CAMBRIDGE INTERNATIONAL EXAMINATIONS

Cambridge International General Certificate of Secondary Education

MARK SCHEME for the October/November 2014 series

0470 HISTORY

0470/43

Paper 4 (Alternative to coursework), maximum raw mark 40

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge is publishing the mark schemes for the October/November 2014 series for most Cambridge IGCSE[®], Cambridge International A and AS Level components and some Cambridge O Level components.

® IGCSE is the registered trademark of Cambridge International Examinations.

[6-7]

| Page 2 | Mark Scheme | Sy. per |
|--------|---|---------|
| | Cambridge IGCSE – October/November 2014 | 047 |

Depth Study A: Germany 1918-1945

| 1 | (a) (i) | Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question | To |
|---|---------|---|-----------|
| | | Level 1 – Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made. | [1-2] CON |

- Level 2 Makes valid inferences unsupported from the source e.g. egalitarian; beneficial; built patriotism and confidence. [3–4]
- Level 3 Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e.g. 'all social classes'; 'new skills', 'strong and healthy'; 'faith in the future', etc. [5–6]
- (ii) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question [0]
 - Level 1 Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source. [1–2]
 - Level 2 Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g.

 Yes Increased membership; means for military training and indoctrination; popular aspects; became compulsory.

No Not full youth membership even when compulsory; indoctrination not total success; resentment of some as too demanding. [3–5]

- Level 3 Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How far'. [6–7]
- (iii) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question [0]
 - Level 1 Useful/not useful Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information. [1]
 - Level 2 Useful/not useful One is an eyewitness account and the other is British so they could both be biased/unreliable. [2]
 - Level 3 Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information. [3–5]
 - Level 4 Choice made on the grounds of reliability.

 Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context.

 Include at this Level answers that cross reference between A and B to show reliability.

6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.

| age 3 | Mark Scheme Syl | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|--|--------------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Cambridge IGCSE – October/November 2014 | 047 | 2 | | | | | | | |
| (b) (i) | Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the | question | per SCAMANA, | | | | | | | |
| | Level 1 – One mark for each aspect to a maximum of two e.g. substactivities such as theatre tickets, sport; educational cours travel, etc. | idised leisur ses; holidays | e ; cheap [1–2] | | | | | | | |
| (ii) | Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the | question | [0] | | | | | | | |
| | Level 1 – Identifies aspects. Lost jobs, wartime employment. | | | | | | | | | |
| | Level 2 – Describes aspects. Award an extra mark for each valid aspect described in additional detail. e.g. removed to provide jobs for men and become mothers; wage discrimination; 1 year labour service for under 25s, from 1936 voluntary, a 1939 compulsory; need for munitions' workers brought into industry, etc. | | | | | | | | | |
| (iii) |) Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question | | | | | | | | | |
| | Level 1 – Single reason. One for the reason, one for the explanation | n. | [1–2] | | | | | | | |
| | Level 2 – Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for each rease.g. fear of punishment, Gestapo etc.; divided, isolated g policies; effective propaganda. | • | | | | | | | | |
| (iv) | Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the | question | [0] | | | | | | | |
| | Level 1 – Simple assertions. Yes – consistent anti-semitism; no – total control. | | [1] | | | | | | | |
| | Level 2 – Explanation of main aim OR other aims, single factor give Main Hitler's one consistent aim from 1920; Himmler etc. increasing persecution/restrictions from 1933; escalation throughout conquered territory; increased despite cost, e | ; Aryan supreduring war, | • | | | | | | | |
| | Other Only decided in 1942, Hitler not at Wannsee; Slave equally persecuted; another aim more important – e.g. or expansionism; total social control; dictatorship, etc. | | | | | | | | | |
| | Level 3 – Explanation of main aim OR other aims with multiple factors with multiple reasons. | ors. Allow sir | ngle | | | | | | | |
| | OR Undeveloped suggestions of BOTH sides of the argu- – Balanced but Brief). | ment (annot | ate BBB [3–5] | | | | | | | |
| | Level 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of main aim AND other aims must be address | ssed. | [6–8] | | | | | | | |

| Page 4 | Mark Scheme | Syl per |
|--------|---|---------|
| | Cambridge IGCSE – October/November 2014 | 047 |

Depth Study B: Russia, 1905-1941

| 2 | (a) | (i) | Level 0 – No | evidence | submitted | or response | does no | ot address | the question | |
|---|-----|-----|--------------|----------|-----------|-------------|---------|------------|--------------|--|
|---|-----|-----|--------------|----------|-----------|-------------|---------|------------|--------------|--|

- Level 1 Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made.
- [1–2]
- Level 2 Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the source. e.g. Russia has been hit by disasters; having to change economic strategy. [3–4]
- Level 3 Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e.g. the disasters of war and ruin have disrupted industrial life and economic planning so that the Communist government must change strategy to a capitalist version of smaller industries. [5–6]
- (ii) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question [0]
 - Level 1 Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source. [1–2]
 - Level 2 Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g.

 Yes Trotsky and Lenin defeated by Politburo who saw it as vital tool to win the civil war.
 - No Discontent, military costs, Trotsky and Lenin tried to change it. [3–5]
 - Level 3 Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How far?' [6–7]
- (iii) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question [0]
 - Level 1 Useful/not useful Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information. [1]
 - Level 2 Useful/not useful One is Lenin and the other is British so they could both be biased/unreliable. [2]
 - Level 3 Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information. [3–5]
 - Level 4 Choice made on the grounds of reliability.

Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context. Include at this Level answers that cross reference between A and B to show reliability.

6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both. [6–7]

ktrapapers.com

[3-5]

[6–8]

| Page 5 | Mark Scheme | Sy. A | per |
|---------|---|----------------------------------|--|
| | Cambridge IGCSE – October/November 2014 | 047 | 2 |
| (b) (i) | Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the | question | oer of annual and annual annua |
| | Level 1 – One mark for each valid aspect to a maximum of two e.g General has unlimited authority; unsure he will use it with split with Trotsky; 'too rude', so should be removed as Se | i oddilon, ddn | igoi oi |
| (ii) | Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the | question | [0] |
| | Level 1 – Identifies views e.g. Socialism in One Country and World | Revolution. | [1–2] |
| | Level 2 – Describes the views. Award an extra mark for each valid linked to each, and described in additional detail e.g. Sta concentrate on the development of communism in USSF Trotsky felt it was necessary to have a world revolution to and protect Russia. | llin wanted to R to consolida | te; |
| (iii) | Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the | question | [0] |
| | Level 1 – Single reason. One for the reason, one for the explanation | n. | [1–2] |
| | Level 2 – Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for each reason NEP smacked of capitalism; to establish his grip on the capitalism with Stalinism; to increase production for abroad for funds; to establish a truly socialist economy. | economy; war | nted to |
| (iv) | Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the | question | [0] |
| | Level 1 – Simple assertions. No, it was because people did not like him. | | [1] |
| | Level 2 – Explanation of brilliance OR other reasons, single factor of Bril Trotsky's efforts in the war saved the revolution but in those who'd stayed behind – jealous or feared his popular Army; alliances developed behind his back, Zinoviev, Ka | nade enemies arity with the F | Red |
| | Other Not popular because of his arrogance, sarcasm ar Menshevik and closeness to Lenin; jealous also of his ne Brest-Litovsk; machinations of Stalin, developing power l Secretary, etc. | egotiations lea | ading to |
| | Level 3 – Explanation of brilliance OR other factors with multiple fa factors with multiple reasons. | ctors. Allow s | ingle |

OR Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of the argument (annotate BBB – Balanced but Brief). [3–5]

Level 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument.

BOTH sides of brilliance AND other reasons must be addressed.

| Page 6 | Mark Scheme | Sy. per |
|--------|---|---------|
| | Cambridge IGCSE – October/November 2014 | 047 |

Depth Study C: The USA 1919-1941

| 3 | (a) | (i) | Level 0 - | No | evidence | submitted | or res | ponse | does | not | address | the | question |
|---|-----|-----|-----------|----|----------|-----------|--------|-------|------|-----|---------|-----|----------|
|---|-----|-----|-----------|----|----------|-----------|--------|-------|------|-----|---------|-----|----------|

Level 1 – Repeats material stated in source, no inference made.

[1–2]

- Level 2 Makes valid inferences unsupported from the source.

 e.g. had economic grounds for confidence; taking risks; greedy; foolish; puzzled.

 [3–4]
- Level 3 Supports valid inferences with reference to the source. e.g. 8 years of prosperity; 'gamblers'; lure of quick profits; listening to rumours; forcing pace; 'no-one knows'. etc. [5–6]
- (ii) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question [0]
 - Level 1 Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source. [1–2]
 - Level 2 Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g.

 Yes Aware of pessimism; worried by effects of businesses stalling; began
 cooperation with businesses and States; need to sustain consumer spending;
 avoid suffering; choice of language to reassure.

No No worse than earlier market falls; pessimism unnecessary; business has only 'hesitated'; cooperation only voluntary; confident measures taken are sufficient; rising agricultural prices. [3–5]

- Level 3 Agrees and disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How far'. [6–7]
- (iii) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question [0]
 - Level 1 Useful/not useful Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information. [1]
 - Level 2 Useful/not useful One is a journalist and the other is a politician; from same year, so they could be biased/unreliable. [2]
 - Level 3 Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information. [3–5]
 - Level 4 Choice made on the grounds of reliability.

Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context. Include at this Level answers that cross reference between A and B to show reliability.

6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both. [6–7]

[2]

| | | | | | | | | | | | ₩ _Ž | /w.xtr | rapapers |
|--|---|--|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Page 7 | | | | Ma | ark Sche | eme | | | | | Sy. | ·A | per |
| | | C | ambridg | | | | vemb | er 201 | 4 | | 047 | 80. | |
| (b) (| i) L | evel 0 – | - No evide | ence sub | mitted o | r respo | nse do | es no | t addr | ess the | e quest | ion | oer Canning ad- |
| | L | evel 1 – | One man building building | Boulder | ch valid p r Dam; fl | | | | | | g. Fede Navy \ | eral roa Ƴard; pi | ud- ublic [1–2] |
| (i | i) L | evel 0 – | - No evide | ence sub | mitted o | r respo | nse do | es no | t addr | ess the | e quest | ion | [0] |
| | L | evel 1 – | - Identifies | s aspects | s. Attack | ed by (| governi | ment t | roops | ; dispe | rsed. | | [1–2] |
| Level 2 – Describes aspects. Award an extra mark for each valid aspect described additional detail e.g. Republican Senate refused to agree Congress with immediate payment in June 1932; July – Hoover ordered camps dem MacArthur's armed troops used tanks and teargas, burnt tents; riots; marchers killed; dispersed; Democrat support; huge publicity, etc. | | | | | | | | ress vo s demo riots; 2 | ote for olished; | | | | |
| (ii | (iii) Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question | | | | | | | ion | [0] | | | | |
| | L | Level 1 – Single reason. One for the reason, one for the explanation. | | | | | | | | [1–2] | | | |
| | L | Level 2 – Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for each reason explained e.g Policies themselves limited – by Republican resistance, 'rugged individua commitment to balanced budgets; lowering taxes did not stimulate demar raising tariffs counterproductive; cutting government expenditure did not restore confidence; sheer scale of problem – unemployment rose from 3% 25% in 3 years; longstanding problems, etc. | | | | | | | | dualism'; mand; ot | | | |
| | | | 25 /0 III V | years, i | iongstan | iding pi | ODICITI | S, C IC. | | | | | [2–6] |
| (iv | v) L | evel 0 – | - No evide | ence sub | mitted o | r respo | nse do | es no | t addr | ess the | e quest | ion | [0] |
| | L | Level 1 – Simple assertions. Yes, no social security. No, FDR offered hope. | | | | | | | | [1] | | | |
| | L | Level 2 – Explanation of despair OR other consequences, single factor given e.g. Despair Scale of unemployment; homelessness; begging; charity and state relief insufficient; borrowers' and savers' losses; distrust of banks; Hoover's 'prosperity just around the corner' did not reassure; treatment of Bonus Arr lack of social security; remained for much of 1930s despite New Deal, etc. | | | | | | | | | state over's s Army; | | |
| | | | middle/u weaknes etc.; loss | ipper cla sses alre s of conf | cted relations | d not su dent by ather th | iffer as end of nan des | much f 1920 spair; | as po s in aq positiv | oor; W: gricultu /e effe | SC not ure, ove | direct o er-produ | cause of uction, |

Level 3 – Explanation of despair OR other consequences with multiple factors given. Allow single factors with multiple reasons.

November 1932 campaign and victory gave hope, etc.

OR Undeveloped suggestions of BOTH sides of the argument. (annotate BBB - Balanced but Brief). [3-5]

Level 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument. Both sides of despair AND other consequences must be addressed. [6-8]

| Page 8 | Mark Scheme | Sy. per |
|--------|---|---------|
| | Cambridge IGCSE – October/November 2014 | 047 |

Depth Study D: China, 1945-c.1990

| 4 | (a) | (i) | Level 0 - | No | evidence | submit | ted or | resp | onse | does | not | addres | s the | question | ١ |
|---|-----|-----|-----------|----|----------|--------|--------|------|------|------|-----|--------|-------|----------|---|
|---|-----|-----|-----------|----|----------|--------|--------|------|------|------|-----|--------|-------|----------|---|

- Level 1 Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made.
- Level 2 Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the source e.g. highly qualified to be a leader; wants to match economic progress of other countries. [3–4]
- Level 3 Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e.g. has held a number of important posts in Party, has created supporters; aware of progress of neighbours such as Japan, etc. [5–6]
- (ii) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question [0]
 - Level 1 Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source. [1–2]
 - Level 2 Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g.

 Yes Most ordinary Chinese probably agreed; accepts the idea of incentives as useful.

No Some feared return to class injustice, unemployment and inflation; only 'probably' agreement so doubt remains about support. [3–5]

- Level 3 Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How far?' [6–7]
- (iii) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question [0]
 - Level 1 Useful/not useful Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information. [1]
 - Level 2 Useful/not useful both are British but one quotes Deng so they could both be biased/unreliable. [2]
 - Level 3 Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information. [3–5]
 - Level 4 Choice made on the grounds of reliability.

Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context. Include at this Level answers that cross-reference between A and B to show reliability.

6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both. [6–7]

[6–8]

| Page 9 |) | Mark Scheme Syl | | | | | | | |
|--------|-------|---|---|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | Cambridge IGCSE – October/November 2014 | 047 | 2 | | | | | |
| (b) | (i) | Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the | e question | oer Calmbridg | | | | | |
| | | Level 1 – Award one mark for each valid Modernisation to a maxim agriculture; industry; science; defence. | um of two e.ç | g. [1-10g | | | | | |
| | (ii) | Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the | question | [0] | | | | | |
| | | Level 1 – Identifies methods e.g. Western-style systems of pay, ca | pitalistic. | [1–2] | | | | | |
| | | Level 2 – Describes methods. Award an extra mark for each valid a additional detail, e.g. piece work; overtime and bonus pa sharing; 'responsibility' payments in factories; tax incenti | yments; profi | | | | | | |
| (| (iii) | Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the | question | [0] | | | | | |
| | | Level 1 – Single reason. One for the reason, one for the explanation. | | | | | | | |
| | | Level 2 – Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for each reasons. Since 1978 there had been a reversal of many of the measures and opening up to world trade (IMF and World sought Western money and expertise; Chinese impatien freedoms; students' 1986 demonstration for Deng and measures concessions promised; argument in Politburo; in such nu Party could not refuse, etc. | e Cultural Rev l Bank) as De t for political odernisations | volution eng s; some | | | | | |
| (| (iv) | Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the | question | [0] | | | | | |
| | | Level 1 – Simple assertions, e.g. Yes, much better off with Western | n goods. | [1] | | | | | |
| | | Level 2 – Explanation of benefit OR lack, single factor given e.g. Benefit Opening up to greater western contact, investme Chinese, especially in cities, access to consumer goods, incentives at work increased personal wealth; more shop competition in commerce reduced prices, etc. | fashion, etc. | | | | | | |
| | | Lack Party's hold on power barely wavered; Deng a stro removed Democracy Wall in 1979; Tiananmen Square p human rights record; doubtful that countryside Chinese f difference, etc. | rotest quashe | | | | | | |
| | | Level 3 – Explanation of benefit OR lack of benefit with multiple factors with multiple reasons. | etors given. A | llow | | | | | |
| | | OR Undeveloped arguments on BOTH sides of the argu Balanced but Brief). | ment (annota | te BBB – [3–5] | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Level 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument.

BOTH sides of benefit AND lack of benefit must be addressed.

[6–7]

| Page 10 | Mark Scheme | Sy. oer |
|---------|---|---------|
| | Cambridge IGCSE – October/November 2014 | 047 |

| De | pth S | Stud | y E: Southern | Africa in the Twentieth Century | Mb. |
|----|-------|-------|----------------|---|------------------|
| 5 | (a) | (i) | Level 0 – No | evidence submitted or response does not address the question | Mbrio |
| | | | Level 1 – Rep | eats material stated in the source, no inferences made. | [1–2] |
| | | | | es valid inferences unsupported from the source e.g. stubborn; ungrarusted by the British; popular; committed Christian; crafty; selfish. | ateful; [3–4] |
| | | | lanç | ports valid inferences with reference to the source e.g. 'won't speak or guage'; 'forgets all we have done for him'; 'Uncle Paul'; 'very religious lears poor only on surface; 'looks after his own'. | |
| | | (ii) | Level 0 – No | evidence submitted or response does not address the question | [0] |
| | | | Level 1 – Agre | ees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source. | [1–2] |
| | | | Yes | ees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g. Hatching a conspiracy; able to ban weapons for others in Transvaal; ish throughout SA; militarily skilled and underestimated by GB. | anti- |
| | | | | Outnumbered; British ambitions open and a threat; only suspected of osition. | [3–5] |
| | | | | ees and disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue c w far'. | of [6–7] |
| | | (iii) | Level 0 – No | evidence submitted or response does not address the question | [0] |
| | | | | ful/not useful – Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gre information, but does not specify what information. | ives [1] |
| | | | Level 2 – Use | ful/not useful – Both British so they could be biased/unreliable. | [2] |
| | | | | ice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify rmation. | what [3–5] |
| | | | Dis Incl | ice made on the grounds of reliability. cussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in conude at this Level answers that cross reference between A and B to shapility. | |
| | | | | ability. arks for one source, 7 marks for both. | [6–7] |

[6–8]

| | | 32 | |
|---|--|--|--------------------------|
| Page 11 | Mark Scheme | Sy. Sy. | per |
| | Cambridge IGCSE – October/November 2014 | 047 | 6 |
| (b) (i) | Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address t | he question | BANG. |
| | Level 1 – One mark for each aspect to a maximum of two, e.g. en War; Transvaal's 'independence'/self-government reconsuzerainty/control of foreign relations reserved; shaky | gnised but Britis | o-Bo 700, sh [1–2] |
| (ii) | Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address t | he question | [0] |
| | Level 1 – Identifies aspects. Attempt to support Uitlanders and overthrow government | | |
| | Level 2 – Describes aspects. Award an extra mark for each valid aspect described in additional detail e.g. secret conspiracy Rhodes/Chamberlain; financed Capta Jameson's 500 armed police crossing from Pitsani, Bechuanaland into Transvaal; Uitlander revolt did not materialise; did not reach Johannesburg; lasted 4 days; quickly surrounded, defeated, captured and imprisoned; | | |
| | confirmed Boer suspicions of British/Rhodes, etc. | | [2–4] |
| (iii) | (iii) Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question | | [0] |
| Level 1 – Single reason. One for the reason, one for the explanation. | | tion. | [1–2] |
| | Level 2 – Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for each re e.g. Strategic/trade route to India; gold and diamond m imperial ambitions in whole continent; influence of Rho | ining; railways; | |
| | Germany; Boers' mistreatment of Uitlanders/blacks, et | C. | [2–6] |
| (iv) | Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address t | he question | [0] |
| | Level 1 – Simple assertions. Yes, they lost militarily. No, Afrikaner nationalism stren | gthened. | [1] |
| | Level 2 – Explanation of failure OR success, single factor given of Failure Military defeats; costs; concentration camps' su Dutch gave scant support; no support from European power Vereeniging: Boer independence lost, to accept Edward part of British Empire, etc. | uffering; Cape au powers; terms o | f |
| | Success Early military successes; commando raids; co and diplomatic; Vereeniging concessions – Transvaal a self-government; an 'eventual' Union; no Boer to lose p to pay £3 million compensation; Dutch as well as Engli black or Coloured franchise in Boer states; Milner's sch nationalism increased; Botha and Smuts political power | and OFS to have property or freed sh to be taught; neme failed; Boo | e local lom; UK no |
| | Level 3 – Explanation of failure OR of success with multiple factor | rs given. | |
| | OR Undeveloped suggestions of BOTH sides of the ar – Balanced but Brief). | gument (annota | te BBB [3–5] |

Level 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument.

BOTH sides of failure AND success must be addressed.

[1]

[2]

[3–5]

[6–7]

| Pa | ge 12 | Mark Scheme Sylvania | per |
|----|----------|---|---------------|
| De | pth Stud | Mark Scheme Cambridge IGCSE – October/November 2014 dy F: Israelis and Palestinians, 1945–c.1990 Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question | Camb |
| 6 | (a) (i) | Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question | Tag |
| | | Level 1 – Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made. | [1–2] |
| | | Level 2 – Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the source e.g. Seems to pla for Palestine on American electoral issues; USA has many Jews so pol based on their interest. | |
| | | Level 3 – Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e.g. Truman apo to Arabs; aware of significance of Jews and Zionism; no Arabs means considered of little importance. | |
| | (ii) | Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question | [0] |
| | | Level 1 – Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source. | [1–2] |
| | | Level 2 – Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g. Yes Kissinger's efforts in 1974; American encouragement led to Sadat Israel; Camp David; agreement led to Israeli withdrawal from Sinai whice reduce tension. | |
| | | No USA and USSR do not want to be dragged into war; Western powe worried about Arab oil weapon | rs [3–5] |
| | | Level 3 – Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issu 'How far?' | e of [6–7] |
| | (iii) | Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question | [0] |

Level 1 – Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives

Level 2 – Useful/not useful – One source is from Truman the other is British, so they

Level 3 – Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what

Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context. Include at this Level any answers that cross reference between A and B to

more information but does not specify what information.

could both be biased/unreliable.

Level 4 – Choice made on the grounds of reliability.

6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.

information.

show reliability.

[6-8]

| | | www.xtr | apapers |
|---------|--|--|----------------------------------|
| Page 13 | Mark Scheme S | W 3 | per |
| | Cambridge IGCSE – October/November 2014 | 047 | |
| (b) (i) | Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the q | uestion | ding |
| | Level 1 – Award one mark for each correct group to a maximum of tw Hamas. | 047 Juestion | olla (14 ₈) [1–2] |
| (ii) | Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the q | uestion | [0] |
| | Level 1 – Identifies methods e.g. diplomacy and violence. | | [1–2] |
| | Level 2 – Describes methods. Award an extra mark for each valid as additional detail e.g. diplomacy – contacts with other symptom and organisations; led to Arafat speaking to UN in 1976; U peacekeepers and UNWRA. Violence – raids from Jordan, expelled; radical elements attack people and property, etc. | athetic coun N Resolution | tries ns, UN |
| (iii) | Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the o | uestion | [0] |
| | Level 1 – One for the reason, one for the explanation. | | [1–2] |
| | Level 2 – Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for each reason e.g. supported the Palestinians when they could embarrase Arab countries were difficult to handle – raids on Israel brown extra burden on Arab countries; felt that camps were a state Palestinians were seen as irresponsible at times; expelled removed from Lebanon; created civil wars in countries, etc. | s Israel; cam ught retaliati te within a st from Jordan | ion; ate; |
| (iv) | Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the q | luestion | [0] |
| | Level 1 – Simple assertions. Yes, they have still not got their own country. | | [1] |
| | Level 2 – Explanation of little improvement OR much improvement, s e.g. | ingle factor | given |
| | Little Still without their own unified state; camps in Arab wo Arab states; attracted Israeli retaliation; camps awful despi recognised as speaking for Palestinian people but PLO div terrorists by some; intransigence of Israel; Israeli settlemer | te UNWRA; ided, seen a | PLO |
| | Much Recognition by UNO; Resolutions for a two state solutions for a two state solutions for a two states and Israel; recognition of PLO; talks between Arab states and Israel; recognition of PLO; talks between Arab states and Israel; recognition of PLO; talks between Arab states and Israel; recognition of PLO; talks between Arab states and Israel State | ecognition o Jericho Agre | |
| | Level 3 – Explanation of little improvement OR much improvement w given. Allow single factors with multiple reasons. | ith multiple f | actors |
| | OR undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of the argum – Balanced but Brief). | ient (annota | te BBB [3–5] |

Level 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument.

BOTH sides of little improvement AND much improvement must be

addressed.

| Page 14 | Mark Scheme | Sy. oer |
|---------|---|---------|
| | Cambridge IGCSE – October/November 2014 | 047 |

Depth

| De | pth S | Stud | y G: The Creation of Modern Industrial Society | Morio |
|----|-------|-------|--|---------------|
| 7 | (a) | (i) | Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question | 10 |
| | | | Level 1 – Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made. | [1–2] |
| | | | Level 2 – Makes valid inferences unsupported from the source e.g. debilitating; incessant toil governed by machinery; noisy; young workers. | [3–4] |
| | | | Level 3 – Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e.g. 'claims the operative's thinking powers'; no 'opportunity for the muscles'; 'not work be tedium', etc. | ut [5–6] |
| | | (ii) | Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question | [0] |
| | | | Level 1 – Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source. | [1–2] |
| | | | Level 2 – Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g. Yes Pleasant; good companionship; healthy; well-paid. | |
| | | | No Hints at long hours; repetitive; children still employed; only compares the idle rich and poorest. | with [3–5] |
| | | | Level 3 – Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How far?' | of [6–7] |
| | (| (iii) | Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question | [0] |
| | | | Level 1 – Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis that one source is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information. | [1] |
| | | | Level 2 – Useful/not useful – A is from a reformer; B later, rose-tinted interpretation. | . [2] |
| | | | Level 3 – Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify information. | what [3–5] |
| | | | Level 4 – Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in con Include at this Level answers that cross-reference between A and B to sh reliability. | IOW |
| | | | 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both. | [6-7] |

xtrapapers.com

| ge 15 | Mark Scheme | Syr & | ber |
|---------|--|---------------------------------|------------------|
| | Cambridge IGCSE – October/November 2014 | 047 | |
| (b) (i) | Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the | question | dup |
| | Level 1 – One mark for each valid detail to a maximum of two: reformodel factory at New Lanark 1800–1825; schools, welfar housing; New Harmony, USA; socialist sympathies; trade – GNCTU. | | 100 |
| (ii) | Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the | question | [0] |
| | Level 1 – Identifies aspects, e.g. Applied to textile mills; limited child | d labour. | [1–2] |
| | Level 2 – Describes aspects. Award an extra mark for each valid as additional detail e.g. did not include lace and silk mills; no max. hours 9 for 9–13, 12 for 13–18; no night work for us education; general working day limited to 5.30–20.30; for ensure compliance. | children unden der 18s; 2 ho | er 9; ours |
| (iii) | Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the | question | [0] |
| | Level 1 – Single reason. One for the reason, one for the explanation | n. | [1–2] |
| | Level 2 – Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for each reas e.g. employers self-interest in profit; provided regular emcomparison to other employment; reformers focused on vindustrialisation; trade unions wanted bargaining rights; Fetc. | ployment; well worst aspects | of |
| (iv) | Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the | question | [0] |
| | Level 1 – Simple assertions. Yes, wages increased. No, many strikes failed. | | [1] |
| | Level 2 – Explanation of benefit OR lack of improvement, single factorized Ben Regular work and wages; sometimes housing provide helped in improved communications; fostered trade union agricultural workers' situation, etc. | led; cheaper g | |
| | Lack Workers soon laid off if orders sank; most housing povercrowded; poor drainage; effects of pollution; weakne limited regulation, etc. | • | |
| | Level 3 – Explanation of benefit OR lack of improvement with multip | ole factors. | |
| | OR Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of the argu-Balanced but Brief). | ıment, (annota | ate BBB [3–5] |
| | Level 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of benefit and lack of improvement must be | addressed. | [6–8] |

Mark Scheme

[6–7]

| Page 16 | Mark Scheme | Sylvan |
|---------|---|--------|
| | Cambridge IGCSE – October/November 2014 | 047 |
| | | 3 |

| De | pth St | udy H: The | e Impact of Western Imperialism in the Nineteenth Century | ambridge |
|----|--------|------------|---|------------------|
| 8 | (a) (| i) Level 0 | No evidence submitted or response does not address the question | 10 |
| | | Level 1 | - Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made. | [1–2] |
| | | Level 2 | Makes valid inferences unsupported from the source e.g. acts in best int of local areas; not expansionist; wary of competitors. | erests [3–4] |
| | | Level 3 | Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e.g. 'no wish to di your rule'; 'protection'; 'no other nations should take them'. | sturb [5–6] |
| | (i | i) Level 0 | No evidence submitted or response does not address the question | [0] |
| | | Level 1 | Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source. | [1–2] |
| | | Level 2 | Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g. Yes Weapons; opposition of natives not equal; superior military skills in Nigeria. | |
| | | | No African leaders signed away their rights and territories; self-interest i disputes and exploiting European rivalry. | n local [3–5] |
| | | Level 3 | Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue 'How far?' | of [6–7] |
| | (ii | i) Level 0 | No evidence submitted or response does not address the question | [0] |
| | | Level 1 | Useful/not useful – choice made on the basis that one source is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information. | [1] |
| | | Level 2 | Useful/not useful – A is a formal British response; B is a modern assessi so they could both be biased/unreliable. | ment [2] |
| | | Level 3 | Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify information. | what [3–5] |
| | | Level 4 | Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in co | ntext. |

Include at this Level answers that cross reference between A and B to show

reliability.

6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.

| Page 17 | Mark Scheme | Syl A. A. | ner |
|----------|--|--|--------------------------|
| r age 17 | Cambridge IGCSE – October/November 2014 | 047 | 901 |
| (b) (i) | Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the | e question recoil mechar 1 1883; forerun | Calmb |
| | Level 1 – One mark for each aspect to a maximum of two, e.g. first enabled rapid firing – c. 600 rounds per minute; patented the machine gun; highly effective against lightly armed no | • | nish nner ol [1–2] |
| (ii) | Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the | question | [0] |
| | Level 1 – Identifies aspects, e.g. Used local rulers and officials; loo | se supervision | n. [1–2] |
| | Level 2 – Describes aspects. Award an extra mark for each valid as additional detail e.g. developed by Lord Lugard in Nigeria British District Officers to co-operate with paramount chie modernise/extend efficient administration; aid peaceful losocial reform – in theory; imperial control of military and form | a and quickly seftains/rulers to ocal control; ba | spread; o asis for |
| (iii) | Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the | question | [0] |
| | Level 1 – Single reason. One for the reason, one for the explanation | n. | [1–2] |
| | Level 2 – Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for each reas e.g. potential markets and resources – e.g. diamonds an attractive in period; new Germany and Italy ambitious to older states; part of the Great Game; technology, etc. | d gold, more | s of the [2–6] |
| (iv) | Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the | question | [0] |
| | Level 1 – Simple assertions. Yes, more territories. No, expensive. | | [1] |
| | Level 2 – Explanation of benefits OR weaknesses single factor give Ben Gained in prestige; more markets; Belgium and Con African trade if fewer territories than France; trade routes Christian evangelism and anti-slavery groups; partition, e | go; Britain had s, Suez; satisfi | |
| | Weak Costly to build infrastructure, station troops, fight lo 'white man's grave'; caused friction between European p | | ealthy [2] |
| | Level 3 – Explanation of benefits OR weaknesses with multiple fac | tors. | |
| | OR Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of the argu- Balanced but Brief). | ument (annota | ite BBB [3–5] |
| | Level 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of benefits and weaknesses must be addressed. | ssed. | [6–8] |