CAMBRIDGE INTERNATIONAL EXAMINATIONS

International General Certificate of Secondary Education

MARK SCHEME for the October/November 2012 series

0470 HISTORY

0470/41

Paper 4 (Alternative to Coursework), maximum raw mark 40

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge is publishing the mark schemes for the October/November 2012 series for most IGCSE, GCE Advanced Level and Advanced Subsidiary Level components and some Ordinary Level components.

| Page 2 | Mark Scheme | Syllabus | .0 | 1 |
|--------|-------------------------------|----------|-----|---|
| | IGCSE – October/November 2012 | 0470 | No. | |

Depth Study A: Germany, 1918–1945

| | | | • | OA. |
|---|---------|-----------|---|-------|
| 1 | (a) (i) | Level 1 - | - Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made. | Origi |
| | | Level 2 - | Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the source e.g. Faced armed violence; sympathetic to lawbreakers; resentment against peacemaking; widespread antagonism etc. | [3–4] |
| | | Level 3 - | - Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e.g. Faced armed violence from Kapp Putsch; sympathy to lawbreakers with banned Freikorps members allowed to join Operation Consul, and right-wing papers saying patriotic Germans approved of the assassination of Walter Rathenau etc. | [5–6] |
| | (ii) | Level 1 - | - Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source. | [1–2] |
| | | Level 2 - | - Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g. | |
| | | Yes | Spartacists in Berlin; government needed Freikorps; widespread Communist impact from Bavaria to the Ruhr; increase in vote by 1924 etc. | |
| | | No | some early socialist opposition; Eisner's murder by right-wing; speedy defeats; scale of casualties; only 'attempted' in the Ruhr; SPD maintained its vote etc. | [3–5] |
| | | Level 3 - | - Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How far?' | [6–7] |
| | (iii) | Level 1 - | Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information. | [1] |
| | | Level 2 - | - Useful/not useful – One is from a Briton and the other in from a Communist so they could both be biased/unreliable. | [2] |
| | | Level 3 - | - Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information. | [3–5] |
| | | Level 4 - | - Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context. Include at this Level answers that cross-reference between A and B to show reliability. 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both. | [6–7] |
| | | | | |

[6–8]

| Page 3 | | | Mark Scheme | Syllabus | · Po |
|---------|------|-------------------|---|--|----------------|
| | | | IGCSE – October/November 2012 | 0470 | 200 |
| (b) (i) | Leve | b ii N C | One mark for each valid aspect to a maximum pelieve in the defeat until confirmed by Hinde nitially refused to concede any powers; 1 Octobax Imperial Chancellor; by the end of Octobax Imperial monarch; after Kiel Mutiny abditional to live in Holland etc. | | orff; ince |
| (ii) | Leve | l 1 – Io | dentifies aspects e.g. Head of State; Article 4 | 8, emergency pow | /ers. [1–2] |
| | Leve | h e | Develops aspects e.g. Elected Head of State; ne appointed the Chancellor; maintain public emergency powers to suspend individual righ neasures by decree etc. | order; Article 48, | |
| (iii) | Leve | 11- 8 | Single reason. One for the reason, one for the | e explanation. | [1–2] |
| | Leve | e t | Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for explained e.g. Already strong inflationary prestebt; scale of reparations; French/Belgian invectoromic loss; passive resistance and governo pay striking workers; lack of reserves; loss currency etc. | ssures because of rasion of the Ruhr; nment printing mor | ney |
| (iv) | Leve | | simple assertions. Yes, wars bring change both social and polition | cal. | [1] |
| | Leve | l 2 – E | explanation of change OR lack of change, sin | gle factor given e. | g. |
| | Cha | b C s h | No longer a monarchy; Weimar imposed upor prought political protest and social unrest; Str Golden Years; Germans more confident in lat seemed to have beaten off right and left wing hyperinflation on savings/different classes; tra architecture/ literature/cinema/radio; Berlin and American influence etc. | esemann and the ter years of the 19 parties; impact of ade unions develor | 20s; |
| | Lack | s c jı | Still very conservative in the countryside; Streseemed like an old style ruler – as did Article disapproval of high life in Berlin and the loose udicial and industrialists wanted no change elements. The question refers to social and political controls. | 48 powers; much morals; military; tc. | y [2] |
| | Leve | | explanation of change OR lack of change, wit Allow single factors with multiple reasons. | h multiple factors. | |
| | OR | | Indeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of BBB – Balanced but Brief). | the argument (ann | otate [3–5] |

Level 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument.

BOTH sides of Change AND lack of change must be addressed.

| Page 4 | Mark Scheme | Syllabus | .0 | r |
|--------|-------------------------------|----------|-----|---|
| | IGCSE – October/November 2012 | 0470 | 900 | |

Depth Study B: Russia, 1905–1941

| | | | | OA. |
|---|---------|-----------|--|------------------|
| 2 | (a) (i) | Level 1 - | - Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made. | Oride |
| | | Level 2 - | Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the source e.g. Lenin had been ill; he had been outmanoeuvred but showed his authority again etc. | [3–4] |
| | | Level 3 - | Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e.g. Lenin became exhausted at meetings because of his recent illness; Politburo members had tried to sneak votes past him in his absence; he re-asserted his authority by putting time limits on meetings etc. | [5–6] |
| | (ii) | Level 1 - | - Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source. | [1–2] |
| | | Level 2 - | - Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g. | |
| | | Yes | Not deeply divided on issues; both industrialisers; not overly fond of peasants; disagreements less obvious than personality differences etc. | |
| | | No | Rivals over issues like industrialisation and peasants; Stalin was accused of stealing Trotsky's policy over rapid industrialisation; rank and file saw there were differences but not large ones etc. | [3–5] |
| | | Level 3 - | - Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How far?' | [6–7] |
| | (iii) | Level 1 - | Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information. | [1] |
| | | Level 2 - | - Useful/not useful – One source is British, the other is from America, so both could be biased/unreliable. | [2] |
| | | Level 3 - | - Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information. | [3–5] |
| | | Level 4 - | Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context. Include at this Level answers that cross-reference between A and B to show reliability. | ro - |
| | | | 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both. | [6–7] |

| | | | | 2.0 | |
|---------|------|--------|--|---|-----------|
| Page 5 |) | | Mark Scheme | Syllabus | |
| | | | IGCSE – October/November 2012 | 0470 | 20 |
| (b) (i) | Leve | el 1 – | One mark for each valid aspect to a maximum Established as the newspaper of the Bolshevi from Gorky; Stalin a contributor; Bukharin was Truth etc. | of two e.g. k Party with money s editor; Pravda means | Cambridge |
| (ii) | Leve | el 1 – | Identifies Rebellion e.g. Rebellion by sailors w soviet. | ho set up their own | [1–2] |
| | Leve | el 2 – | Develops aspects of the Rebellion e.g. The Sanaval base had been great supporters of the I had become disenchanted with the results of made demands, set up separate soviet; cruelled by Trotsky; helped influence Lenin to intro | Bolsheviks in 1917; War Communism; y put down by troops | [2–4] |
| (iii) | Leve | l 1 – | Single reason. One for the reason, one for the | explanation. | [1–2] |
| | Leve | el 2 – | Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for explained e.g. Late convert from Mensheviks achievements in negotiations at Brest-Litovsk brilliant speaker, he was the obvious choice to things for granted, arrogant, not liked by fellow built support through position of Secretary-Ge one took Stalin seriously; no-one had Lenin's funeral; Stalin's role and speech at Lenin's fun | but outstanding and in the Civil War; o succeed; but he took v Bolsheviks; Stalin eneral of the Party; no- nomination; Lenin's | [2–6] |
| (iv) | Leve | el 1 - | Simple assertions. Yes, he was the obvious leader. No, he was p | paranoid. | [1] |
| | Leve | l 2 – | Explanation of security OR lack of security, sir | ngle factor given e.g. | |
| | Sec | | He was leader; he had outmanoeuvred all rivative Year Plans and collectivisation were ove of Personality working well; art and literature very propaganda etc. | r early problems; Cult | |
| | Lack | | Largely his own paranoia made him see rivals Kirov meant that he began the Great Purge of military, managers, experts and 'slackers'; the show his lack of security etc. | f Old Bolsheviks, the | [2] |
| | Leve | el 3 – | Explanation of security OR lack of security wit Allow single factors with multiple reasons. | h multiple factors. | |
| | OR | | Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of t BBB – Balanced but Brief). | the argument (annotate | [3–5] |
| | Leve | el 4 – | Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of security AND lack of security r | must be addressed. | [6–8] |

| Page 6 | Mark Scheme | Syllabus | 1.0 |
|--------|-------------------------------|----------|-----|
| | IGCSE – October/November 2012 | 0470 | 100 |

Depth Study C: The USA, 1919–1941

| (a) (i) | Level 1 - | - Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made. | Tig |
|---------|-----------|---|---|
| | Level 2 - | - Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the source e.g. Most groups benefited; variable impact; middle class overspending; greedy; conspicuous consumption etc. | [3–4] |
| | Level 3 - | Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e.g. Owners and investors doing very well with properties; middle class fairly well off but unused to controlling spending; skilled workers better off with higher wages; unskilled have progressed least but life is a little less intolerable etc. | [5–6] |
| (ii) | Level 1 - | - Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source. | [1–2] |
| | Level 2 - | - Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g. | |
| | Yes | Reduced tax and National Debt; benefits to trade and investment; 'freed' labour etc. | |
| | No | Higher wages fuelled demand; confidence; large business more ethical etc. | [3–5] |
| | Level 3 - | - Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How far?' | [6–7] |
| (iii) | Level 1 - | Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information. | [1] |
| | Level 2 - | - Useful/not useful – One is from an American analyst and the other is from President Coolidge so they could both be biased/unreliable. | [2] |
| | Level 3 - | - Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information. | [3–5] |
| | Level 4 - | Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context. Include at this Level answers that cross-reference between A and B to show reliability. 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both. | [6–7] |
| | (ii) | Level 2 - Level 3 - (ii) Level 1 - Level 2 - Yes No Level 3 - Level 2 - Level 3 - | greedy; conspicuous consumption etc. Level 3 — Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e.g. Owners and investors doing very well with properties; middle class fairly well off but unused to controlling spending; skilled workers better off with higher wages; unskilled have progressed least but life is a little less intolerable etc. (ii) Level 1 — Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source. Level 2 — Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g. Yes Reduced tax and National Debt; benefits to trade and investment; 'freed' labour etc. No Higher wages fuelled demand; confidence; large business more ethical etc. Level 3 — Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How far?' (iii) Level 1 — Useful/not useful — Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information. Level 2 — Useful/not useful — One is from an American analyst and the other is from President Coolidge so they could both be biased/unreliable. Level 3 — Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information. Level 4 — Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context. Include at this Level answers that cross-reference between A and B to show reliability. |

| Page 7 | • | Mark Scheme Syllabus | r |
|---------|------|--|----------|
| | | IGCSE – October/November 2012 0470 | |
| (b) (i) | Leve | Mark Scheme IGCSE – October/November 2012 0470 el 1 – One mark for each valid industry to a maximum of two e.g. Coal, iron, textiles, ship building etc. el 1 – Identifies problems e.g. overproduction; debt. | Cambride |
| (ii) | Leve | el 1 – Identifies problems e.g. overproduction; debt. | [1- |
| | Leve | el 2 – Develops problems e.g. Tariffs; competition from Canada especially in grain; overproduction; mechanisation; prices and incomes fell; debt and loss of farms etc. | [2–4] |
| (iii) | Leve | el 1 – Single reason. One for the reason, one for the explanation. | [1–2] |
| | Leve | Ford and production line assembly; economies of scale; prices falling with competition; demand increased; advertising; hire purchase; increased mobility of owners etc. | [2–6] |
| (iv) | Leve | el 1 – Simple assertions. Yes, more jobs. No, lacked rights. | [1] |
| | Leve | el 2 – Explanation of benefit OR lack of benefit, single factor given e.g. | |
| | Ben | Expansion of new industries created jobs; tariffs and trusts protected markets; could afford new consumer goods; some skilled workers had shorter hours etc. | |
| | Lack | Wages not keeping pace with profits; no job protection; poor union organisation; unemployment remained high; new methods reduced the demand for labour; 42 per cent living below poverty line – largely the unskilled; problems of older industries, agriculture, immigrants, the South etc. | [2] |
| | Leve | el 3 – Explanation of benefit OR lack of benefit with multiple factors. Allow single factors with multiple reasons. | |
| | OR | Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of the argument (annotate BBB – Balanced but Brief). | [3–5] |
| | Leve | el 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of benefit AND lack of benefit must be addressed. | [6–8] |

| Page 8 | Mark Scheme | Syllabus | .0 |
|--------|-------------------------------|----------|------|
| | IGCSE – October/November 2012 | 0470 | Sty. |

Depth Study D: China, 1945-c.1990

| | | | | ON. |
|---|---------|-----------|--|-------|
| 4 | (a) (i) | Level 1 - | Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made. | Origi |
| | | Level 2 - | Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the source e.g. The troops went around in small groups; they were unfamiliar with the towns; they seemed nice people etc. | [3–4] |
| | | Level 3 - | Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e.g. They were well-armed from a variety of sources; either Japanese weapons captured in battle or US rifles from deserting KMT troops; were polite with bows and smiles; unfamiliar with the area and had to ask for directions etc. | [5–6] |
| | (ii) | Level 1 - | - Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source. | [1–2] |
| | | Level 2 - | - Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g. | |
| | | Yes | KMT much better armed and equipped; KMT had the larger army; assistance from USA to transport troops to northern China etc. | |
| | | No | Red Army got to Manchuria first; did it without the help of fellow communists in USSR; Red Army still felt it could win as manoeuvring and fighting had already started etc. | [3–5] |
| | | Level 3 - | - Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How far?' | [6–7] |
| | (iii) | Level 1 - | Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information. | [1] |
| | | Level 2 - | - Useful/not useful – One is from a German and the other is from a Chinese person, so they could both be biased/unreliable. | [2] |
| | | Level 3 - | - Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information. | [3–5] |
| | | Level 4 - | - Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context. Include at this Level answers that cross-reference between A and B to show reliability. 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both. | [6–7] |
| | | | o marke for one source, i marke for both. | [0-7] |

[3-5]

[6–8]

| Page 9 | | Mark Scheme | Syllabus | 1 |
|---------|------|---|--|-----------|
| | | IGCSE – October/November 2012 | 0470 | 8- |
| (b) (i) | Leve | I 1 – One mark for each valid aspect to a maximum with Nationalist supporters to Taiwan; an isla mainland China; always a threat to commun position; threats, alternative systems and su | m of two e.g. Retreated and off the coast of ist China because of its pport from USA etc. | aCambridg |
| (ii) | Leve | I 1 – Identifies working e.g. Courts where landlord | s were brought. | [1 - 2] |
| | Leve | I 2 – Develops working e.g. Courts where landlord receive allegations and both verbal and physiagainst the peasants over many years; land often executed etc. | sical abuse for actions | [2–4] |
| (iii) | Leve | I 1 – Single reason. One for the reason, one for th | e explanation. | [1–2] |
| | Leve | I 2 – Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one fexplained e.g. USA hostile to communists; a with communist USSR and if China became threaten the whole Asian continent, where the fundamental rejection of anything that smeller already invested much in keeping China national must continue to invest etc. | already had a problem communist it would ne USA had interests; ed of communism; had | [2–6] |
| (iv) | Leve | I 1 – Simple assertions.No, the Communists definitely won it for ther | nselves. | [1] |
| | Leve | I 2 – Explanation of KMT weakness OR other fact e.g. | ors, single factor given | |
| | KMT | Exhausted fighting Japanese since 1931; de support from USA, the KMT leadership, strat poor; KMT unpopular with peasants; many detc. | tegy and discipline were | |
| | Othe | r Impact of long civil war and the war against discipline and drive of CCP army and leader Chinese people largely wanted peace and delivered it etc. | ship; land issues; | [2] |

Level 3 – Explanation of KMT weakness OR other factors with multiple factors.

BOTH sides of KMT weakness AND other factors must be

Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of the argument (annotate

Allow single factors with multiple reasons.

BBB – Balanced but Brief).

addressed.

Level 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument.

OR

| Page 10 | Mark Scheme | Syllabus | 13 | |
|---------|-------------------------------|----------|----|--|
| | IGCSE – October/November 2012 | 0470 | 80 | |

Depth Study E: Southern Africa in the Twentieth Century

| DC | piii Otat | ly L. Oout | ment Africa in the Twentieth Sentary | 16. |
|----|-----------|------------|--|--------|
| 5 | (a) (i) | Level 1 - | Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made. | "Origi |
| | | Level 2 – | - Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the source e.g. Divided; urgent problems; some wishing to avoid apartheid; representation of blacks etc. | [3–4] |
| | | Level 3 - | Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e.g. Dangerous situation brewing because of propaganda and actions; urgent action required for a more just solution; separation would undermine all races and the country as a whole; co-operation vital; an experienced white Senator etc | [5–6] |
| | (ii) | Level 1 - | - Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source. | [1–2] |
| | | Level 2 – | - Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g. | |
| | | Yes | National Party had won voter support on a clear statement of its aim; Afrikaner dominance in government would give support; nothing new; most policies favoured segregation; ruthless intention gave confidence to supporters etc. | |
| | | No | Narrow majority; Afrikaners only 12 per cent of the population; implies division among whites – United Party and British; scale of the task etc. | [3–5] |
| | | Level 3 – | - Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How far?' | [6–7] |
| | (iii) | Level 1 - | Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information. | [1] |
| | | Level 2 – | - Useful/not useful – One is from a white South African and the other is British so they could both be biased/unreliable. | [2] |
| | | Level 3 – | - Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information. | [3–5] |
| | | Level 4 – | Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context. Include at this Level answers that cross-reference between A and B to show reliability. 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both | [6–7] |
| | | | o marke for one course, i marke for both | [~ ,] |

Syllabus

| i age i i | | mark oblicing | Oynabas | O. | |
|-----------|---------|--|--|--|-----------|
| | | IGCSE – October/November 2012 | 0470 | 200 | |
| (b) (i) | Level 1 | One mark for each valid group to a maximum Afrikaner Party; Malan's National Party; Pirow Broederbond; Ox-wagon Sentinel (Ossewabra republicans; Communists (till 1941). | 's New Order | rtzog's Party; Nazis; | Cambridge |
| (ii) | Level 1 | Identifies aspects e.g. It increased membershi up. | ip; Youth Leag | | [1–2] |
| | Level 2 | 2 – Develops aspects e.g. Xuma president. 1940- executive stronger; 1943 – new constitution, r chiefs; active recruitment, published Africans' founded in 1944 by Lembede, Sisulu, Mandel for Pan-Africanism; cooperation with NRC for campaign etc. | educed power claims; Youth a, Tambo etc; | of League | [2–4] |
| (iii) | Level 1 | – Single reason. One for the reason, one for the | explanation. | | [1–2] |
| | Level 2 | 2 – Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for explained e.g. By 1945 it was producing 50 per arms/ ammunition; naval ship repairs; ports extoo dangerous; Britain carried most of the cost government domestic investment increased; of imports stimulated domestic production; chem. | er cent of Allie xpanded as So et of SA militar demand for go | s' small uez/Med y; SA ld; lack | [2–6] |
| (iv) | Level 1 | Simple assertions. Yes, racial classification. No, continuation of example of the second continuation of example assertions. | earlier policies | | [1] |
| | Level 2 | 2 – Explanation of change OR lack of change, sin | gle factor give | n e.g. | |
| | Cha | It designated the racial group of every citizen; ended; 1953 – segregated every aspect of facremovals, restricted blacks'/coloureds' town a prohibited; Bantu Education Act; suppression reactivated ANC; Treason Trials etc. | cilities; forced reas; black str | ikes | |
| | Lack | Reserves/townships/Pass Laws already existed power of chiefs; opposition failed – Defiance Charter; Afrikaner control tightened – Strijdom election etc. | Campaign/Fre | edom | [2] |
| | Level 3 | Explanation of change OR lack of change with single factors with multiple reasons. | n multiple facto | ors. Allow | |
| | OR | Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of t BBB – Balanced but Brief). | the argument | (annotate | [3–5] |
| | Level 4 | Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of change AND lack of change m | nust be addres | sed. | [6–8] |

Mark Scheme

| IGCSE – October/November 2012 0470 | Page 12 | Mark Scheme | Syllabus | .0 | |
|------------------------------------|---------|-------------------------------|----------|-----|--|
| 1000= 00101011110101111001 =011 | | IGCSE – October/November 2012 | 0470 | 100 | |

Depth Study F: Israelis and Palestinians, 1945-c.1994

| DC | piii Otat | 1y 1 . 13146 | and ratestimans, 1945–c. 1994 | 16. |
|----|-----------|--------------|--|---------|
| 6 | (a) (i) | Level 1 - | - Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made. | "Ibride |
| | | Level 2 - | - Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the source e.g. Decided to act from previous experience; outcome not always popular etc. | [3–4] |
| | | Level 3 - | - Supports valid inference with reference to the source e.g. Eden decided that this 'dictator' would not be appeased; Britain had French and Israeli support; unpopular in British Commonwealth and among Britain's friends as well etc. | [5–6] |
| | (ii) | Level 1 - | - Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source. | [1–2] |
| | | Level 2 - | - Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g. | |
| | | Yes | Dishonoured commercial agreements; many people in the audience would have agreed as a dictator must not be appeased; accepts that there is a chance he could attack neighbours and close the Canal etc. | |
| | | No | Had broken no treaties; does not rule by terror; got rid of corruption at home; land reform: had not turned on his neighbours and closed the Canal etc | [3–5] |
| | | Level 3 - | - Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How far?' | [6–7] |
| | (iii) | Level 1 - | Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information. | [1] |
| | | Level 2 - | - Useful/not useful – One is a British history book, the other is from a British newspaper, so they could both be biased/unreliable. | [2] |
| | | Level 3 - | - Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information. | [3–5] |
| | | Level 4 - | Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context. Include at this Level answers that cross-reference between A and B to show reliability. | [G 71 |
| | | | 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both. | [6–7] |

| Dana 4 | • | Marile Calcarra | Cullabus 7.0 | |
|---------|------|---|---|-------|
| Page 1 | 3 | Mark Scheme IGCSE – October/November 2012 | Syllabus 0470 | |
| (b) (i) | Leve | el 1 – One mark for each valid aspect to a maximum plan between Britain, France and Israel for Is | n of two e.g. Secret | Mon |
| | | and advance on the Canal; Britain and France sides withdraw 16km from the Canal; Britain I comply so could invade under the pretext of t and, thus, secure the Canal Zone. | e to demand that both knew Nasser would not aking 'police action' | [1-2] |
| (ii) | Leve | el 1 – Identifies roles e.g. both were angry and threa | atened action. | [1–2] |
| () | | el 2 – Develops roles e.g. USSR threatened Britain | with a missile attack – | |
| | | probably bluff and bluster to cover its own inv stopped oil for Britain from its only available s – the pound sterling collapsed etc. | | [2–4] |
| (iii) | Leve | el 1 – Single reason. One for the reason, one for the | e explanation. | [1–2] |
| | Leve | el 2 – Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for explained e.g. Resented the foreign ownersh had been dug using Egyptian labour with mar Egypt should have more control of and reven received promises from USA, Britain and Wo 1955 to finance the Aswan Dam; May 1956 N Communist China; July, West withdrew the own Nasser would fall; 26 July, Nasser announced nationalising the Canal as he would receive in | ip of the Canal which my lives lost; felt that ue from the Canal; had rld Bank in December lasser recognised ffer of aid, hoping d his intention of | |
| | | than from Western aid etc. | nore revenue from tone | [2–6] |
| (iv) | Leve | I 1 – Simple assertions. No, he lost money as he sank ships in the Ca | nal. | [1] |
| | Leve | el 2 – Explanation of triumph OR lack of triumph, sir | ngle factor given e.g. | |
| | Triu | Had humiliated Britain and France whose infl would be much diminished; his current backe the sponsor to many Arab states; Nasser was popular than ever; Israel gave back gains in Scould claim that Israel had only won the milital Britain and France to help it etc. | r, the USSR, became s stronger and more Sinai in 1957; Nasser | |
| | Lack | The Canal was closed with sunken ships; mu arms had been captured; Israel knew it could nothing had changed in the domestic area of when popularity subsided, criticism would group | defeat Arab armies; Egyptian politics – | [2] |
| | Leve | el 3 – Explanation of triumph OR lack of triumph wit single factors with multiple reasons. | h multiple factors. Allow | |
| | OR | Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of BBB – Balanced but Brief) | the argument (annotate | [3–5] |
| | Leve | el 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of triumph AND lack of triumph r | nust be addressed. | [6–8] |

| Page 14 | Mark Scheme | Syllabus | .0 | ľ |
|---------|-------------------------------|----------|-----|-----|
| | IGCSE – October/November 2012 | 0470 | 200 | 100 |

Depth St

| De | ptn Stud | ay G: The | Creation of Modern Industrial Society | 76. |
|----|----------|-----------|---|--------|
| 7 | (a) (i) | Level 1 - | - Repeats material seen in the source, no inference made. | nbridg |
| | | Level 2 - | - Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the source e.g. The demand and use for steel gets greater and greater; the whole industry must be flourishing, providing jobs and creating wealth etc. | [3–4] |
| | | Level 3 - | Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e.g. Demand and production of steel is climbing at a huge rate; production in 1913 is about twenty-five times as great as in 1870, in 1900 it was three times that of 1880; so there must be huge demand for steel in so many areas of construction etc. | [5–6] |
| | (ii) | Level 1 - | - Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source. | [1–2] |
| | | Level 2 - | - Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g. | |
| | | Yes | Converter rendered wholly unfit for the envisaged process as there was too much phosphorus in the iron ore; failure had paralysed his energies; the press insulted him as a wild enthusiast etc. | |
| | | No | The concept of the process was fine but the iron ore spoilt it; in conception it would have produced huge masses of iron ready to be treated; if the phosphorus in the ore could be overcome he would have his crowning success; he is writing his autobiography so somebody wants to read about his Converter and his success etc. | [3–5] |
| | | Level 3 - | - Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How far?' | [6–7] |
| | (iii) | Level 1 - | Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information. | [1] |
| | | Level 2 - | - Useful/not useful – One is a graph and the other is from Sir Henry Bessemer so they could both be biased/unreliable. | [2] |
| | | Level 3 - | - Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information. | [3–5] |
| | | Level 4 - | Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context. Include at this Level answers that cross-reference between A and B to show reliability. | |
| | | | 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both. | [6–7] |

[3-5]

[6–8]

| 5 4 | _ | | 2.0 | |
|---------|------|---|---|---------------|
| Page 1 | 5 | Mark Scheme | Syllabus | |
| | | IGCSE – October/November 2012 | 0470 | |
| (b) (i) | Leve | el 1 – One mark for a valid example for each half o Sheffield; (ii) Yorkshire, Durham, Newcastle asks for English examples. | | bride |
| (ii) | Leve | el 1 – Identifies problems e.g. Underground, difficul | | _2] |
| | Leve | el 2 – Develops problems e.g. Drainage, flooding, v gases e.g. fire damp; depth of mines, raising transportation away from the pithead etc. | coal from the pit; | 2–4] |
| (iii) | Leve | el 1 – Single reason. One for the reason, one for th | e explanation. [1 | I – 2] |
| | Leve | el 2 – Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one f explained e.g. Demands of growing industry tools; expansion of the use of steam in facto engines and rails; improved technology mea steamships and naval vessels driven by scre ever improving as problems of production we production reduced the cost; domestic utens | for machinery and ries and railways – nt the development of ew mechanisms; quality ere solved; mass | 2–6] |
| (iv) | Leve | el 1 – Simple assertions. No, both about the same. | | [1] |
| | Leve | el 2 – Explanation of iron and steel OR coal, single | factor given e.g. | |
| | 1&5 | Without iron and steel industries there would in machinery or the railways as both were mexpansion; there would have been slower transmit materials, food and people etc. | ajor drivers of industrial | |
| | Coal | steel and for the steam industries; railways a have been severely restricted; domestic hea badly hit. | ind steamships would ting would have been | |
| | | NB – They are interdependent for growth who see this. | – reward candidates | [2] |
| | Leve | el 3 – Explanation of iron and steel OR coal with m | ultiple factors. Allow | |

Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of the argument (annotate

single factors with multiple reasons.

Level 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument.

BOTH sides of iron and steel AND coal must be addressed.

BBB – Balanced but Brief).

OR

| Page 16 | Mark Scheme | Syllabus | 1.3 | |
|---------|-------------------------------|----------|-----|--|
| | IGCSE – October/November 2012 | 0470 | 23- | |

Depth Study H: The Impact of Western Imperialism in the Twentieth Century

| | | • | · | On. |
|---|---------|-----------|---|-------|
| 8 | (a) (i) | Level 1 – | Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made. | Origi |
| | | Level 2 – | Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the source e.g. Admired and envied; good role model of ruling; inspires imitation etc. | [3–4] |
| | | Level 3 – | Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e.g. Growing empire with increasing colonial possessions; role model imperialist as governs well; inspires German rivalry etc. | [5–6] |
| | (ii) | Level 1 – | Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source. | [1–2] |
| | | Level 2 – | Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g. | |
| | | Yes | Gave protection to both weak and strong; all else consolidates their conquests and victories etc. | |
| | | No | Justice and prudence; interest in material comfort; respect for local customs and religious beliefs inspires loyalty and acceptance of British rule etc. | [3–5] |
| | | Level 3 – | Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How far?' | [6–7] |
| | (iii) | Level 1 – | Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information. | [1] |
| | | Level 2 – | Useful/not useful – One source is from a Frenchman, and the other is from a German so they could both be biased/unreliable. | [2] |
| | | Level 3 – | Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information. | [3–5] |
| | | Level 4 – | Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context. Include at this Level answers that cross-reference between A and B to show reliability. | |
| | | | 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both. | [6–7] |

[6–8]

| Dogo 17 | | Maril Oal | O all al | 20 | |
|---------|------|---|---|------------------------------|--------|
| Page 17 | 1 | | Syllabus 3 | Q. | |
| | | IGCSE – October/November 2012 | 0470 | Say | |
| (b) (i) | Leve | el 1 – One mark for each valid example to a maximum o (German South-West Africa), German East Africa Cameroon (accept German spelling with 'K'). | | | Mbride |
| (ii) | Leve | el 1 – Identifies motives e.g. Gain and reward etc. | | | [1–2] |
| | Leve | el 2 – Develops motives e.g. A ready market for goods imperial and industrial nation; a source of cheap r source of cheap labour for whatever industries es source of labour for other imperial tasks e.g. India Africa; source of cheap and numerous troops to d and the imperialists' interests etc. | raw materia stablished le ans used in | als; a ocally, a South | [2–4] |
| (iii) | Leve | el 1 – Single reason. One for the reason, one for the exp | planation. | | [1–2] |
| | Leve | el 2 – Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for ea explained e.g. Wanted the prestige and recognition power, not just a European one; envied earlier en the sun'; believed economic advantages would ac celebrating the union of German states through a territory; to prove Germany, as a state, had arrive | on as a woi mpire; a 'pl ccrue; a me acquisition o | ace in eans of | [2–6] |
| (iv) | Leve | el 1 – Simple assertions. Yes, they took land and material and made fortun | nes. | | [1] |
| | Leve | el 2 – Explanation of European benefit OR other factors given e.g. | , single rea | ison | |
| | Euro | Gained empires, raw materials, markets; individual Africa and India; some altruistic missionaries, expective achieved their missions; traders; government government and gained reputations – Lugard; couprestige etc. | olorers gain nt officials i | ed if mproved | |
| | Othe | Proposition of Native populations gained from law and order; just education, missionary work etc. but not always in of local political organisation; break up of tribal grainterference in local customs and religions; ALSO governments made great successes of empires; i missionaries, explorers met sad ends etc. | these field oupings; onot all Eur | s. Loss ropean | [2] |
| | Leve | el 3 – Explanation of European benefit OR other factors factors given. Allow single factors with multiple re- | - | ole | |
| | OR | Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of the a BBB – Balanced but Brief). | argument (| annotate | [3–5] |
| | Leve | el 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of European benefit AND other facto | ors must be | | [6 Q] |

addressed.