

To be taken only between ... and ... 2012

AS GCE LAW

G155/01/I: Law of Contract

Candidates answer on the Answer Booklet

OCR Supplied Materials:

18-page Answer Booklet

Other Materials Required: None Duration: 2 hours



INSTRUCTIONS TO CANDIDATES

- Write your name, centre number and candidate number in the spaces provided on the Answer Booklet. Please write clearly and in capital letters.
- Use black ink. HB Pencil may be used for graphs and diagrams only.
- Read each question carefully. Make sure you know what you have to do before starting your answer.
- Answer three questions; one from Section A, one from Section B and one from Section C
- When answering the following questions you are required to demonstrate some synoptic thinking. In Section A this is achieved by relevant reference to precedent and/or statutory materials including the development of law and comments on justice or morality where appropriate. In Section B this is achieved by relevant use of precedent and/or statutory materials in the application of legal reasoning to given factual situations, including comment on the justice or morality of the outcome where appropriate. You are not required to demonstrate synoptic thinking in Section C.
- If additional space is required, you should use pages 14 to 16 of the Answer Booklet. If you use additional sheets of paper, fasten these securely to the Answer Booklet.
- Do not write in bar codes.

INFORMATION FOR CANDIDATES

- The number of marks is given in brackets [] at the end of each question or part question.
- The total number of marks for this paper is **120**.
- Candidates are reminded of the need to write in continuous prose, where appropriate, in answering **Section A** and **Section B** questions you will be assessed on the quality of your written communication (QWC) including your use of appropriate legal terminology. These questions are marked with an asterisk (*).
- This document consists of 14 pages. Any blank pages are indicated.

© OCR 2012

OCR is an exempt Charity



Turn over



Answer three questions.

Answer **one** question from Section A, **one** question from Section B and **one** question from Section C.

You are advised to spend 50 minutes on Section A, 50 minutes on Section B and 20 minutes on Section C.

SECTION A

Answer only **one** question from this section.

1* 'The general rule is that performance, to be effective, must be exact and complete.'

Discuss whether the strict application of this rule leads to injustice.

[50]

Start your answer on **page 2** of the Answer Booklet.

2* Discuss whether the increased use of the innominate term means that it is no longer important to differentiate between conditions and warranties. [50]

Start your answer on **page 2** of the Answer Booklet.

3* 'An intention to be legally bound is a requirement in forming a contract; the presumption for or against this intention can give much needed protection.'

Analyse the reasons for requiring an intention to be legally bound in the light of the above statement. [50]

Start your answer on **page 2** of the Answer Booklet.

2

SECTION B

Answer only **one** question from this section.

4* Logan, a shoe manufacturer, notices an advertisement for equipment to be sold by auction. At the auction Logan finds that the item in which he is interested has been withdrawn from sale. He is angry at having wasted his time and money on this journey.

Logan then places an order with Manesh via the Internet on 2nd May for a quantity of leather. Manesh only sees the order on 11th May. Manesh replies by email immediately, agreeing to supply the leather. However, before Logan receives the email he places an order for the leather with another firm. On 17th May Manesh delivers the leather and requests payment.

Nigel, an engineer, says that he will service some machines for Logan for £300, but Logan rejects this. However, finding that other engineers charge even more, Logan later contacts Nigel, claiming to accept his offer to do the work for £300. Nigel states that he is now fully booked and cannot now service the machines.

Apply the principles of offer and acceptance to each of these situations.

[50]

Start your answer on **page 10** of the Answer Booklet.

5* Alison visits Bestever Theme Park and, wishing to spend some time on rides, leaves her coat at a cloakroom where she pays a fee and is handed a receipt.

While Alison is queuing for a ride, a park attendant, Callum, driving a small vehicle collides with her, causing injury to her shoulder and leg. Callum apologises on behalf of the Park, but points to a sign at the entrance which states, 'Bestever Theme Park takes no responsibility for injury to visitors however caused'.

Alison decides to leave the Park, but when she returns to collect her coat she finds that it has been given to the wrong person. The assistant, Dana, points out a statement on her receipt which reads, 'All items are left at owner's risk. Bestever Theme Park takes no responsibility for loss or theft of items, however this may arise'.

Advise Alison whether she should make a claim against Bestever Theme Park concerning her injury and the loss of her coat. [50]

Start your answer on **page 10** of the Answer Booklet.

6* Nazir advertises her car for sale in the local newspaper. A woman calling herself Pauline Smith arrives to see the car. She says that she likes the car, but as she knows little about cars, would like to take the car to a mechanic friend for inspection.

Pauline persuades Nazir to let her take both the car and the registration document. Although Nazir has doubts, Pauline produces a local authority identity pass, which has a photograph. Nazir telephones the council offices to check the identity card. She is told that there is a Pauline Smith on the staff of the council and takes a cheque as security.

One week later Pauline has not returned the car and so Nazir banks the cheque. Three days later Nazir is notified by her bank that the cheque is a worthless forgery. In the meantime Pauline has sold the car to John.

Discuss whether Nazir can recover the car and what action she might take against Pauline if she can be found. [50]

Start your answer on **page 10** of the Answer Booklet.

4

SECTION C

Answer only **one** question from this section.

7 Spencer has purchased a car from Belinda after being told that it had done 20 000 miles. Belinda relied on the recorded mileage on the car. Shortly after buying the car Spencer sets out on a journey to his friend's house 80 miles away. As he starts out on the journey the car breaks down and he has to call a mechanic to fix it. The mechanic informs Spencer that there are a lot of faults with the car and it has probably done 120 000 miles. Spencer is annoyed at this and, after completing the trip to see his friend, drives the car to Belinda to complain about it.

Evaluate the accuracy of **each** of the four statements A, B, C and D individually, as they apply to the facts in the above scenario. Start your answer on **page 18** of the Answer Booklet.

Statement A:	If Spencer is able to prove misrepresentation he will have a remedy in rescission.
Statement B:	Spencer will have a remedy under S.2(1) Misrepresentation Act 1967.
Statement C:	Belinda has made a misrepresentation in not informing Spencer about the other faults with the car.
Statement D:	Belinda has made a fraudulent misrepresentation to Spencer. [20]

8 Sue owns a hotel and is having 20 rooms redecorated before the summer season. The work is to be completed by Hamish at a cost of £400 per room. Hamish completes 12 of the rooms and then informs Sue that he is unable to purchase materials he needs in order to complete the other 8 rooms. Sue does not have time to look for another decorator and is worried that she will have unfinished rooms for the summer season. She offers Hamish an extra payment of £600 to help pay for the materials. Hamish accepts and continues with the work. As Hamish is grateful he also promises to paint the entrance hall. Some time later Sue is refusing to pay the extra £600 and Hamish has not painted the entrance hall.

Evaluate the accuracy of **each** of the four statements A, B, C and D individually, as they apply to the facts in the above scenario. Start your answer on **page 18** of the Answer Booklet.

Statement A:	Hamish has provided good consideration for the extra payment.
Statement B:	Sue would be estopped from going back on her promise to pay the bonus.
Statement C:	Sue can avoid paying the extra £600 on the basis of economic duress.
Statement D:	Sue has not provided any consideration for Hamish's promise to paint the entrance hall. [20]

Section C Total [20]

Paper Total [120]

BLANK PAGE

7

Copyright Acknowledgements

Permission to reproduce items where third-party owned material protected by copyright is included has been sought and cleared where possible. Every reasonable effort has been made by the publisher (OCR) to trace copyright holders, but if any items requiring clearance have unwittingly been included, the publisher will be pleased to make amends at the earliest opportunity.

OCR is part of the Cambridge Assessment Group. Cambridge Assessment is the brand name of University of Cambridge Local Examinations Syndicate (UCLES), which is itself a department of the University of Cambridge.

© OCR 2007



OXFORD CAMBRIDGE AND RSA EXAMINATIONS

Advanced GCE

LAW

[Q155MS]

Unit G155: Law of Contract

Specimen Mark Scheme

This mark scheme must be used in conjunction with the Advanced GCE Law Assessment Grid.

Candidates answering these questions are required to demonstrate some synoptic thinking. In Section A this is achieved by relevant reference to precedent and/or statutory materials including the development of law and comments on justice or morality where appropriate. In Section B this is achieved by relevant use of precedent and/or statutory materials in the application of legal reasoning to given factual situations, including comment on the justice or morality of the outcome where appropriate. Candidates are not required to demonstrate synoptic thinking in Section C.

When using the mark scheme the points made are merely those that a well-prepared candidate would be likely to make. The cases cited in the scheme are not prescriptive and credit must be given for any relevant examples given. Similarly, candidates who make unexpected points, perhaps approaching the question from an unusual point of view, must be credited with all that is relevant. Candidates can score in the top bands without citing all the points suggested in the scheme. Answers which contain no relevant material at all, will receive no marks. Reward grammar, spelling and punctuation.

This mark scheme contains indicative content but it is not exhaustive or prescriptive. It should be used alongside the matrix of levels of assessment and live exemplar standardisation scripts (which cover a range of responses identifying where within a level of assessment a particular response lies). Examiners are required to use the indicative content of the mark schemes **only** in conjunction with the matrix of levels of assessment as informed by actual responses in the standardisation scripts. Examiners should not be drawn prescriptively and quantitatively to the content of the mark scheme when marking candidates' responses and should follow the specific guidance provided by the Principal Examiner in terms of breadth and depth of responses. Appropriate credit will be given for non-standard answers.

<u> </u>	
~	
_	

Question Number	Answer							
	'The general rule is that performance, to be effective, must be exact and complete.'							
1*	Discuss whether	the strict a	applicati	on of this rule leads to injustice.				
	Mark Levels	AO1	AO2	A03				
	Level 5	21-25	17-20	-				
	Level 4	16-20	13-16	5				
	Level 3	11-15	9-12	4				
	Level 2	6-10	5-8	3				
	Level 1	1-5	1-4	1-2				
	Potential answers	MAY:						
	Assessment Ob	ective 1						
Outline the general rule that performance must be exact and full; refer to cases such a <i>Cutter v Powell, The Kathleen</i> and <i>Re Moore and Landauer</i> and S.30 Sale and Supply Goods Act 1994.								
	Explain the excep <i>Hoenig v Isaacs</i> ,			n as substantial performance; refer to cases such as ton v Mahadeva.				
	Explain the except	tion of seve	rable cor	ntracts; refer to cases such as Taylor v Webb.				
	Explain acceptane	ce of partial	performa	ance; refer to cases such as Sumpter v Hedges.				
	Explain the exception of prevention of performance; refer to cases such as <i>Planche v Colburn</i> .							
	Explain the <i>de minimis</i> rule.							
	Assessment Ob	ective 2						
	Discuss the issues raised in the question. These may include (but are not confined to) the following matters:							
	The potential	nardship cau	used by t	he full performance rule, as in <i>Cutter v P</i> owell.				
	• That they may give another party an escape route from an inconvenient contract as in <i>Arcos v Ronaason</i> .							
	 The reason for the exception of severable contracts; that it requires just payment for obligations that have been performed. 							
	be used as an	excuse to e	escape pa	bstantial performance; that minor breaches may not ayment. Compare cases such as <i>Hoenig v Isaaca</i> the uncertainty in application of a rule that requires				

-	
2	
J.	
-	

Question Number				Answer		Max Mark		
1*	Assessment Ob	jective 3						
cont'd	Present logical ar effective manner		•		municate relevant material in a clear and ogy.			
	Reward grammar		-			[5]		
					Total marks	[50]		
2*					ominate term means that it is no litions and warranties.			
	Mark Levels	AO1	AO2	AO3				
	Level 5	21-25	17-20	-				
	Level 4	16-20	13-16	5				
	Level 3	11-15	9-12	4				
	Level 2	6-10	5-8	3				
	Level 1	1-5	1-4	1-2				
	Potential answers	S MAY:						
	Assessment Objective 1							
	Explain the differe				sontract.			
	and Pond, Bettini				•			
	Explain the conse	equences of	a breach	of both co	nditions and warranties.			
					by the courts; refer to cases such as a, <i>The Hansa Nord.</i>			
	Explain the test u	sed to deter	mine the	consequer	ces of breach of an innominate term.			
	Explain the situations where conditions are used through common usage in the courts; refer to cases such as <i>Bunge Corporation v Tradax</i> , <i>The Mihalis Angelos</i> .							
				•	d as a condition by the parties; refer to a North Central v Butterworth.			
	Explain the terms Act 1979.	that are lab	elled as a	a condition	by statutes such as the Sale of Goods			
	Explain the appro	ach taken b	y the cou	irts to estab	lishing the nature of a particular term.	[25]		
Assessment Objective 2								
	-	s raised in t	he questi	on. These	may include (but are not confined to) the			
		•			een conditions and warranties in the first there has been a breach of contract.			
	were either co	onditions or v	warrantie	s; allowing	rm based approach where all terms parties to escape from inconvenient ne of the parties.			
					nere innominate terms are used; sequences of the breach of contract.			
	Discuss wheth	ner the use o	of innomi	nate terms	gives flexibility at the expense of	[20]		

	Total marks	[50]
	Reward grammar, spelling and punctuation.	[5]
	Present logical and coherent arguments and communicate relevant material in a clear and effective manner using appropriate legal terminology.	
	Assessment Objective 3	
	Discuss whether the current practice of using all three kinds of term gives a reasonable compromise between certainty in some cases and flexibility for the court in others.	
	 The benefits of implied terms by statute being conditions; improved consumer protection where there is inequality of bargaining power. 	
2* cont'd	• The reason why the parties are still allowed to determine the status of terms themselves; giving the parties control over their own bargain, and the reason for the court not following this approach in <i>Shuler v Wickman</i> .	
	• The reason why some terms are still seen as conditions through common usage in the courts; allowing certainty in respect of certain trade practice.	
	uncertainty.	

Question Number				Answer		Max Marl	
3*	presumption for	or against sons for rec	this inte	ntion can give	nt in forming a contract; the ve much needed protection.' o be legally bound in the light of th	ne	
	Mark Levels	AO1	AO2	AO3			
	Level 5	21-25	17-20	-			
	Level 4	16-20	13-16	5			
	Level 3	11-15	9-12	4			
	Level 2	6-10	5-8	3			
	Level 1	1-5	1-4	1-2			
	Potential answers	MAY:					
	Assessment Obj	ective 1					
	Explain the gener	al requireme	ent of leg	al intent as a	formation requirement.		
	Explain the presu Balfour, Jones v I		o legal int	ent in social a	and domestic situations: <i>Balfour v</i>		
	Explain that legal intent is a requirement is some cases where the presumption is rebutted: <i>Merritt v Merritt, Parker v Clarke, Simpkin v Pays</i> .						
	<i>Carlill v Carbolic</i> how the presump clause between c	S <i>moke Ball</i> tion may in s ompanies: <i>I</i> v Malaysia	Co, Esso some cas Rose and Mining C	v Commissions ses be rebutte Frank Co v Corporation ar	nts made in a commercial context: oners of Customs and Excise. Show ed through an honourable pledge Crompton Bros, Edwards v Skyways, nd in consumer contracts: Jones v		
			•		the context of collective bargaining: eering and Foundry Workers.	[25	
	Assessment Ob	ective 2					
	Analyse the issue following matters:		he questi	ion. These m	ay include (but are not confined to) the	ne	
	 The requirement that it is presu 				and integral to a commercial contract		
					I from this where both parties are in al expectation.		
	 The protection parties and sa 				nsumer in terms of expectation of the	e	
	 The need to p situations, in t 	•		•	nption is rebutted in social or domest	ic	
	 Why this extermal may derive pre- 				he family (<i>Buckpitt v Oates</i>) and who		
		erms in Cor	nsumer C	contract Regu	s (and similar agreements), the effeculations 1994 on honourable pledge	t [20]	

3*	Assessment Objective 3	
cont'd	Present logical and coherent arguments and communicate relevant material in a clear and effective manner using appropriate legal terminology.	
	Reward grammar, spelling and punctuation.	[5]
	Total marks	[50]
	Section A Total	[50]

SECTION	3							
Question Number				Answer	Max Mark			
4*	auction. At the au	ction Loga	an finds	s an advertisement for equipment to be sold by that the item he is interested in has been having wasted his time and money on this				
	of leather. Manesl immediately, agre	n only see eing to su n order foi	s the ord pply the the leat	nesh via the internet on 2nd May for a quantity der on 11th May. Manesh replies by email leather. However, before Logan receives the ther with another firm. On 17th May Manesh yment.				
	Logan rejects this later contacts Nig	. However el, claimin	r, finding ig to acc	service some machines for Logan for £300, but y that other engineers charge even more, Logan ept his offer to do the work for £300. Nigel nd cannot now service the machines.				
	Apply the principl	es of offei	r and aco	ceptance to each of these situations.				
	Mark Levels	AO1	AO2	AO3				
	Level 5	21-25	17-20	-				
	Level 4	16-20	13-16	5				
	Level 3	11-15	9-12	4				
	Level 2	6-10	5-8	3				
	Level 1	1-5	1-4	1-2				
	Potential answers MAY:							
	Assessment Objective 1							
	Explain the general principle of offer and acceptance, and the need for these in order to have a binding contract.							
	Explain that an advert to hold an auction is normally an invitation to treat: Harris v Nickerson.							
		n the principles of communication of acceptance and in particular instant unication: Yates v Pulleyn, Entores v Miles Far East Corporation, Brinkibon v g Stahl.						
	Explain the principl	es of lapse	e of an of	fer: Ramsgate Hotel v Montefiore.				
	Electronic Commer	ce (EC Dir	ective) re	-				
	Explain the operation	on of a cou	inter offe	r: Hyde v Wrench.	[25]			
	Assessment Obje	ctive 2						
	Consider whether t	he advert t	o hold ar	n auction was an offer or an invitation to treat.				
	Apply the principles Manesh.	s of offer a	nd accep	tance to the exchanges between Logan and				
	Consider wheth	er the orde	er on 2nd	May was a bilateral offer.				
	Consider wheth	er that offe	er has lap	osed (lapse of time) between 2nd and 11th.				
	Consider when methods of corr			esh takes effect, generally on arrival for instant				
	Consider the po	ssible effe	ct of the	Distance Selling Regulations, that Logan may have	[20]			

	Total marks	[50]
	Reward grammar, spelling and punctuation.	[5]
	Present logical and coherent arguments and communicate relevant material in a clear and effective manner using appropriate legal terminology.	
	Assessment Objective 3	
	Come to any reasonable conclusion on each situation.	
4* cont'd	Consider the effect of the rejection from Logan, that this will prevent any future acceptance of the offer.	
	Consider whether Nigel has made a bilateral offer to Logan.	
	Apply the principles of offer and acceptance to the exchange between Logan and Nigel:	
	a 7 day cooling off period if it can be seen as a consumer contract.	

Question Number	Answer								
5*					ning to spend some time on rides, ays a fee and is handed a receipt.				
	collides with her behalf of the Par	, causing in k, but poin	njury to l ts to a si	ner shou gn at the	endant, Callum, driving a small vehicle Ider and leg. Callum apologises on e entrance which states, 'Bestever y to visitors however caused'.				
	that it has been statement on he	given to the r receipt wh	wrong hich read	person.⊺ Is, 'All ite	the returns to collect her coat she finds The assistant, Dana, points out a ems are left at owner's risk. Bestever or theft of items, however this may				
	Advise Alison w concerning her i				n against Bestever Theme Park at.				
	Mark Levels	AO1	AO2	AO3					
	Level 5	21-25	17-20	-					
	Level 4	16-20	13-16	5					
	Level 3	11-15	9-12	4					
	Level 2	6-10	5-8	3					
	Level 1	1-5	1-4	1-2					
	Potential answers MAY:								
	Assessment Objective 1								
	Explain the gener	al nature an	d effect o	of exempt	ion clauses.				
	Discuss briefly the common law position on incorporation of terms (candidates will not have time to be exhaustive on this) citing cases such as <i>L'Estrange v Graucob</i> , <i>Parker v SE Railway</i> , <i>Olley v Marlborough Court Hotel</i> .								
	Discuss the common law rules of construction of terms including the main purpose rule.								
	Discuss the main (relevant) provisions of the Unfair Contract Terms Act (UCTA)1977: S12 'deals as a consumer'; S2(1) concerning personal injury or death; S2(2) concerning other negligence liability; S3(1) liability for breach of contract.								
	Discuss the rules <i>Bush</i> .	on reasona	bleness o	of exclusion	on clauses, citing cases such as <i>Smith v</i>				

5*	Assessment Obj	active 2				
cont'd	-		to avoid	liability via exemption clauses.		
	2	-		· ·		
	,	Apply the law on exemption clauses to the facts of the question. Consider where the contract(s) to visit the park and leave the coat were made.				
				-		
	Consider whether the notices were incorporated as part of the contracts. Consider whether the notices can be construed to cover the personal injury and the loss of the coat – apply the main purpose rule in particular.					
	Identify Alison is a consumer.					
	Consider the effect of 2(1) of UCTA that Bestever will not be able to exclude liability for the injury to Alison.					
		Consider the effect of 2(2) and 3(1) of UCTA that Bestever will only be able to avoid liability for the loss of the coat if such exclusion is seen to be reasonable.				
			•	be seen as reasonable, applying the tests of relative bargaining power.		
	Consider the effect as justifiable and i			act Term Regulations, that the terms must be seen h.		
	Come to any reas	onable cond	clusion o	n the enforceability of the second exclusion clause.	[20	
	Assessment Obj Present logical an		argumer	nts and communicate relevant material in a clear and		
	effective manner u	using appro	priate leg	gal terminology.		
	Reward grammar, spelling and punctuation.					
0.1				Total marks		
6*	Pauline Smith an knows little abou inspection. Pauline persuade Although Nazir h	rives to see It cars, wou es Nazir to as doubts,	e the car uld like t let her ta Pauline	the local newspaper. A lady calling herself r. She says that she likes the car, but as she to take the car to a mechanic friend for ake both the car and the registration document. a produces a local authority identity pass which		
6*	Pauline Smith ar knows little about inspection. Pauline persuade Although Nazir h has a photograph	rives to see at cars, wou es Nazir to as doubts, h. Nazir tele hey have a	e the car uld like t let her ta Pauline ephones	the local newspaper. A lady calling herself r. She says that she likes the car, but as she to take the car to a mechanic friend for ake both the car and the registration document.		
6*	Pauline Smith an knows little about inspection. Pauline persuade Although Nazir h has a photograph She is told that th cheque as secur One week later P Three days later	rives to see at cars, wou es Nazir to as doubts, h. Nazir tele hey have a ity. auline has Nazir is no	e the car uld like t let her ta Pauline ephones Pauline not retu tified by	the local newspaper. A lady calling herself r. She says that she likes the car, but as she to take the car to a mechanic friend for ake both the car and the registration document. e produces a local authority identity pass which is the council offices to check the identity card.		
6*	Pauline Smith an knows little about inspection. Pauline persuade Although Nazir h has a photograph She is told that th cheque as secur One week later P Three days later forgery. In the me	rives to see at cars, wou es Nazir to as doubts, h. Nazir tele hey have a ity. auline has Nazir is no eantime Pa Nazir can	e the car uld like t let her ta Pauline ephones Pauline not retu tified by uline ha recover	the local newspaper. A lady calling herself r. She says that she likes the car, but as she to take the car to a mechanic friend for ake both the car and the registration document. a produces a local authority identity pass which a the council offices to check the identity card. Smith on the staff of the council and takes a arrned the car and so Nazir banks the cheque. Ther bank that the cheque is a worthless		
6*	Pauline Smith and knows little about inspection. Pauline persuade Although Nazir h has a photograph She is told that th cheque as secur One week later P Three days later forgery. In the me Discuss whether	rives to see at cars, wou es Nazir to as doubts, h. Nazir tele hey have a ity. auline has Nazir is no eantime Pa Nazir can	e the car uld like t let her ta Pauline ephones Pauline not retu tified by uline ha recover	the local newspaper. A lady calling herself r. She says that she likes the car, but as she to take the car to a mechanic friend for ake both the car and the registration document. e produces a local authority identity pass which is the council offices to check the identity card. Smith on the staff of the council and takes a urned the car and so Nazir banks the cheque. Ther bank that the cheque is a worthless as sold the car to John.		
6*	Pauline Smith an knows little about inspection. Pauline persuade Although Nazir h has a photograph She is told that th cheque as secur One week later P Three days later forgery. In the me Discuss whether Pauline if she car	rives to see at cars, wou es Nazir to as doubts, h. Nazir tele hey have a ity. auline has Nazir is no eantime Pa Nazir can n be found	e the car uld like t Pauline ephones Pauline not retu tified by uline ha recover	the local newspaper. A lady calling herself r. She says that she likes the car, but as she to take the car to a mechanic friend for ake both the car and the registration document. ake both the car and the registration document. are produces a local authority identity pass which the council offices to check the identity card. Smith on the staff of the council and takes a are the car and so Nazir banks the cheque. Ther bank that the cheque is a worthless as sold the car to John. the car and what action she might take against		
6*	Pauline Smith an knows little about inspection. Pauline persuade Although Nazir h has a photograph She is told that th cheque as secur One week later P Three days later forgery. In the me Discuss whether Pauline if she can	rives to see at cars, wou es Nazir to as doubts, h. Nazir tele hey have a ity. auline has Nazir is no eantime Pa Nazir can n be found AO1	e the car uld like t let her ta Pauline ephones Pauline not retu tified by uline ha recover	the local newspaper. A lady calling herself r. She says that she likes the car, but as she to take the car to a mechanic friend for ake both the car and the registration document. ake both the car and the registration document. are produces a local authority identity pass which the council offices to check the identity card. Smith on the staff of the council and takes a are the car and so Nazir banks the cheque. Ther bank that the cheque is a worthless as sold the car to John. the car and what action she might take against		
6*	Pauline Smith an knows little about inspection. Pauline persuade Although Nazir h has a photograph She is told that th cheque as secur One week later P Three days later forgery. In the me Discuss whether Pauline if she can Mark Levels Level 5	rives to see at cars, would be Nazir to as doubts, h. Nazir tele hey have a ity. auline has Nazir is no eantime Pa Nazir can n be found AO1 21-25	e the car uld like t Pauline Pauline Pauline not retu tified by uline ha recover AO2 17-20	the local newspaper. A lady calling herself . She says that she likes the car, but as she to take the car to a mechanic friend for ake both the car and the registration document. ake both the car and the registration document. ake both the car and the registration document. ake both the car and the registration document. are produces a local authority identity pass which is the council offices to check the identity card. Smith on the staff of the council and takes a arred the car and so Nazir banks the cheque. The bank that the cheque is a worthless as sold the car to John. the car and what action she might take against AO3 -		
6*	Pauline Smith an knows little about inspection. Pauline persuade Although Nazir h has a photograph She is told that th cheque as secure One week later P Three days later forgery. In the me Discuss whether Pauline if she cas Mark Levels Level 5 Level 4	rives to see at cars, would be Nazir to as doubts, h. Nazir tele hey have a ity. auline has Nazir is no eantime Pa Nazir can n be found AO1 21-25 16-20	e the car uld like t Pauline ephones Pauline not retu tified by uline ha recover AO2 17-20 13-16	the local newspaper. A lady calling herself . She says that she likes the car, but as she to take the car to a mechanic friend for ake both the car and the registration document. ake both the car and the registration document. produces a local authority identity pass which is the council offices to check the identity card. Smith on the staff of the council and takes a urned the car and so Nazir banks the cheque. Ther bank that the cheque is a worthless as sold the car to John. the car and what action she might take against AO3 - 5	[5]	

	Potential answers MAY:	
6*	Assessment Objective 1	
cont'd	Discuss whether there is a common law mistake and/or misrepresentation.	
	Discuss the effect of a contract being void for mistake as opposed to being voidable for misrepresentation.	
	Discuss the principles required when considering whether or not the contract is void for mistake – that the contract was fundamentally different to what the parties thought and that the mistake was due to exceptional circumstances.	
	Discuss how the principles of mistake apply specifically to unilateral mistake, citing cases such as <i>Phillips v Brooks</i> ; <i>Lewis v Averay</i> and the conflicting outcome in <i>Ingram v Little</i> .	
	Discuss how a contract may be avoided for misrepresentation, citing cases such as <i>Car</i> and Universal Finance v Caldwell.	
	Discuss the criteria for misrepresentation in outline.	
	Describe fraudulent misrepresentation citing a case such as Derry v Peak.	[25]
	Assessment Objective 2	
	Identify that this is a case of unilateral mistake.	
	Apply the case law from unilateral mistake to the problem.	
	The contract here is unlikely to be seen as void for unilateral mistake as it is a face to face contract.	
	Nazir has probably not done anything to indicate that she wishes to contract with anyone but the person in front of her.	
	The contract is likely to be voidable because of the misrepresentation of 'Pauline'.	
	Nazir can avoid that contract but she must do so before the sale of the car to John in order to avoid title passing to him.	
	In this case Nazir has not taken any appropriate action to avoid the contract.	
	Good title will have passed to John and he will not have to return the car to Nazir.	
	If Nazir can find 'Pauline' she will have an action against her in fraudulent misrepresentation.	
	Assessment Objective 3	[20]
	Present logical and coherent arguments and communicate relevant material in a clear and	
	effective manner using appropriate legal terminology.	
	Reward grammar, spelling and punctuation.	[5]
	Total marks	[50]
	Section B Total	[50]

Question Number	Answer				
7	Spencer has purchased a car from Belinda after being told that it had done 20 000 miles. Belinda relied on the recorded mileage on the car. Shortly after buying the car Spencer sets out on a journey to his friend's house 80 miles away. As he starts out on the journey the car breaks down and he has to call a mechanic to fix it. The mechanic informs Spencer that there are a lot of faults with the car and it has probably done 120 000 miles. Spencer is annoyed at this and, after completing the trip to see his friend, drives the car to Belinda to complain about it.				
	Evaluate the accuracy of <u>each</u> of the four statements A, B, C and D individually, as they apply to the facts in the above scenario.				
	Mark Levels AO2				
	Level 5 17-20				
	Level 4 13-16				
	Level 3 9-12				
	Level 2 5-8				
	Level 1 1-4				
	Potential answers MAY:				
	Assessment Objective 2				
	Statement A: If Spencer is able to prove misrepresentation he will have a remedy in rescission.				
	• Identify that rescission is available as a remedy for all kinds of misrepresentation.				
	• Identify that rescission is an equitable remedy and that the rights to rescind a contract can be lost through lapse of time or delay.				
	• Conclude that in continuing with his journey Spencer will have probably lost his right to rescind the contract.				
	• Identify that the court has the discretion to award damages in lieu of rescission.				
	Statement B: Spencer will have a remedy under S.2(1) Misrepresentation Act 1967.				
	 Identify that for a statutory misrepresentation Spencer will have to show a false statement of fact on the part of Belinda. The question makes it clear that she has done so in relation to the mileage of the car. 				
	 Identify that the burden of proof is then reversed and that Belinda has to prove that she had reasonable grounds to have made the statement. 				
	• Discuss whether it is reasonable for Belinda to believe the stated mileage of car when she has only owned it for a short period. Whether she had reasonable grounds may depend on what other information is available to her. Draw a reasonable conclusion based on the facts.				

Question Number	Answer	Max Mark	
7 cont'd	Statement C: Belinda has made a misrepresentation in not informing Spencer about the other faults with the car.		
	• Identify that in order to sue in misrepresentation Spencer will have to show a false statement of fact, silence does not normally amount to a misrepresentation.		
	• Identify that there are exceptions such as not updating when the facts have changed, and contracts such as insurance, but this is not one of those situations.		
	 Conclude that the lack of information on the poor state of the car will not be a misrepresentation. 		
	Statement D: Belinda has made a fraudulent misrepresentation to Spencer.		
	 Identify that in order to sue in fraudulent misrepresentation Belinda would have to have made the statement about the mileage of the car dishonestly or recklessly. 		
	 Identify that it would be for Spencer to show that this was the case. 		
	• Conclude that in this case it seems that she believed the mileage on the dashboard and so it is unlikely that this can be seen as fraudulent.	[20]	
8	Sue owns a hotel and is having 20 rooms redecorated before the summer season. The work is to be completed by Hamish at a cost of £400 per room. Hamish completes 12 of the rooms and then informs Sue that he is unable to purchase materials he needs in order to complete the other 8 rooms. Sue does not have time to look for another decorator and is worried that she will have unfinished rooms for the summer season. She offers Hamish an extra payment of £600 to help pay for the materials. Hamish accepts and continues with the work. As Hamish is grateful he also promises to paint the entrance hall. Some time later Sue is refusing to pay the extra £600 and Hamish has not painted the entrance hall.		
	Evaluate the accuracy of <u>each</u> of the four statements A, B, C and D individually, as they apply to the facts in the above scenario.		
	Mark Levels AO2		
	Level 5 17-20		
	Level 4 13-16		
	Level 3 9-12		
	Level 2 5-8		
	Level 1 1-4		

Potential answers **MAY**:

Assessment Objective 2

Statement A: Hamish has provided good consideration for the extra payment.

- Identify that every promise must be matched by consideration in order for it to be enforced. The rule in *Stilk v Myrick* requiring further consideration in order to enforce a second promise still stands.
- Identify that where the person who makes a further promise gains some benefit from making that second promise that can be seen as good consideration from the person to whom the promise is made, as in *Williams v Roffey*.
- Conclude that in this case Sue improves her chances of getting the work done in time for the summer season when she makes the second promise and so this may well be seen as good consideration on the part of Hamish.

Statement B: Sue would be estopped from going back on her promise to pay the bonus.

- Identify that promissory estoppel requires a promise to be made not to enforce a contract, which is subsequently relied on.
- Identify that promissory estoppel acts as a defence and not a cause of action a shield and not a sword. (Candidates should also be rewarded for commenting on the non-binding authorities that contradict this statement of law but this is not required for maximum marks).
- Conclude that although Sue makes a promise to Hamish and he relies on it, the promise is to give something extra rather than not to enforce a contract and so promissory estoppel is unlikely to apply.

Statement C: Sue can avoid paying the extra £600 on the basis of economic duress.

- Identify that economic duress will apply if an illegitimate threat was made by Hamish. When Hamish said he could not complete the work on time that may be seen as an illegitimate threat as it was a threat to breach a contract.
- Identify that the threat must have left Sue with no alternative but to comply. In this case it is not certain that Sue was left with no alternative, she might have sacked Hamish and taken on another decorator.
- Draw a reasonable conclusion based on the facts.

Statement D: Sue has not provided any consideration for Hamish's promise to paint the entrance hall.

- Identify that a contract consists of mutual promises and that past consideration is not generally seen as good consideration. Hamish promised that he would paint the entrance hall after Sue promised the extra money, and so her consideration is past.
- Identify that there are exceptions to the rule against past consideration but they are unlikely to apply here as there was never any expectation that Hamish painted the entrance hall at the time that Sue made the promise.
- Conclude that Sue is unable to bring an action against Hamish for his failure to paint the entrance hall.

Section B Total	[20]
Paper Total	[120]

Advanced GCE Law Levels of Assessment

There are **five** levels of assessment of AOs 1 and 2 in the A2 units. The first four levels are very similar to the four levels for AS units. The addition of a fifth level reflects the expectation of higher achievement by candidates at the end of a two-year course of study. There are **four** levels of assessment of AO3 in the A2 units. The requirements and number of levels differ between AS and A2 units to reflect the expectation of higher achievement by candidates at the end of a two-year course of study.

Level	Assessment Objective 1	Assessment Objective 2	Assessment Objective 3 (includes QWC)
5	Wide ranging, accurate, detailed knowledge with a clear and confident understanding of the relevant concepts and principles. Where appropriate candidates will be able to elaborate with wide citation of relevant statutes and case- law.	Ability to identify correctly the relevant and important points of criticism showing good understanding of current debate and proposals for reform or identify all of the relevant points of law in issue. A high level of ability to develop arguments or apply points of law accurately and pertinently to a given factual situation, and reach a cogent, logical and well-informed conclusion.	
4	Good, well-developed knowledge with a clear understanding of the relevant concepts and principles. Where appropriate candidates will be able to elaborate by good citation to relevant statutes and case-law.	Ability to identify and analyse issues central to the question showing some understanding of current debate and proposals for reform or identify most of the relevant points of law in issue. Ability to develop clear arguments or apply points of law clearly to a given factual situation, and reach a sensible and informed conclusion.	An accomplished presentation of logical and coherent arguments and communicates relevant material in a very clear and effective manner using appropriate legal terminology. Reward grammar, spelling and punctuation.
3	Adequate knowledge showing reasonable understanding of the relevant concepts and principles. Where appropriate candidates will be able to elaborate with some citation of relevant statutes and case-law.	Ability to analyse most of the more obvious points central to the question or identify the main points of law in issue. Ability to develop arguments or apply points of law mechanically to a given factual situation, and reach a conclusion.	A good ability to present logical and coherent arguments and communicates relevant material in a clear and effective manner using appropriate legal terminology. Reward grammar, spelling and punctuation.
2	Limited knowledge showing general understanding of the relevant concepts and principles. There will be some elaboration of the principles, and where appropriate with limited reference to relevant statutes and case-law.	Ability to explain some of the more obvious points central to the question or identify some of the points of law in issue. A limited ability to produce arguments based on their material or limited ability to apply points of law to a given factual situation but without a clear focus or conclusion.	An adequate ability to present logical and coherent arguments and communicates relevant material in a reasonably clear and effective manner using appropriate legal terminology. Reward grammar, spelling and punctuation.
1	Very limited knowledge of the basic concepts and principles. There will be limited points of detail, but accurate citation of relevant statutes and case-law will not be expected.	Ability to explain at least one of the simpler points central to the question or identify at least one of the points of law in issue. The approach may be uncritical and/or unselective.	A limited attempt to present logical and coherent arguments and communicates relevant material in a limited manner using some appropriate legal terminology. Reward grammar, spelling and punctuation.

BLANK PAGE