

# Cambridge Pre-U

---

**GLOBAL PERSPECTIVES & RESEARCH****9777/03**

Paper 3 Presentation

**October/November 2020**

MARK SCHEME

Maximum Mark: 40

---

**Published**

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge International will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge International is publishing the mark schemes for the October/November 2020 series for most Cambridge IGCSE™, Cambridge International A and AS Level and Cambridge Pre-U components, and some Cambridge O Level components.

---

This syllabus is regulated for use in England, Wales and Northern Ireland as a Cambridge International Level 3 Pre-U Certificate.

---

This document consists of **6** printed pages.

**Generic Marking Principles**

These general marking principles must be applied by all examiners when marking candidate answers. They should be applied alongside the specific content of the mark scheme or generic level descriptors for a question. Each question paper and mark scheme will also comply with these marking principles.

**GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 1:**

Marks must be awarded in line with:

- the specific content of the mark scheme or the generic level descriptors for the question
- the specific skills defined in the mark scheme or in the generic level descriptors for the question
- the standard of response required by a candidate as exemplified by the standardisation scripts.

**GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 2:**

Marks awarded are always **whole marks** (not half marks, or other fractions).

**GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 3:**

Marks must be awarded **positively**:

- marks are awarded for correct/valid answers, as defined in the mark scheme. However, credit is given for valid answers which go beyond the scope of the syllabus and mark scheme, referring to your Team Leader as appropriate
- marks are awarded when candidates clearly demonstrate what they know and can do
- marks are not deducted for errors
- marks are not deducted for omissions
- answers should only be judged on the quality of spelling, punctuation and grammar when these features are specifically assessed by the question as indicated by the mark scheme. The meaning, however, should be unambiguous.

**GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 4:**

Rules must be applied consistently, e.g. in situations where candidates have not followed instructions or in the application of generic level descriptors.

**GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 5:**

Marks should be awarded using the full range of marks defined in the mark scheme for the question (however; the use of the full mark range may be limited according to the quality of the candidate responses seen).

**GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 6:**

Marks awarded are based solely on the requirements as defined in the mark scheme. Marks should not be awarded with grade thresholds or grade descriptors in mind.

AO1: 15% (6) AO2: 15% (6) AO3: 40% (16) AO4: 30% (12)

- The assessment objectives (AOs) are to some extent inter-dependent and the presentations should be marked holistically using the level-based mark scheme below.
- Examiners should look at each section of the level descriptors. If all are solidly attained for a level, the top mark for the level is to be awarded. Descriptors describe the top mark of each level.
- There will frequently be some aspects of the answer which fall within a level and some within the level below. Examiners should award a lower mark in the higher band according to this balance. All marking will be positive. Examiners will use the full range of marks and look for the 'best fit', not a 'perfect fit'.
- There is no requirement for candidates to use technical Critical Thinking terms to access any level and candidates will **not** be rewarded for their use unless they are directly linked to the demands of the question.
- The running time for the presentation must not exceed 15 minutes. Examiners will not credit material after the 15 minute limit.

| Level | Marks | Indicative content   |
|-------|-------|--|
| 5     | 33–40 | <p>The presentation is <b>clearly</b> focused on an issue of global significance <b>and</b> is <b>firmly</b> based on the source material. The presentation is <b>logically</b> structured and <b>coherently</b> argued with <b>clear</b> lines of reasoning and <b>well-supported</b> judgements.</p> <p>The candidate reflects <b>sympathetically</b> on the global issues <b>and</b> perspectives, based on <b>sufficient insightful and enquiring</b> research.</p> <p>A range of <b>relevant</b> and <b>credible</b> sources has been <b>synthesised</b>, cited and <b>fully</b> referenced.</p> <p>The candidate shows genuine <b>empathy</b> with alternative perspectives <b>and</b> arguments and either <b>justifies</b> disagreement with these perspectives or finds a <b>viable compromise</b> between them.</p> <p>The candidate's conclusion, or personal perspective is based <b>logically</b> on the evidence, reasoning <b>and</b> personal reflection presented <b>and effectively</b> answers the question posed.</p>    |
| 4     | 25–32 | <p>The presentation is focused on an issue of global significance <b>and</b> is based on the source material.</p> <p>The presentation is <b>well</b> structured and <b>well</b> argued with <b>some</b> lines of reasoning and <b>some well-supported</b> judgements.</p> <p>The candidate reflects on the global issues <b>and</b> perspectives with <b>some</b> sympathy and based on <b>some</b> insightful <b>or</b> enquiring research.</p> <p>A range of <b>relevant</b> or <b>credible</b> sources has been <b>selected</b>, some of which has been synthesised, cited and <b>accurately</b> referenced.</p> <p>The candidate shows <b>some</b> empathy with alternative perspectives <b>and</b> arguments and <b>adequately</b> justifies disagreement with these perspectives, finding an <b>appropriate</b> compromise between them.</p> <p>The candidate's conclusion, or personal perspective is based on the evidence, reasoning <b>and</b> personal reflection presented <b>and adequately</b> answers the question posed.</p> |

| Level | Marks | Indicative content  |
|-------|-------|---|
| 3     | 17–24 | <p>The presentation has <b>some</b> global significance <b>and</b> is based on the source material.</p> <p>The presentation has <b>some</b> structure and contains some <b>well</b> argued points, <b>some</b> lines of reasoning and <b>some supported</b> judgements.</p> <p>The candidate shows <b>some</b> reflection on the global issues <b>and</b> perspectives with <b>some</b> sympathy and based on <b>some</b> enquiring research.</p> <p>A <b>range</b> of sources has been <b>selected</b>, but they may <b>lack</b> some relevance <b>or</b> credibility. <b>Some</b> references are cited and accurately referenced.</p> <p>The candidate shows <b>some</b> empathy for alternative perspectives <b>and</b> arguments and <b>partially</b> justifies disagreement with these perspectives, finding a compromise between them.</p> <p>The candidate's conclusion, or personal perspective is based <b>partly</b> on the evidence, reasoning <b>and</b> personal reflection presented which provides a <b>partial</b> answer to the question posed.</p>            |
| 2     | 9–16  | <p>The presentation has <b>some</b> relevance to the source material, but of <b>limited</b> global significance <b>or</b> focus.</p> <p>The presentation has <b>some</b> structure and contains <b>some</b> argued points, <b>some</b> lines of reasoning and <b>some supported</b> judgements.</p> <p>The candidate shows <b>some</b> reflection on the global issues <b>or</b> perspectives with <b>some</b> sympathy and based on <b>some</b> research.</p> <p>A <b>range</b> of sources has been <b>used</b>, but they <b>lack some</b> relevance <b>and some</b> credibility. <b>Some</b> sources are cited and referenced.</p> <p>The candidate shows <b>some</b> empathy with alternative perspectives <b>or</b> arguments and <b>partially</b> justifies disagreement with these perspectives, <b>without</b> finding a compromise between them.</p> <p>The candidate's conclusion, or personal perspective is based <b>partly</b> on the evidence, reasoning <b>or</b> personal reflection presented which provides a <b>partial</b> answer to the question posed.</p> |

| Level | Marks | Indicative content   |
|-------|-------|--|
| 1     | 1–8   | <p>The presentation <b>lacks</b> a single focus or has <b>limited</b> relevance to the source material.</p> <p>The presentation <b>lacks</b> structure and makes arguments which are <b>limited</b>, with <b>limited</b> lines of reasoning and judgements which <b>lack</b> support.</p> <p>The candidate shows <b>limited</b> reflection on the global issues <b>or</b> perspectives with <b>little</b> sympathy and based on <b>limited</b> research.</p> <p>A <b>limited</b> range of sources has been <b>used</b>, most of which <b>lack</b> relevance <b>and/or</b> credibility. <b>Few</b> sources are cited and referenced.</p> <p>The candidate shows <b>limited</b> empathy with alternative perspectives <b>and/or</b> arguments and <b>lacks</b> justification for any disagreement with these perspectives.</p> <p>The candidate's conclusion or personal perspective is <b>limited</b> and <b>lacks</b> evidence, reasoning <b>and</b> personal reflection. The presentation provides a <b>limited</b> answer to the question posed.</p> |
| 0     | 0     | No creditworthy material has been submitted.   |