y_	s No	Syllabus	Teachers' version		15	Page 1	
20	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	0470	ay/June 2012	IGCSE –			
amp	question	address the	nitted or response does not	el 0 – No evidence sul	i) Leve	(ii)	
19		y.	s e.g. Danger and insecurit	el 1 – Identifies proble	Leve		
overseers; oyment if (2-4)			s e.g. Dangerous machine ng/lateness; could face in		Leve		
(0)	question	address the	nitted or response does not	el 0 – No evidence sul) Leve	(iii)	
(1 – 2)	n.	e explanation	e for the reason, one for the	el 1 – Single reason. C	Leve		
on/armed cis Place;	trol populati y from Fran	sure to contr al, especially of workers' a	on, one for each reason ex they were a wartime mea om many quarters to repe ourpose; the development nd proper consideration etc	properly enforce forces; pressure they served little	Leve		
(0)	question	address the	itted or response does not	el 0 – No evidence sul) Leve	(iv)	
(1)		eartless.	ll-known to be harsh and h	el 1 – Simple assertion Yes, they were v	Leve		
	e.g.	actor given e.	tality OR kindness, single f	el 2 – Explanation of b	Leve		
; physical	the workers	e welfare of t ers, but they	ses as their main concern here was no concern for th the concern of the overse d and female exploitation e	in the sense tha brutality was mo	Brut		
s and the	ich; attitude	yers approad	e enlightened factory own gislation softens the emplo aring culture; effects more	Lanark factory;	Kind		
le factors	s. Allow sing	ultiple factors.	tality OR kindness, with mເ ons.	el 3 – Explanation of b with multiple rea	Leve		
te BBB – (3 – 5)	ent (annota	f the argume	gestions on BOTH sides c).	Undeveloped su Balanced but Br	OR		
		o addrossod	a balanced argument. Itality AND kindness must b	el 4 – Answers that off BOTH sides of t	Leve		

Page 16	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	S Y
	IGCSE – May/June 2012	0470	Da
	he Impact of Western Imperialism in the Nir	•	Cambrid
8 (a) (i) Leve	I 0 – No evidence submitted or response does	not address the question	300
Leve	I 1 – Repeats material stated in the source, no	inference made.	(1-2) Com
	1.2 Makes valid informance unsupported from	n the source e.g. It has h	

apapers.com

Depth Study H: The Impact of Western Imperialism in the Nineteenth Century

- 8 (a) (i) Level 0 - No evidence submitted or response does not address the question
 - Level 1 Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made.
 - Level 2 Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the source e.g. It has been a great help even if somewhat patronising; the British had little idea of what Indians need; they do not appear to understand Indians; but have their heart in the right place etc. (3 - 4)
 - Level 3 Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e.g. The British have been of great service morally; have a mixed performance politically have introduced new technology with benefit to Indians; they do not really understand Indians and their needs; but have a firm belief in fair play and justice etc. (5 - 6)
 - (ii) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question (0)

Level 1 – Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source. (1 - 2)

- Level 2 Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g.
- Yes Imports of Western technology – trains, canals, steamships; irrigation and food production; world markets; textiles in Western India; stagnation of the early nineteenth century had been overcome etc.
- No Only a small minority benefited; famines of the 1880s and 1890s showed that economic growth was limited and the British could not overcome all problems etc. (3 - 5)
- Level 3 Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How far?' (6 - 7)
- (iii) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question (0)
 - Level 1 Useful/not useful Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information. (1)
 - Level 2 Useful/not useful One is from an Indian and the other is from a Briton so they could both be biased/unreliable. (2)
 - Level 3 Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information. (3 - 5)
 - Level 4 Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context. Include at this Level answers that cross-reference between A and B to show reliability. (6 - 7)6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.

			1	WWW.XI	rapape
Page 17	7	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	S.	Y
		IGCSE – May/June 2012	0470	12	30
(b) (i)	Level 0	 No evidence submitted or response does not 	address the q	uestion	ambri
	Level 1	 One mark for each valid aspect to a maximu Parliament that conferred the title of Empress 	ım of two e.g. s of India on Q		the A oria. (1 – 2)
(ii)	Level 0	 No evidence submitted or response does not 	address the q	uestion	(0)
	Level 1	 Identifies problems e.g. Hunger and insecurit 	y.		(1 – 2)
	Level 2	 Develops problems e.g. Famine as per 1880 growing political disaffection leading to Muti slowly growing economy; wars; British notion 	iny and with th	ne 1858 le	egislation;
(iii)	Level 0	 No evidence submitted or response does not 	address the q	uestion	(0)
	Level 1	 Single reason. One for the reason, one for the 	e explanation.		(1 – 2)
	Level 2	 Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one Because the Mutiny revealed mistakes and East India company; political concern in Brita the crown' if India was lost; need to re-estab to convey to Indians that they were important a stake in the 'partnership etc. 	deficiencies u ain at possible lish control in	nder the r loss of the a fairer sy	ule of the e 'jewel in vstem and
(iv)	Level 0	 No evidence submitted or response does not 	address the q	uestion	(0)
	Level 1	 Simple assertions. Most resented British interference. 			(1)
	Level 2	 Explanation of welcome OR unwelcome, sing 	gle factor giver	n e.g.	
	Wel	Provided increased opportunities; improved of and shipping; investment in agriculture and ir order; fairness etc.			0 1
	Unw	British sense of superiority; racial distinctions Hindu and Muslim customs and religious sen the Mother country etc.			
	Level 3	 Explanation of welcome OR unwelcome w factors with multiple reasons. 	rith multiple fa	actors. Alle	ow single
	OR	Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of Balanced but Brief).	of the argume	nt (annota	te BBB – (3 – 5)
	Level 4	 Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of welcome AND unwelcome mu 	ust be address	ed.	(6 – 8