CAMBRIDGE INTERNATIONAL EXAMINATIONS Cambridge International General Certificate of Secondary Education

www.xtrapapers.com MARK SCHEME for the October/November 2014 series

0470 HISTORY

0470/22

Paper 2, maximum raw mark 50

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge is publishing the mark schemes for the October/November 2014 series for most Cambridge IGCSE[®], Cambridge International A and AS Level components and some Cambridge O Level components.

® IGCSE is the registered trademark of Cambridge International Examinations.

Page 2	Mark Scheme Syl	per
	19th Century topic	Can
	Mark Scheme Sy Cambridge IGCSE – October/November 2014 047 19th Century topic 047 Sources A and B. How far do these two sources agree? Explain your answer s of the sources. 0 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question	usi
	0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question	[0
Level	1 Writes about the sources but makes no valid comparison	[1
Level	2 Identifies information that is in one source but not in the other or states that the s	ources
	are about the same subject or compares provenance	[2
Level	3 Agreement or disagreement of detail or sub-messages	[3–4
Level	4 Agreement and disagreement of detail or sub-messages e.g. (Disagreement) On 13 March the troops attack in Source A, but in Source B crowd who attack./In Source A 'My Dear Berliners' is pathetic, whilst in Source B personal	
Level	5 Compares big messages i.e. difference of opinion on Frederick William: Source B is more sympathetic tha Source A. Must be supported or L3.	[7 n
•	Source C. Why do you think Frederick William issued this declaration in Mar Explain your answer using details of the source and your knowledge.	rch [8]
	nce a reason has been given, this allows any other part of the answer to be regarde n even if not expressed as a reason	ed as a
Level	0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question	[0
Level	1 Writes about sources but fails to address the question	[1
Level	2 To impart information – repeats what is in Source C	[2
Level	3 Because of context of March 1848 but does not address message/purpose	[3–4
Level	4 Because of the source's message i.e. synthesis/inference from Source C	[5–6
Level	5 Because of Frederick William's purpose in context of March 1848 i.e. to resolve the crisis.	[7–8

PA CAMBRIDGE

	Market A	wxtrapapers.com
Page 3	Mark SchemeSynCambridge IGCSE – October/November 2014047	Papa per
	Sources D and E. How similar are these two drawings? Explain your an of the sources and your knowledge.	sweethand annual for the connection of the conne
Level (No evidence submitted or response does not address the question	Se.co.
Level ?	Surface comparisons/about the same thing	[1]
Level 2	2 Answers based on use of undeveloped provenance	[2]
Level 3	3 Interprets valid sub-message of one or both sources – no valid comparison	[3]
Level 4	1 Interprets big message of one/both sources – no valid comparison	[4]
Level §	5 Compares valid sub-messages	[4–6]
Level 6	6 Compares big messages – compares the points of view of artists i.e. Source D can be interpreted as either pro-or anti-revolution, Source E i revolution.	[7] s pro-
studyi	Sources F and G. Which of these two sources is more useful to a histor ng events in Berlin in 1848? Explain your answer using details of the so nowledge.	
Level () No evidence submitted or response does not address the question	[0]
Level 2	Writes about the sources, no valid comparisons	[1]
Level 2	2 Answers based on use of undeveloped provenance	[2]
Level 3	3 One is more useful because it includes something the other omits	[3]
Level 4	Compares surface content of sources for usefulness There must be a common criterion on which the comparison is based	[4–5]

Level 6 Answers based on developed use of provenance i.e. explains importance of insider nature of Source F against outsider in Source G.

Level 5 Depends on which is more believable, demonstrated by cross-reference

PA CAMBRIDGE

[6]

[7]

		ARTIC	xtrapapers.com
Ρ	age 4	Mark Scheme Sy.	A per
		Cambridge IGCSE – October/November 2014 047	10ac
5	•	Sources H and I. Does Source H make Source I surprising? Explain you details of the sources and your knowledge.	r an Cambridge . Co.
	Level	0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question	Se.co.
	Level	1 Writes about sources, no surprise addressed	[1]
	Level	2 Identifies what is/is not surprising, no explanation	[2]
	Level	3 Surprised/not surprised: based on use of undeveloped provenance	[3]
	Level	4 Identifies the difference between the two sources – so H makes I surprising	[4–5]
	Level	5 Source I is not surprising: explained in relation to Source I alone	[6–7]
	Level	6 Identifies the difference between the two sources: not surprising explained	in context. [8–9]

 6
 Study all the sources. How far do these sources provide convincing evidence that
Frederick William accepted the ideas of the reformers of 1848-9? Use the sources to
explain your answer.
 [12]

 Level 0
 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question
 [0]

 Level 1
 No valid source use
 [1–3]

 Level 2
 Uses sources to support or reject the statement
 [4–6]

 Level 3
 Uses sources to support and reject the statement
 [7–10]

Award up to 2 bonus marks for evaluation of sources (no more than 1 per source).

Source use must be reference to a source by letter, by provenance or by direct quote. There must be examples from source content. There must be an explanation of how this supports/does not support the statement.

Use Y in the margin for each source use in support of the statement and N for each source use rejecting the statement.

YES	NO
A,B,F,H	A,B,C,D,E,G,I

Page 5	Mark Scheme Syn	per
	Cambridge IGCSE – October/November 2014 047	30
	20th Century topic	amb
-	Sources A and B. How far do these two sources agree? Explain your answe of the sources.	oer Cannon er ust
Level C	No evidence submitted or response does not address the question	[0]
Level 1	Writes about the sources but makes no valid comparison	[1
Level 2	Identifies information that is in one source but not in the other or states that the are about the same subject or compares provenance	sources [2
Level 3	Agreement or disagreement of detail or sub-messages e.g. Agree because both say they signed a paper saying they would never go to again. Disagree because Source A says Munich was a triumph, B says some th not	
Level 4	Agreement and disagreement of detail or sub-messages	[5–6
Level 5	Compares big messages i.e. Source A is more supportive of Chamberlain than Source B/Source B is more than A of Chamberlain. Must be supported or L3.	[7] re critical
-	Sources C and D. How similar are these two cartoons? Explain your answer of the sources and your knowledge.	using [8]
Level (No evidence submitted or response does not address the question	[0
Level 1	Surface comparisons/about the same events	[1
Level 2	2 Answers based on use of undeveloped provenance	[2
Level 3	Interprets valid sub-message of one or both sources – no valid comparison	[3
Level 4	Interprets big message of one/both sources – no valid comparison	[4
Level 5	Compares valid sub-messages e.g. Chamberlain is trying to save peace in both/Chamberlain is saving the worl but destroying it in D	[5–6 d in C,
	Compares big messages – compares the points of view of cartoonists about	[7–8

PA CAMBRIDGE

Study Sources E and F. Does Source E prove that the cartoonist of Source F is wersplain your answer using details of the sources and your knowledge. Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question Level 1 Writes about the sources, no focus on the question Level 2 Answers based on undeveloped provenance [2] Level 3 No, because they agree (misinterpretation of F) [3] i.e. that F shows Britain should not intervene or Yes, identifies the difference, concludes that E is right [4] Level 4 No, because F is right, explained in context or by cross-reference [4] i.e. answers on Source F alone [5] [6] Level 5 No, identifies the difference, concludes that F is right [5] i.e. but no contextual explanation [6] [6] Level 6 No, identifies the difference, but explains it by evaluating Chamberlain's purpose [8] e.g. to put British minds at rest at height of Munich crisis. [7] Evel 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question [0] Level 1 Writes about sources but fails to address the question [1] Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question [2]	Page 6	Mark Scheme Sy	per
evel 2 Answers based on undeveloped provenance [2 evel 3 No, because they agree (misinterpretation of F) [3 i.e. that F shows Britain should not intervene or Yes, identifies the difference, concludes that E is right [4 evel 4 No, because F is right, explained in context or by cross-reference [4 i.e. answers on Source F alone [5 evel 5 No, identifies the difference, concludes that F is right [5 evel 6 No, identifies the difference, concludes that F is right, explained through contextual knowledge [6–7 evel 7 No, identifies the difference, but explains it by evaluating Chamberlain's purpose e.g. to put British minds at rest at height of Munich crisis. [8 Study Source G. Do you trust this source? Explain your answer using details of the source and your knowledge. [7 evel 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question [1 evel 1 Writes about sources but fails to address the question [1 evel 3 Rejects source because of information it gives/unsupported assertions/ answers on undeveloped provenance [2–3 evel 4 Yes or No: evaluation of source on basis of cross-reference [5–6 evel 5 Yes: takes source as a whole to be a reliable represent		Cambridge IGCSE – October/November 2014 047	2
evel 2 Answers based on undeveloped provenance [2 evel 3 No, because they agree (misinterpretation of F) [3 i.e. that F shows Britain should not intervene or Yes, identifies the difference, concludes that E is right [4 evel 4 No, because F is right, explained in context or by cross-reference [4 i.e. answers on Source F alone [5 evel 5 No, identifies the difference, concludes that F is right [5 evel 6 No, identifies the difference, concludes that F is right, explained through contextual knowledge [6–7 evel 7 No, identifies the difference, but explains it by evaluating Chamberlain's purpose e.g. to put British minds at rest at height of Munich crisis. [8 Study Source G. Do you trust this source? Explain your answer using details of the source and your knowledge. [7 evel 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question [1 evel 1 Writes about sources but fails to address the question [1 evel 3 Rejects source because of information it gives/unsupported assertions/ answers on undeveloped provenance [2–3 evel 4 Yes or No: evaluation of source on basis of cross-reference [5–6 evel 5 Yes: takes source as a whole to be a reliable represent	Study S Explair	Sources E and F. Does Source E prove that the cartoonist of Source F is with your answer using details of the sources and your knowledge.	ambrid
evel 2 Answers based on undeveloped provenance [2 evel 3 No, because they agree (misinterpretation of F) [3 i.e. that F shows Britain should not intervene or Yes, identifies the difference, concludes that E is right [4 evel 4 No, because F is right, explained in context or by cross-reference [4 i.e. answers on Source F alone [5 evel 5 No, identifies the difference, concludes that F is right [5 evel 6 No, identifies the difference, concludes that F is right, explained through contextual knowledge [6–7 evel 7 No, identifies the difference, but explains it by evaluating Chamberlain's purpose e.g. to put British minds at rest at height of Munich crisis. [8 Study Source G. Do you trust this source? Explain your answer using details of the source and your knowledge. [7 evel 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question [1 evel 1 Writes about sources but fails to address the question [1 evel 3 Rejects source because of information it gives/unsupported assertions/ answers on undeveloped provenance [2–3 evel 4 Yes or No: evaluation of source on basis of cross-reference [5–6 evel 5 Yes: takes source as a whole to be a reliable represent	Level 0	No evidence submitted or response does not address the question	
Level 3 No, because they agree (misinterpretation of F) [3 i.e. that F shows Britain should not intervene or Yes, identifies the difference, concludes that E is right [4 Level 4 No, because F is right, explained in context or by cross-reference [4 i.e. answers on Source F alone [5 Level 5 No, identifies the difference, concludes that F is right [5 Level 6 No, identifies the difference, concludes that F is right, explained through contextual explanation [6–7 Level 7 No, identifies the difference, but explains it by evaluating Chamberlain's purpose e.g. to put British minds at rest at height of Munich crisis. [8 Study Source G. Do you trust this source? Explain your answer using details of the source and your knowledge. [7] Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question [0] Level 1 Writes about sources but fails to address the question [1] Level 2 Accepts source because of information it gives/unsupported assertions/ answers on undeveloped provenance [2–3] Level 3 Rejects source because of its 'over the top' language [4] Level 4 Yes or No: evaluation of source on basis of cross-reference [5–6] Level 4 Yes or No: evaluation	Level 1	Writes about the sources, no focus on the question	[1]
i.e. that F shows Britain should not intervene or Yes, identifies the difference, concludes that E is right Level 4 No, because F is right, explained in context or by cross-reference i.e. answers on Source F alone Level 5 No, identifies the difference, concludes that F is right i.e. but no contextual explanation Level 6 No, identifies the difference, concludes that F is right, explained through contextual knowledge [6–7] Level 7 No, identifies the difference, but explains it by evaluating Chamberlain's purpose e.g. to put British minds at rest at height of Munich crisis. Study Source G. Do you trust this source? Explain your answer using details of the source and your knowledge. [7] Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question [0] Level 1 Writes about sources but fails to address the question [1] Level 2 Accepts source because of information it gives/unsupported assertions/ answers on undeveloped provenance [2–3] Level 3 Rejects source because of its 'over the top' language [4] Level 4 Yes or No: evaluation of source on basis of cross-reference [5–6] Level 5 Yes: takes source as a whole to be a reliable representation of the country's/government's/Conservatives' reaction to events – explained by cross-	Level 2	Answers based on undeveloped provenance	[2]
Level 4 No, because F is right, explained in context or by cross-reference [4 Level 5 No, identifies the difference, concludes that F is right [5 Level 6 No, identifies the difference, concludes that F is right, explained through contextual knowledge [6–7 Level 7 No, identifies the difference, but explains it by evaluating Chamberlain's purpose e.g. to put British minds at rest at height of Munich crisis. [8 Study Source G. Do you trust this source? Explain your answer using details of the source and your knowledge. [7 Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question [0 Level 1 Writes about sources but fails to address the question [1 Level 2 Accepts source because of information it gives/unsupported assertions/ answers on undeveloped provenance [2–3 Level 3 Rejects source because of its 'over the top' language [4 Level 4 Yes or No: evaluation of source on basis of cross-reference [5–6 Level 5 Yes: takes source as a whole to be a reliable representation of the country's/government's/Conservatives' reaction to events – explained by cross-	Level 3	i.e. that F shows Britain should not intervene	[3]
i.e. answers on Source F alone [5] Level 5 No, identifies the difference, concludes that F is right i.e. but no contextual explanation [5] Level 6 No, identifies the difference, concludes that F is right, explained through contextual knowledge [6–7] Level 7 No, identifies the difference, but explains it by evaluating Chamberlain's purpose e.g. to put British minds at rest at height of Munich crisis. [8] Study Source G. Do you trust this source? Explain your answer using details of the source and your knowledge. [7] Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question [0] Level 1 Writes about sources but fails to address the question [1] Level 2 Accepts source because of information it gives/unsupported assertions/ answers on undeveloped provenance [2–3] Level 3 Rejects source because of its 'over the top' language [4] Level 4 Yes or No: evaluation of source on basis of cross-reference [5–6] Level 5 Yes: takes source as a whole to be a reliable representation of the country's/government's/Conservatives' reaction to events – explained by cross-		Yes, identifies the difference, concludes that E is right	
i.e. but no contextual explanation _evel 6 No, identifies the difference, concludes that F is right, explained through contextual knowledge [6–7 _evel 7 No, identifies the difference, but explains it by evaluating Chamberlain's purpose e.g. to put British minds at rest at height of Munich crisis. [8 Study Source G. Do you trust this source? Explain your answer using details of the source and your knowledge. [7] _evel 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question [0] _evel 1 Writes about sources but fails to address the question [1] _evel 2 Accepts source because of information it gives/unsupported assertions/ answers on undeveloped provenance [2–3] _evel 3 Rejects source because of its 'over the top' language [4] _evel 4 Yes or No: evaluation of source on basis of cross-reference [5–6] _evel 5 Yes: takes source as a whole to be a reliable representation of the country's/government's/Conservatives' reaction to events – explained by cross-	Level 4		[4]
knowledge[6–7_evel 7No, identifies the difference, but explains it by evaluating Chamberlain's purpose e.g. to put British minds at rest at height of Munich crisis.[8Study Source G. Do you trust this source? Explain your answer using details of the source and your knowledge.[7]_evel 0No evidence submitted or response does not address the question[0]_evel 1Writes about sources but fails to address the question[1]_evel 2Accepts source because of information it gives/unsupported assertions/ answers on undeveloped provenance[2–3]_evel 3Rejects source because of its 'over the top' language[4]_evel 4Yes or No: evaluation of source on basis of cross-reference[5–6]_evel 5Yes: takes source as a whole to be a reliable representation of the country's/government's/Conservatives' reaction to events – explained by cross-[6–7]	Level 5		[5]
e.g. to put British minds at rest at height of Munich crisis. Study Source G. Do you trust this source? Explain your answer using details of the source and your knowledge. [7] Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question [0 Level 1 Writes about sources but fails to address the question [1 Level 2 Accepts source because of information it gives/unsupported assertions/ answers on undeveloped provenance [2–3 Level 3 Rejects source because of its 'over the top' language [4 Level 4 Yes or No: evaluation of source on basis of cross-reference [5–6 Level 5 Yes: takes source as a whole to be a reliable representation of the country's/government's/Conservatives' reaction to events – explained by cross-	Level 6		al [6–7]
and your knowledge.[7]_evel 0No evidence submitted or response does not address the question[0]_evel 1Writes about sources but fails to address the question[1]_evel 2Accepts source because of information it gives/unsupported assertions/ answers on undeveloped provenance[2–3]_evel 3Rejects source because of its 'over the top' language[4]_evel 4Yes or No: evaluation of source on basis of cross-reference[5–6]_evel 5Yes: takes source as a whole to be a reliable representation of the country's/government's/Conservatives' reaction to events – explained by cross-	Level 7		[8]
_evel 1Writes about sources but fails to address the question[1_evel 2Accepts source because of information it gives/unsupported assertions/ answers on undeveloped provenance[2–3_evel 3Rejects source because of its 'over the top' language[4_evel 4Yes or No: evaluation of source on basis of cross-reference[5–6_evel 5Yes: takes source as a whole to be a reliable representation of the country's/government's/Conservatives' reaction to events – explained by cross-	-		source [7]
_evel 2Accepts source because of information it gives/unsupported assertions/ answers on undeveloped provenance[2–3_evel 3Rejects source because of its 'over the top' language[4_evel 4Yes or No: evaluation of source on basis of cross-reference[5–6_evel 5Yes: takes source as a whole to be a reliable representation of the country's/government's/Conservatives' reaction to events – explained by cross-	Level 0	No evidence submitted or response does not address the question	[0]
undeveloped provenance[2–3_evel 3 Rejects source because of its 'over the top' language[4_evel 4 Yes or No: evaluation of source on basis of cross-reference[5–6_evel 5 Yes: takes source as a whole to be a reliable representation of the country's/government's/Conservatives' reaction to events – explained by cross-	Level 1	Writes about sources but fails to address the question	[1]
 Level 4 Yes or No: evaluation of source on basis of cross-reference [5–6 Level 5 Yes: takes source as a whole to be a reliable representation of the country's/government's/Conservatives' reaction to events – explained by cross- 	Level 2		on [2–3]
_evel 5 Yes: takes source as a whole to be a reliable representation of the country's/government's/Conservatives' reaction to events – explained by cross-	Level 3	Rejects source because of its 'over the top' language	[4]
country's/government's/Conservatives' reaction to events – explained by cross-	Level 4	Yes or No: evaluation of source on basis of cross-reference	[5–6]
-	Level 5	country's/government's/Conservatives' reaction to events - explained by cross-	[7]

PA CAMBRIDGE

ww.xtrapapers.com

Page 7	Mark Scheme Sy.	",D per		
	Cambridge IGCSE – October/November 2014 047	10an		
Page 7 Mark Scheme Sy. oer Cambridge IGCSE – October/November 2014 047 047 Study Source H. Why was this source published in 1939? Explain your answer us details of the source and your knowledge. NB: once a reason has been given, this allows any other part of the answer to be regarded as a source of the				
	nce a reason has been given, this allows any other part of the answer to be r n even if not expressed as a reason	regarded as a		
Level	No evidence submitted or response does not address the question	[0]		
Level	1 Writes about source but fails to address the question	[1]		
Level	2 To impart face-value information – explains what this is	[2]		
Level	Because of the context of 1939 but does not address message/purpose	[3–4]		
Level	4 Because of the source's message	[5–6]		
Level	5 Because of the source's purpose	[7]		
	i.e. but not explained in context e.g. to prepare the Soviets for a German invasion/to turn the Russians ag	ainst the West		
Level	Because of the source's purpose, explained in context of 1939.	[8]		

 6
 Study all the sources. How far do these sources provide convincing evidence that Chamberlain was successful in his dealings with Germany over Czechoslovakia? Use the sources to explain your answer.
 [12]

 Level 0
 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question
 [0]

 Level 1
 No valid source use
 [1–3]

 Level 2
 Uses sources to support or reject the statement
 [4–6]

 Level 3
 Uses sources to support and reject the statement
 [7–10]

Award up to 2 bonus marks for evaluation of sources (no more than 1 per source).

Source use must be reference to a source by letter, by provenance or by direct quote. There must be examples from source content. There must be an explanation of how this supports/does not support the statement.

Use Y in the margin for each source use in support of the statement and N for each source use rejecting the statement.

YES	NO
A,B,C,E,G,H	A,B,C,D,E,F,H